Colorado
Health Care Program for Children with Special Needs (HCP)
Annual 2009 Data Report



Children with Special Health Care Needs Unit (CSHCN)

Maternal and Child Health

Center for Healthy Families and Communities

Prevention Services Division

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE)



Copies of this report may be obtained from:

Center for Healthy Families and Communities

www.mchcolorado.org

and

Children with Special Health Care Needs Unit

www.hcpcolorado.org

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

A big thank you goes to the dedicated HCP Regional Office Teams, County Health Departments and County Public Health Agencies for collecting and entering HCP CHIRP Data.

Special thanks to CDPHE, EPE Branch - Ashley Juhl and Barbara Gabella for data analysis; Paul Gillenwater and Sue Dabiri for preparing HCP CHIRP data.

Lynn Bindel for coordinating Medicaid and CHP+ data;
Ricky Toliver for analysis of Colorado Child Health Survey data; Emily Fields
for preparing Newborn Hearing Screening data and Stephanie Lauer, Laura
Zuniga and Shirley Babler for preparation of this report.

# Health Care Program for Children with Special Needs (HCP)

## 2009 Data Report – March, 2009 Volume 1

#### **Table of Contents**

Summary	4
National Outcome Measures for CSHCN and Additional Data	5
Overview Of Colorado	6
Population Statistics	8 9
Colorado Children's Public Health Insurance	54
Colorado Professional Shortage Areas	68 69 70
HCP Specialty Clinics  Specialty Clinic Overview  Specialty Clinic Data by Site	73
D&E Clinics  Diagnostic & Evaluation Clinic Annual 2008 Report	
Newborn Hearing ScreeningColorado Hearing Screening Report 2007	85 86
County Public Health Agency Priorities for 2009	88
HCP CHIRP Data	92

#### Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide data to assist local communities and regions with assessment, planning and evaluation for Maternal and Child Health (MCH) Operational Planning and MCH/HCP Reporting for HCP Contracts and Scope of Work.

It is hoped that these data will be helpful when local communities are reviewing HCP care coordination and "ease of use" for health care services and community services for CSHCN and their families.

In 2001, MCH established six national outcome measures to build a comprehensive coordinated system of care for CSHCN. The Children with Special Health Care Needs Unit at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) addresses these outcome measures through three programs:

- 1. The Health Care Program for Children with Special Needs (HCP) at state and local community level.
- 2. The Newborn Screening Program at state and local level in collaboration with the Colorado Hospital Association and the Colorado State Laboratory.
- 3. The Medical Home Initiative for all children in Colorado in collaboration with The Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Finance (HCPF).

HCP serves children and their families in Colorado's 64 counties with contracts with 14 Regional Offices, 3 County Health Departments and 39 County Public Health Agencies (former County Nursing Services).

Data for CSHCN can often be very limited due to lack of data sources for this sub-population of all children plus confidentiality when small numbers are identified. Data sources used for this report include U.S. Census data, hospital discharge data for newborn hearing screening, Medicaid and CHP+ data (public health insurance), HCP CHIRP (CSHCN Early Detection and Hearing Intervention EDHI database), and the Colorado Child Health Survey. When data is for all children it may or may not represent CSHCN but can provide insight to inspire a need for further investigation for data sources specifically for children with special needs.

# National Outcome Measures for CSHCN, Medical Home Strategies and Additional Data)

#### **National MCH Outcome Measures:**

- 1) Families of children with special health care needs will partner in decision making at all levels, and will be satisfied with the services they receive.
- 2) All children with special health care needs will receive coordinated on-going care within a medical home.
- 3) All families of children with special health care needs will have adequate private and/or public insurance to pay for the services they need.
- 4) All children will be screened early and continuously for special health care needs.
- 5) Community based service systems will be organized so families can use them easily.
- 6) All youth with special health care needs will receive the services necessary to transition to all aspects of adult life, including adult health care, work and independence.

#### **HCP Strategies for Championing a Medical Home Approach for CSHCN:**

- 1) Providing HCP Care Coordination in collaboration with the child's PCP/Medical Home.
- 2) Collaborating with Community Partners for Easy to Use Services and including family leaders as a priority partner.


Source: Colorado MCH Medical Home Action Guide, "Take Action! Champion a Medical Home Approach for CSHCN", <a href="www.mchcolorado.org">www.mchcolorado.org</a>.

#### **Data Sources for MCH/HCP Planning:**

Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) Data Set 2009, www.mchcolorado.org.

Health Care Program for Children with Special Needs Supplemental Data 2009, <a href="https://www.mchcolorado.org">www.mchcolorado.org</a>.





#### Overview Of Colorado

Colorado is the eighth largest state geographically. Colorado is 104,247 square miles, 387 miles from East to West and 276 miles from North to South. The eastern half of the state has high flat plains and rolling prairies that gradually rise westward to the foothills and the higher ranges of the Rocky Mountains. The Continental Divide runs from North to South through East Central Colorado and bisects the state into Eastern and Western Slopes. The area from North to South just East of the foothills is known as the Front Range.


The state can be divided into four distinct regions often based on high mountain passes: the Front Range, the Western Slope, the Eastern Plains and the San Luis Valley. Close to 82 percent of Colorado's population lives in the Front Range which includes the metropolitan areas of Denver, Aurora, Boulder, Ft. Collins, Greeley, Colorado Springs, and Pueblo. The San Luis Valley in the southern part of the state is the region with the smallest population, with about 46,000 residents. Over fifteen percent of Colorado's residents are considered rural, living outside urban areas.

\*Colorado has more counties identified as "pioneer" counties than rural counties. The Rural Urban Commuting Areas (RUCA) and the U.S. Census Bureau both use criteria to determine "pioneer" counties. The following Colorado Counties currently have the designation: Jackson, Moffat, Rio Blanco, Hinsdale, Mineral, Gunnison, Saguache, Custer, San Miguel, Dolores, San Juan, Costilla, Las Animas, Huerfano, Baca, Bent, Kiowa, Cheyenne, Kit Carson, Lincoln, Yuma, Washington and Sedgwick.

\*Source: Steve Holloway, Primary Care Office, CDPHE.

# Population Statistics





## **Estimating % of Population of CSHCN**

Colorado uses the broad definition of Children with Special Health Care Needs (CSHCN) as defined by the Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCH) as "children who have or are at increased risk for a chronic physical, developmental, behavioral, or emotional condition and who also require health and related services of a type or amount beyond that required by children generally."


Using this broad MCH definition, 17.6 percent of all children in Colorado ages 1-14 have a special health care need based on results from the Colorado Child Health Survey (CHS) for 2004-2007 combined.

In Colorado, there are an estimated 162,000 children ages 1-14 with a special health care need. This number (162,000) is only an estimate and is not an exact count of children with special health care needs in the state.

County population data for children ages 1-14 multiplied by 17.6 percent equals the estimated number of children 1-14 who have special health care needs in your county. For example:  $30,000 \times .176 = 5280$ . Estimates are less precise in counties with small populations.

When you apply the 17.6 percent to children in your county, ages 1-14, please remember the result is only an estimate. It does not represent the exact number of children in your community/county.





# Population By Age

Projected	Population by A	ge Group i	n Years fo	r Adams C	County, 2008			
_	-	Male		F	emale	Total		
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total	
County	Age Group (Years)							
Adams	0 to 3	10,925	51%	10,347	49%	21,272	15%	
	3 to 5	7,836	51%	7,380	48%	15,217	11%	
	5 to 6	3,984	51%	3,804	49%	7,788	5%	
	6 to 13	25,039	51%	23,710	49%	48,750	34%	
	13 to 18	16,079	51%	15,727	49%	31,805	22%	
	18 to 21	9,588	51%	9,222	49%	18,811	13%	
Total	0 to 21	73,452	51%	70,191	49%	143,643	100%	

Source: State Demography Office, Colorado Department of Local Affairs. http://www.dola.state.co.us/demog\_webapps/population\_age\_gender, retrieved February 24, 2009

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Alamosa County, 2008											
		Male		F	emale	Total						
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Alamosa	0 to 3	367	51%	350	49%	717	13%					
	3 to 5	254	51%	242	49%	496	9%					
	5 to 6	113	51%	107	49%	220	4%					
	6 to 13	775	54%	671	46%	1,446	27%					
	13 to 18	599	52%	563	48%	1,162	21%					
	18 to 21	688	50%	690	50%	1,378	25%					
Total	0 to 21	2,796	52%	2,623	48%	5,419	100%					

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Arapahoe County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Т	Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Arapahoe	0 to 3	12,430	51%	11,823	49%	24,253	15%				
	3 to 5	8,498	51%	8,086	49%	16,584	10%				
	5 to 6	4,229	51%	4,027	49%	8,256	5%				
	6 to 13	26,827	51%	25,662	49%	52,489	32%				
	13 to 18	19,849	51%	18,784	49%	38,633	23%				
	18 to 21	12,817	52%	11,936	48%	24,753	15%				
Total	0 to 21	84,650	51%	80,318	49%	164,968	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Archuleta County, 2008										
		Male			Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Archuleta	0 to 3	210	51%	200	49%	410	12%				
	3 to 5	144	51%	138	49%	282	8%				
	5 to 6	82	51%	79	49%	161	5%				
	6 to 13	572	53%	512	47%	1,084	32%				
	13 to 18	447	51%	428	49%	875	26%				
	18 to 21	266	50%	263	50%	529	16%				
Total	0 to 21	1,721	52%	1,620	48%	3,341	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Baca County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	Ν	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Baca	0 to 3	48	51%	46	49%	94	10%				
	3 to 5	34	52%	32	48%	66	7%				
	5 to 6	15	50%	15	50%	30	3%				
	6 to 13	187	53%	168	47%	355	38%				
	13 to 18	139	56%	111	44%	250	27%				
	18 to 21	82	59%	57	41%	139	15%				
Total	0 to 21	505	54%	429	46%	934	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Bent County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Bent	0 to 3	87	51%	83	49%	170	13%				
	3 to 5	50	51%	48	49%	98	7%				
	5 to 6	29	51%	28	49%	57	4%				
	6 to 13	251	51%	244	49%	495	37%				
	13 to 18	168	50%	167	50%	335	25%				
	18 to 21	89	48%	96	52%	185	14%				
Total	0 to 21	674	50%	666	50%	1,340	100%				

Projected F	Opulation by Age	Group in	n Years for Boul	der Cou	unty, 2008				
			Male		Female	7	Total		
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Boulder	0 to 3	5,707	51%	5,385	49%	11,093	13%		
	3 to 5	3,696	51%	3,505	49%	7,201	9%		
	5 to 6	1,895	52%	1,773	48%	3,668	4%		
	6 to 13	12,264	51%	11,979	49%	24,243	29%		
	13 to 18	8,975	51%	8,725	49%	17,700	21%		
	18 to 21	10,526	51%	10,065	49%	20,591	24%		
	0 to 21	43,062	51%	41,433	49%	84,495	100%		

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Broomfield County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Broomfield	0 to 3	1,256	49%	1,298	51%	2,553	14%				
	3 to 5	887	48%	954	52%	1,841	10%				
	5 to 6	493	50%	499	50%	992	6%				
	6 to 13	3,156	50%	3,101	50%	6,257	35%				
	13 to 18	2,305	53%	2,033	47%	4,337	24%				
	18 to 21	1,055	52%	977	48%	2,032	11%				
Total	0 to 21	9,151	51%	8,861	49%	18,012	100%				

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Chaffee County, 2008								
			Male		Female		Total		
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Chaffee	0 to 3	219	51%	207	49%	426	12%		
	3 to 5	159	51%	151	49%	310	9%		
	5 to 6	76	51%	73	49%	149	4%		
	6 to 13	570	50%	577	50%	1,147	33%		
	13 to 18	471	51%	453	49%	924	26%		
	18 to 21	290	51%	278	49%	568	16%		
Total	0 to 21	1,785	51%	1,739	49%	3,524	100%		

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Cheyenne County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Ν	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Cheyenne	0 to 3	32	52%	29	48%	61	12%				
	3 to 5	17	52%	16	48%	33	7%				
	5 to 6	10	53%	9	47%	19	4%				
	6 to 13	88	53%	79	47%	167	33%				
	13 to 18	66	53%	59	47%	125	25%				
	18 to 21	46	47%	51	53%	97	19%				
Total	0 to 21	259	52%	243	48%	502	100%				

Projected Pop	oulation by Age Gr	oup in	Years for Clear	Creek	County, 2008				
	Male		Male	Female			Total		
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Clear Creek	0 to 3	135	51%	129	49%	264	12%		
	3 to 5	96	51%	91	49%	187	8%		
	5 to 6	54	51%	51	49%	105	5%		
	6 to 13	381	50%	378	50%	759	34%		
	13 to 18	287	48%	309	52%	596	27%		
	18 to 21	181	54%	156	46%	337	15%		
Total	0 to 21	1,134	50%	1,114	50%	2,248	100%		

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Conejos County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Conejos	0 to 3	193	51%	183	49%	376	14%				
	3 to 5	119	51%	113	49%	232	9%				
	5 to 6	57	51%	54	49%	111	4%				
	6 to 13	446	49%	460	51%	906	34%				
	13 to 18	331	50%	334	50%	665	25%				
	18 to 21	193	50%	195	50%	388	14%				
Total	0 to 21	1,339	50%	1,339	50%	2,678	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Costilla County, 2008								
			Male		Female	Total			
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Costilla	0 to 3	57	51%	54	49%	111	12%		
	3 to 5	34	51%	33	49%	67	8%		
	5 to 6	22	51%	21	49%	43	5%		
	6 to 13	157	54%	136	46%	293	33%		
	13 to 18	117	52%	108	48%	225	25%		
	18 to 21	70	47%	80	53%	150	17%		
Total	0 to 21	457	51%	432	49%	889	100%		

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Crowley County, 2008									
			Male		Female		Total			
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Crowley	0 to 3	92	51%	88	49%	180	10%			
	3 to 5	75	51%	73	49%	148	8%			
	5 to 6	39	51%	38	49%	77	4%			
	6 to 13	275	50%	280	50%	555	30%			
	13 to 18	221	51%	209	49%	430	23%			
	18 to 21	329	73%	120	27%	449	24%			
Total	0 to 21	1,031	56%	808	44%	1,839	100%			

<b>Project</b>	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Custer County, 2008									
		Male			Female		Total			
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Custer	0 to 3	38	51%	37	49%	75	8%			
	3 to 5	32	51%	31	49%	63	7%			
	5 to 6	19	51%	18	49%	37	4%			
	6 to 13	176	51%	171	49%	347	37%			
	13 to 18	126	47%	142	53%	268	28%			
	18 to 21	89	56%	70	44%	159	17%			
Total	0 to 21	480	51%	469	49%	949	100%			

<b>Project</b>	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Delta County, 2008									
		Male			Female		Total			
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Delta	0 to 3	538	51%	513	49%	1,051	13%			
	3 to 5	377	51%	360	49%	737	9%			
	5 to 6	188	51%	180	49%	368	4%			
	6 to 13	1,391	52%	1,301	48%	2,692	33%			
	13 to 18	1,080	52%	1,001	48%	2,081	25%			
	18 to 21	634	50%	638	50%	1,272	16%			
Total	0 to 21	4,208	51%	3,993	49%	8,201	100%			

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Denver County, 2008									
			Male		Female	Total				
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Denver	0 to 3	16,856	51%	16,037	49%	32,893	18%			
	3 to 5	11,031	51%	10,488	49%	21,519	12%			
	5 to 6	5,304	51%	5,040	49%	10,344	6%			
	6 to 13	29,690	51%	28,137	49%	57,827	32%			
	13 to 18	17,822	51%	17,092	49%	34,914	19%			
	18 to 21	11,922	50%	11,823	50%	23,745	13%			
Total	0 to 21	92,625	51%	88,617	49%	181,242	100%			

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Dolores County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Dolores	0 to 3	36	51%	35	49%	71	15%				
	3 to 5	23	51%	22	49%	45	9%				
	5 to 6	12	50%	12	50%	24	5%				
	6 to 13	75	49%	77	51%	152	31%				
	13 to 18	69	57%	52	43%	121	25%				
	18 to 21	38	50%	38	50%	76	16%				
Total	0 to 21	253	52%	236	48%	489	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Douglas County, 2008									
			Male		Female		Γotal			
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Douglas	0 to 3	7,000	51%	6,683	49%	13,683	14%			
	3 to 5	4,859	51%	4,658	49%	9,517	10%			
	5 to 6	2,494	51%	2,397	49%	4,891	5%			
	6 to 13	17,229	51%	16,618	49%	33,847	35%			
	13 to 18	11,422	51%	11,169	49%	22,591	23%			
	18 to 21	6,391	51%	6,103	49%	12,494	13%			
Total	0 to 21	49,395	51%	47,628	49%	97,023	100%			

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Eagle County, 2008										
		Male			Female		Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Eagle	0 to 3	1,297	51%	1,236	49%	2,533	16%				
	3 to 5	866	51%	827	49%	1,693	11%				
	5 to 6	454	51%	433	49%	887	6%				
	6 to 13	2,751	51%	2,666	49%	5,417	34%				
	13 to 18	1,781	53%	1,601	47%	3,382	22%				
	18 to 21	887	49%	911	51%	1,798	11%				
Total	0 to 21	8,036	51%	7,674	49%	15,710	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for El Paso County, 2008									
			Male		Female	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
El Paso	0 to 3	13,537	51%	12,880	49%	26,417	15%			
	3 to 5	8,583	51%	8,168	49%	16,751	9%			
	5 to 6	4,375	51%	4,165	49%	8,540	5%			
	6 to 13	30,100	52%	28,131	48%	58,231	32%			
	13 to 18	21,275	52%	19,658	48%	40,933	23%			
	18 to 21	17,345	56%	13,389	44%	30,734	17%			
Total	0 to 21	95,215	52%	86,391	48%	181,606	100%			

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Elbert County, 2008										
_			Male		Female	T	otal				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Elbert	0 to 3	319	51%	303	49%	622	10%				
	3 to 5	226	51%	216	49%	442	7%				
	5 to 6	125	51%	119	49%	244	4%				
	6 to 13	1,102	51%	1,056	49%	2,158	35%				
	13 to 18	890	50%	896	50%	1,786	29%				
	18 to 21	480	48%	519	52%	999	16%				
	0 to 21	3,142	50%	3,109	50%	6,251	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Fremont County, 2008								
		Male		Female		Total			
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Fremont	0 to 3	669	51%	638	49%	1,307	12%		
	3 to 5	431	51%	411	49%	842	8%		
	5 to 6	224	51%	214	49%	438	4%		
	6 to 13	1,711	51%	1,639	49%	3,350	32%		
	13 to 18	1,408	51%	1,355	49%	2,763	26%		
	18 to 21	975	53%	869	47%	1,844	17%		
Total	0 to 21	5,418	51%	5,126	49%	10,544	100%		

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Garfield County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Γotal				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Garfield	0 to 3	1,468	51%	1,401	49%	2,869	16%				
	3 to 5	975	51%	932	49%	1,907	11%				
	5 to 6	510	51%	487	49%	997	6%				
	6 to 13	3,092	51%	2,933	49%	6,025	33%				
	13 to 18	2,031	51%	1,961	49%	3,992	22%				
	18 to 21	1,138	50%	1,154	50%	2,292	13%				
Total	0 to 21	9,214	51%	8,868	49%	18,082	100%				

<b>Project</b>	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Gilpin County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Gilpin	0 to 3	87	51%	82	49%	169	14%				
	3 to 5	54	51%	51	49%	105	9%				
	5 to 6	32	52%	30	48%	62	5%				
	6 to 13	213	52%	199	48%	412	34%				
	13 to 18	133	48%	142	52%	275	23%				
	18 to 21	92	52%	84	48%	176	15%				
Total	0 to 21	611	51%	588	49%	1,199	100%				

Project	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Grand County, 2008										
		Male			Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Grand	0 to 3	261	51%	249	49%	510	14%				
	3 to 5	157	51%	149	49%	306	9%				
	5 to 6	87	51%	83	49%	170	5%				
	6 to 13	604	49%	617	51%	1,221	35%				
	13 to 18	432	52%	396	48%	828	23%				
	18 to 21	247	49%	252	51%	499	14%				
Total	0 to 21	1,788	51%	1,746	49%	3,534	100%				

Projected I	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Gunnison County, 2008								
			Male		Female		Total		
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Ν	% of Total		
County	Age Group (Years)								
Gunnison	0 to 3	262	51%	250	49%	512	12%		
	3 to 5	188	51%	178	49%	366	8%		
	5 to 6	97	51%	92	49%	189	4%		
	6 to 13	572	51%	542	49%	1,114	25%		
	13 to 18	483	52%	449	48%	932	21%		
	18 to 21	711	54%	603	46%	1,314	30%		
Total	0 to 21	2,313	52%	2,114	48%	4,427	100%		

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Hinsdale County, 2008									
			Male		Female	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Hinsdale	0 to 3	13	52%	12	48%	25	12%			
	3 to 5	9	53%	8	47%	17	8%			
	5 to 6	4	50%	4	50%	8	4%			
	6 to 13	43	54%	36	46%	79	39%			
	13 to 18	26	53%	23	47%	49	24%			
	18 to 21	5	22%	18	78%	23	11%			
Total	0 to 21	100	50%	101	50%	201	100%			

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Huerfano County, 2008									
		Male			Female	Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Huerfano	0 to 3	89	52%	83	48%	172	11%			
	3 to 5	70	51%	66	49%	136	8%			
	5 to 6	42	51%	40	49%	82	5%			
	6 to 13	275	49%	284	51%	559	34%			
	13 to 18	229	52%	209	48%	438	27%			
	18 to 21	114	46%	133	54%	247	15%			
Total	0 to 21	819	50%	815	50%	1,634	100%			

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Jackson County, 2008									
			Male		Female		Total			
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Jackson	0 to 3	16	52%	15	48%	31	13%			
	3 to 5	9	56%	7	44%	16	9%			
	5 to 6	5	56%	4	44%	9	4%			
	6 to 13	52	45%	64	55%	116	31%			
	13 to 18	48	45%	59	55%	107	25%			
	18 to 21	26	44%	33	56%	59	17%			
Total	0 to 21	156	46%	182	54%	338	100%			

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Jefferson County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Т	Total				
_		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Jefferson	0 to 3	9,486	51%	9,025	49%	18,510	11%				
	3 to 5	6,339	51%	6,029	49%	12,368	8%				
	5 to 6	3,263	51%	3,102	49%	6,365	5%				
	6 to 13	22,982	51%	21,900	49%	44,882	33%				
	13 to 18	18,730	51%	17,766	49%	36,496	29%				
	18 to 21	13,052	53%	11,655	47%	24,707	13%				
Total	0 to 21	73,851	52%	69,477	48%	143,328	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Kiowa County, 2008										
		Male		Female		Т	Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Kiowa	0 to 3	20	51%	19	49%	39	11%				
	3 to 5	15	52%	14	48%	29	8%				
	5 to 6	9	50%	9	50%	18	5%				
	6 to 13	58	52%	54	48%	112	33%				
	13 to 18	56	57%	42	43%	98	29%				
	18 to 21	22	48%	24	52%	46	13%				
Total	0 to 21	180	53%	162	47%	342	100%				

Projected P	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Kit Carson County, 2008									
			Male		Female	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Kit Carson	0 to 3	145	51%	137	49%	282	13%			
	3 to 5	97	52%	91	48%	188	9%			
	5 to 6	37	51%	35	49%	72	3%			
	6 to 13	352	52%	323	48%	675	31%			
	13 to 18	312	52%	285	48%	597	27%			
	18 to 21	202	55%	168	45%	370	17%			
Total	0 to 21	1,145	52%	1,039	48%	2,184	100%			

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for La Plata County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
La Plata	0 to 3	876	51%	835	49%	1,711	13%				
	3 to 5	566	51%	541	49%	1,107	8%				
	5 to 6	280	51%	268	49%	548	4%				
	6 to 13	1,956	51%	1,878	49%	3,834	28%				
	13 to 18	1,628	51%	1,584	49%	3,212	24%				
	18 to 21	1,569	51%	1,501	49%	3,070	23%				
Total	0 to 21	6,875	51%	6,607	49%	13,482	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Lake County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Lake	0 to 3	179	51%	170	49%	349	13%				
	3 to 5	123	51%	118	49%	241	9%				
	5 to 6	63	51%	60	49%	123	5%				
	6 to 13	478	52%	438	48%	916	34%				
	13 to 18	335	53%	301	47%	636	24%				
	18 to 21	205	51%	197	49%	402	15%				
Total	0 to 21	1,383	52%	1,284	48%	2,667	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Larimer County, 2008										
_			Male		Female	T	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Larimer	0 to 3	5,477	51%	5,219	49%	10,696	13%				
	3 to 5	3,657	51%	3,489	49%	7,146	9%				
	5 to 6	1,856	51%	1,771	49%	3,627	4%				
	6 to 13	12,600	51%	11,908	49%	24,508	29%				
	13 to 18	9,352	51%	8,929	49%	18,281	22%				
	18 to 21	9,615	50%	9,544	50%	19,159	23%				
Total	0 to 21	42,557	51%	40,860	49%	83,417	100%				

<b>Projected Po</b>	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Las Animas County, 2008										
		Male			Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Las Animas	0 to 3	281	51%	268	49%	549	13%				
	3 to 5	192	51%	184	49%	376	9%				
	5 to 6	102	51%	98	49%	200	5%				
	6 to 13	714	50%	721	50%	1,435	33%				
	13 to 18	577	51%	562	49%	1,139	26%				
	18 to 21	352	56%	280	44%	632	15%				
Total	0 to 21	2,218	51%	2,113	49%	4,331	100%				

Projected F	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Lincoln County, 2008											
		Male			Female		Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Lincoln	0 to 3	82	52%	77	48%	159	13%					
	3 to 5	48	51%	46	49%	94	8%					
	5 to 6	30	52%	28	48%	58	5%					
	6 to 13	187	52%	173	48%	360	29%					
	13 to 18	174	53%	153	47%	327	26%					
	18 to 21	167	65%	88	35%	255	20%					
Total	0 to 21	688	55%	565	45%	1,253	100%					

Project	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Logan County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Logan	0 to 3	369	51%	351	49%	720	13%				
	3 to 5	254	51%	241	49%	495	9%				
	5 to 6	144	51%	137	49%	281	5%				
	6 to 13	1,048	51%	1,005	49%	2,053	36%				
	13 to 18	763	52%	691	48%	1,454	25%				
	18 to 21	378	51%	358	49%	736	13%				
Total	0 to 21	2,956	52%	2,783	48%	5,739	100%				

Project	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Mesa County, 2008										
	Male				Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Mesa	0 to 3	3,097	51%	2,957	49%	6,054	15%				
	3 to 5	2,021	51%	1,935	49%	3,956	9%				
	5 to 6	981	51%	942	49%	1,923	5%				
	6 to 13	6,546	51%	6,352	49%	12,898	31%				
	13 to 18	4,936	51%	4,671	49%	9,607	23%				
	18 to 21	3,590	49%	3,688	51%	7,278	17%				
Total	0 to 21	21,171	51%	20,545	49%	41,716	100%				

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Mineral County, 2008									
		Male			Female	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Ν	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Mineral	0 to 3	9	50%	9	50%	18	8%			
	3 to 5	9	50%	9	50%	18	8%			
	5 to 6	5	56%	4	44%	9	4%			
	6 to 13	38	51%	37	49%	75	34%			
	13 to 18	35	54%	30	46%	65	30%			
	18 to 21	21	62%	13	38%	34	16%			
Total	0 to 21	117	53%	102	47%	219	100%			

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Moffat County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Moffat	0 to 3	309	51%	295	49%	604	14%				
	3 to 5	200	51%	191	49%	391	9%				
	5 to 6	106	51%	101	49%	207	5%				
	6 to 13	734	53%	656	47%	1,390	32%				
	13 to 18	522	51%	497	49%	1,019	24%				
	18 to 21	357	52%	325	48%	682	16%				
Total	0 to 21	2,228	52%	2,065	48%	4,293	100%				

Projected Po	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Montezuma County, 2008									
		Male		Female		Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Montezuma	0 to 3	500	51%	475	49%	975	13%			
	3 to 5	327	51%	311	49%	638	9%			
	5 to 6	178	51%	170	49%	348	5%			
	6 to 13	1,249	51%	1,213	49%	2,462	33%			
	13 to 18	990	53%	894	47%	1,884	25%			
	18 to 21	604	52%	549	48%	1,153	15%			
Total	0 to 21	3,848	52%	3,612	48%	7,460	100%			

Projected P	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Montrose County, 2008											
			Male		Female		Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Montrose	0 to 3	844	51%	806	49%	1,650	14%					
	3 to 5	585	51%	560	49%	1,145	9%					
	5 to 6	279	51%	267	49%	546	5%					
	6 to 13	2,070	51%	2,003	49%	4,073	34%					
	13 to 18	1,553	52%	1,457	48%	3,010	25%					
	18 to 21	811	50%	827	50%	1,638	14%					
Total	0 to 21	6,142	51%	5,920	49%	12,062	100%					

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Morgan County, 2008									
		Male			Female		Total			
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	Z	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Morgan	0 to 3	656	51%	623	49%	1,279	14%			
	3 to 5	459	51%	436	49%	895	10%			
	5 to 6	242	51%	230	49%	472	5%			
	6 to 13	1,765	52%	1,632	48%	3,397	36%			
	13 to 18	1,155	52%	1,086	48%	2,241	24%			
	18 to 21	523	49%	552	51%	1,075	11%			
Total	0 to 21	4,800	51%	4,559	49%	9,359	100%			

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Otero County, 2008										
		Male			Female		Total				
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Ν	% of Females in Age group	Ν	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Otero	0 to 3	390	51%	371	49%	761	14%				
	3 to 5	304	51%	288	49%	592	11%				
	5 to 6	150	51%	142	49%	292	5%				
	6 to 13	951	53%	860	47%	1,811	33%				
	13 to 18	671	51%	654	49%	1,325	24%				
	18 to 21	366	53%	328	47%	694	13%				
Total	0 to 21	2,832	52%	2,643	48%	5,475	100%				

<b>Project</b>	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Ouray County, 2008										
		Male			Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Ouray	0 to 3	63	51%	60	49%	123	11%				
	3 to 5	45	51%	43	49%	88	8%				
	5 to 6	22	51%	21	49%	43	4%				
	6 to 13	179	51%	175	49%	354	32%				
	13 to 18	163	52%	150	48%	313	28%				
	18 to 21	104	53%	94	47%	198	18%				
Total	0 to 21	576	51%	543	49%	1,119	100%				

Project	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Park County, 2008											
			Male		Female	Total						
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Park	0 to 3	251	51%	239	49%	490	11%					
	3 to 5	177	51%	169	49%	346	8%					
	5 to 6	97	51%	92	49%	189	4%					
	6 to 13	749	51%	713	49%	1,462	34%					
	13 to 18	600	51%	577	49%	1,177	27%					
	18 to 21	324	52%	302	48%	626	15%					
Total	0 to 21	2,198	51%	2,092	49%	4,290	100%					

Projecte	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Phillips County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Phillips	0 to 3	102	51%	97	49%	199	15%				
	3 to 5	69	51%	65	49%	134	10%				
	5 to 6	32	52%	30	48%	62	5%				
	6 to 13	219	50%	223	50%	442	33%				
	13 to 18	165	50%	162	50%	327	25%				
	18 to 21	83	50%	82	50%	165	12%				
Total	0 to 21	670	50%	659	50%	1,329	100%				

Project	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Pitkin County, 2008											
		Male			Female		Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	Ν	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Pitkin	0 to 3	261	51%	249	49%	510	15%					
	3 to 5	166	51%	158	49%	324	10%					
	5 to 6	85	51%	81	49%	166	5%					
	6 to 13	518	49%	540	51%	1,058	32%					
	13 to 18	440	56%	350	44%	790	24%					
	18 to 21	257	55%	213	45%	470	14%					
Total	0 to 21	1,727	52%	1,591	48%	3,318	100%					

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Prowers County, 2008											
			Male		Female	Total						
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total					
County	Age Group (Years)											
Prowers	0 to 3	304	51%	289	49%	593	14%					
	3 to 5	215	51%	203	49%	418	10%					
	5 to 6	109	51%	103	49%	212	5%					
	6 to 13	794	53%	711	47%	1,505	35%					
	13 to 18	544	52%	504	48%	1,048	25%					
	18 to 21	236	48%	255	52%	491	12%					
Total	0 to 21	2,202	52%	2,065	48%	4,267	100%					

Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Pueblo County, 2008											
		Male		Female		Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Pueblo	0 to 3	3,243	51%	3,088	49%	6,331	14%				
	3 to 5	2,130	51%	2,029	49%	4,159	9%				
	5 to 6	1,092	51%	1,042	49%	2,134	5%				
	6 to 13	7,488	51%	7,181	49%	14,669	32%				
	13 to 18	5,658	51%	5,362	49%	11,020	24%				
	18 to 21	3,849	49%	3,965	51%	7,814	17%				
Total	0 to 21	23,460	51%	22,667	49%	46,127	100%				

Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Rio Blanco County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Z	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total			
County	Age Group (Years)									
Rio Blanco	0 to 3	135	51%	129	49%	264	15%			
	3 to 5	86	51%	82	49%	168	9%			
	5 to 6	42	51%	40	49%	82	5%			
	6 to 13	261	49%	277	51%	538	30%			
	13 to 18	219	52%	203	48%	422	23%			
	18 to 21	169	52%	159	48%	328	18%			
Total	0 to 21	912	51%	890	49%	1,802	100%			

Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Rio Grande County, 2008											
		Male			Female		Total				
		N	% of Males in Age group	Ζ	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Rio Grande	0 to 3	240	51%	228	49%	468	13%				
	3 to 5	175	51%	166	49%	341	10%				
	5 to 6	86	51%	81	49%	167	5%				
	6 to 13	623	51%	610	49%	1,233	35%				
	13 to 18	407	47%	457	53%	864	25%				
	18 to 21	224	50%	225	50%	449	13%				
	0 to 21	1,755	50%	1,767	50%	3,522	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Routt County, 2008										
			Male		Female	Total					
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Routt	0 to 3	407	51%	387	49%	794	13%				
	3 to 5	277	51%	264	49%	541	9%				
	5 to 6	133	51%	127	49%	260	4%				
	6 to 13	947	50%	931	50%	1,878	32%				
	13 to 18	760	50%	760	50%	1,520	26%				
	18 to 21	504	53%	454	47%	958	16%				
Total	0 to 21	3,028	51%	2,923	49%	5,951	100%				

Projected	Projected Population by Age Group in Years for Saguache County, 2008										
			Male		Female		Total				
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total				
County	Age Group (Years)										
Saguache	0 to 3	129	51%	122	49%	251	13%				
	3 to 5	88	51%	84	49%	172	9%				
	5 to 6	51	51%	49	49%	100	5%				
	6 to 13	360	51%	344	49%	704	35%				
	13 to 18	260	50%	261	50%	521	26%				
	18 to 21	135	52%	125	48%	260	13%				
Total	0 to 21	1,023	51%	985	49%	2,008	100%				

Projected P	opulation by Age Gro	oup ir	Years for San	Juan	County, 2008							
			Male		Female		Total					
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group							
County	Age Group (Years)											
San Juan	0 to 3	8	50%	8	50%	16	14%					
	3 to 5	7	50%	7	50%	14	13%					
	5 to 6	3	50%	3	50%	6	5%					
	6 to 13	16	46%	19	54%	35	31%					
	13 to 18	11	44%	14	56%	25	22%					
	18 to 21	9	56%	7	44%	16	14%					
Total	0 to 21	54	48%	58	52%	112	100%					

Projected Po	pulation by Age Gro	up ir	Years for San	Migu	el County, 2008		
			Male		Female		Total
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
San Miguel	0 to 3	144	51%	137	49%	281	16%
	3 to 5	87	51%	83	49%	170	10%
	5 to 6	45	51%	43	49%	88	5%
	6 to 13	290	52%	269	48%	559	32%
	13 to 18	195	46%	225	54%	420	24%
	18 to 21	115	54%	99	46%	214	12%
Total	0 to 21	876	51%	856	49%	1,732	100%

Projected	Population by Age (	Group i	n Years for Sec	lgwick	County, 2008		
			Male		Female		Total
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Ν	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
Sedgwick	0 to 3	39	51%	37	49%	76	13%
	3 to 5	30	52%	28	48%	58	10%
	5 to 6	12	50%	12	50%	24	4%
	6 to 13	111	55%	90	45%	201	34%
	13 to 18	75	49%	78	51%	153	26%
	18 to 21	36	50%	36	50%	72	12%
Total	0 to 21	303	52%	281	48%	584	100%

Projected I	opulation by Age (	Group i	n Years for Sun	nmit Co	ounty, 2008			
			Male		Female	Total		
		Z	% of Males in Age group	Ν	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total	
County	Age Group (Years)							
Summit	0 to 3	511	51%	486	49%	997	15%	
	3 to 5	364	51%	346	49%	710	11%	
	5 to 6	185	51%	176	49%	361	5%	
	6 to 13	1,220	53%	1,095	47%	2,315	35%	
	13 to 18	776	54%	672	46%	1,448	22%	
	18 to 21	386	51%	371	49%	757	11%	
Total	0 to 21	3,442	52%	3,146	48%	6,588	100%	

Projected Po	opulation by Age Gr	oup in	Years for Teller	Coun	ty, 2008		
			Male		Female		Total
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
Teller	0 to 3	351	51%	334	49%	685	12%
	3 to 5	232	51%	221	49%	453	8%
	5 to 6	127	51%	121	49%	248	4%
	6 to 13	971	51%	918	49%	1,889	32%
	13 to 18	814	51%	788	49%	1,602	27%
	18 to 21	545	53%	493	47%	1,038	18%
Total	0 to 21	3,040	51%	2,875	49%	5,915	100%

Projected Po	opulation by Age Gr	oup in	Years for Wash	ingtor	County, 2008		
			Male		Female		Total
		Z	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
Washington	0 to 3	70	51%	66	49%	136	11%
	3 to 5	45	51%	43	49%	88	7%
	5 to 6	22	51%	21	49%	43	4%
	6 to 13	216	52%	198	48%	414	35%
	13 to 18	177	54%	149	46%	326	27%
	18 to 21	105	55%	86	45%	191	16%
Total	0 to 21	635	53%	563	47%	1,198	100%

<b>Project</b>	ed Population by Ag	e Group	o in Years for We	eld Cou	nty, 2008		
			Male		Female	_	otal
		Ν	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
Weld	0 to 3	6,332	51%	6,040	49%	12,372	15%
	3 to 5	4,349	51%	4,161	49%	8,510	10%
	5 to 6	2,187	51%	2,096	49%	4,283	5%
	6 to 13	13,324	51%	12,782	49%	26,106	31%
	13 to 18	9,147	51%	8,628	49%	17,775	21%
	18 to 21	6,811	49%	7,156	51%	13,967	17%
Total	0 to 21	42,150	51%	40,863	49%	83,013	100%

Project	ed Population by Ag	e Group	in Years for Yu	ma Col	unty, 2008		
			Male		Female	1	Гotal
		N	% of Males in Age group	N	% of Females in Age group	N	% of Total
County	Age Group (Years)						
Yuma	0 to 3	213	51%	203	49%	416	14%
	3 to 5	150	51%	143	49%	293	10%
	5 to 6	75	51%	72	49%	147	5%
	6 to 13	446	47%	502	53%	948	32%
	13 to 18	368	51%	356	49%	724	25%
	18 to 21	197	50%	195	50%	392	13%
Total	0 to 21	1,449	50%	1,471	50%	2,920	100%

### Population by Race/Ethnicity Multi-County Regional Offices

	Popula	tion by	Race for	Northe	ast Reg	gional O	ffice, 2	007						
	Loga	an	Morg	gan	Phil	lips	Sedg	wick	Washi	ngton	Yu	ma	Totals	
	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Z	%	N	%
Race														
White	19,925	95%	27,009	97%	4,392	98%	2,264	97%	4,544	98%	9,518	99%	67,652	96%
Black or African American	630	3%	228	1%	9	0%	16	1%	4	0%	17	0%	904	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	170	1%	317	1%	37	1%	8	0%	37	1%	37	0%	606	1%
Asian	99	0%	82	0%	20	0%	23	1%	9	0%	12	0%	245	0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	24	0%	58	0%	5	0%	6	0%	1	0%	3	0%	97	0%
Two or More Races	207	1%	267	1%	36	1%	23	1%	32	1%	79	1%	644	1%
Total	21,055	100%	27,961	100%	4,499	100%	2,340	100%	4,627	100%	9,666	100%	70,148	100%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

	Ethnicity by Population for Northeast Regional Office, 2007													
Logan Morgan Phillips Sedgwick Washington Yuma Totals														
	N	%	N	%	Z	%	Ν	%	Ζ	%	Ζ	%	Ν	%
Ethnicity														
Hispanic or Latino	2,922	14%	9,572	34%	842	19%	354	15%	401	9%	1,991	21%	16,082	23%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Northwest Regional Office, 2007													
	Gra	ınd	Jack	son	Moff	fat	Rio B	lanco	Rou	ıtt		Totals	
	N	ا % I		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Race													
White	13,135	96%	1,351	98%	13,166	96%	6,004	96%	21,686	97%	55,342	97%	
Black or African American	132	1%	4	0%	44	0%	27	0%	131	1%	338	1%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	71	1%	14	1%	143	1%	78	1%	109	0%	415	1%	
Asian	132	1%	1	0%	82	1%	25	0%	179	1%	419	1%	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	11	0%	0	0%	4	0%	0	0%	18	0%	33	0%	
Two or More Races	131	1%	11	1%	209	2%	93	1%	259	1%	703	1%	
Total	13,612	100%	1,381	100%	13,648	100%	6,227	100%	22,382	100%	57,250	100%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Eth	Ethnicity by Population for Northwest Regional Office, 2007												
Grand Jackson Moffat Rio Blanco Routt Totals													
	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	
Ethnicity													
Hispanic or Latino	701	5%	115	8%	1,911	14%	366	6%	939	4%	4,032	7%	

Population by Race for South Central Regional Office, 2007													
	Alam	iosa	Chaf	fee	Con	ejos	Cos	tilla	Cus	ster	Frem	ont	
	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Race													
White	13,986	91%	15,975	95%	7,759	96%	3,029	92%	3,909	97%	43,045	91%	
Black or African American	270	2%	283	2%	27	0%	34	1%	14	0%	2,600	5%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	517	3%	208	1%	169	2%	116	4%	43	1%	761	2%	
Asian	200	1%	93	1%	26	0%	34	1%	16	0%	287	1%	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	30	0%	8	0%	7	0%	19	1%	0	0%	33	0%	
Two or More Races	310	2%	214	1%	86	1%	77	2%	43	1%	663	1%	
Total	15,313	100%	16,781	100%	8,074	100%	3,309	100%	4,025	100%	47,389	100%	

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for South Central Regional Office, 2007												
	Alan	Alamosa		fee	Con	ejos	Cos	stilla	Cus	ster	Fren	nont
	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic or Latino	6,684	44%	1,512	9%	4,525	56%	2,074	63%	154	4%	5,131	11%

	Population by Race for South Central Regional Office, 2007 (continued)														
	Huer	fano	Lak	æ	Las A	nimas	Min	eral	Rio Gr	ande	Sa	aguache	Tota	al	
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	
Race															
White	7,076	90%	7,554	95%	14,879	93%	931	97%	11,105	96%	6,624	96%	135,872	93%	
Black or African American	285	4%	22	0%	206	1%	0	0%	82	1%	11	0%	3,834	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	252	3%	153	2%	482	3%	7	1%	235	2%	152	2%	3,095	2%	
Asian	45	1%	76	1%	125	1%	0	0%	68	1%	33	0%	1,003	1%	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	6	0%	8	0%	41	0%	0	0%	8	0%	4	0%	164	0%	
Two or More Races	173	2%	100	1%	277	2%	24	2%	129	1%	96	1%	2,192	1%	
Total	7,837	100%	7,913	100%	16,010	100%	962	100%	11,627	100%	6,920	100%	146,160	100%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

	Ethnicit	y by Pop	ulation fo	r South	Central	Regiona	al Office	, 2007 (	continue	d)				
	Huer	fano	Lak	се	Las A	nimas	Min	eral	Rio G	rande	Sa	guache	Tota	al
	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ethnicity														
Hispanic or Latino	2,633	34%	3,304	42%	6,388	40%	22	2%	4,397	38%	3,219	47%	40,043	27%

		Population	on by Race for South	west Re	egional C	Office, 20	07					
	Ar	chuleta	Dolores		La P	Plata	Montez	uma	Sa	an Juan	То	tal
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Race												
White	12,095	96%	1,834	96%	44,926	91%	21,305	84%	543	97%	80,703	90%
Black or African American	60	0%	1	0%	307	1%	119	0%	0	0%	487	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	202	2%	52	3%	3,135	6%	3,282	13%	7	1%	6,678	7%
Asian	48	0%	10	1%	372	1%	99	0%	2	0%	531	1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	3	0%	1	0%	26	0%	26	0%	6	1%	62	0%
Two or More Races	164	1%	16	1%	789	2%	390	2%	1	0%	1,360	2%
Total	12,572	100%	1,914	100%	49,555	100%	25,221	100%	559	100%	89,821	100%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

	Ethnicity by Population for Southwest Regional Office, 2007												
	Arch	uleta	Dolores		La F	Plata	Montez	zuma	S	an Juan	То	tal	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Ethnicity													
Hispanic or Latino	2,016	16%	101	5%	5,187	10%	2,375	9%	61	11%	9,740	11%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

	Pop	ulation by	Race for Sc	utheast R	egional (	Office, 200	7			
	Ва	ca	Ber	nt	Che	yenne	Cr	owley	Kiowa	а
	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
Race										
White	3,702	96%	5,118	88%	1,726	98%	5,641	85%	1,293	97%
Black or African American	3	0%	400	7%	11	1%	671	10%	8	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	59	2%	173	3%	19	1%	194	3%	22	2%
Asian	14	0%	54	1%	0	0%	71	1%	1	0%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	4	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Two or More Races	89	2%	99	2%	7	0%	65	1%	7	1%
Total	3,871	100%	5,844	100%	1,763	100%	6,643	100%	1,332	100%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

	Ethnicity by Population for Southeast Regional Office, 2007											
	Ва	ca	Ber	nt	Che	yenne	Cr	owley	Kio	wa		
	N	%	N %		Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%		
Ethnicity												
Hispanic or Latino	363	9%	1,844	32%	172	10%	1,564	24%	63	5%		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

Population by Race for Southeast Regional Office, 2007 (continued)													
	Kit Ca	arson	Lincol	n	Ó	tero	Prow	ers		Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%			
Race													
White	7,514	95%	4,803	90%	17,735	94%	12,620	96%	60,152	93%			
Black or African American	289	4%	316	6%	216	1%	79	1%	1,993	3%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	54	1%	70	1%	363	2%	244	2%	1,198	2%			
Asian	30	0%	50	1%	168	1%	92	1%	480	1%			
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	4	0%	4	0%	35	0%	13	0%	62	0%			
Two or More Races	37	0%	83	2%	337	2%	133	1%	857	1%			
Total	7,928	100%	5,326	100%	18,854	100%	13,181	100%	64,742	100%			

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Southeast Regional Office, 2007 (continued)											
	Kit Ca	arson	Linco	ln	O	tero	Prow	ers		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic or Latino	1,494	19%	587	11%	7,355	39%	4,930	37%	18,372	28%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Tri-County Regional Office, 2007													
	Ada	ıms	Arapah	oe	Doug	las	Elbe	ert		Total			
	N	N %		%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%			
Race													
White	380,319	90%	448,183	82%	251,497	92%	21,771	96%	1,101,770	87%			
Black or African American	14,716	3%	52,880	10%	4,946	2%	251	1%	72,793	6%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	5,507	1%	4,392	1%	1,354	0%	158	1%	11,411	1%			
Asian	13,855	3%	24,960	5%	9,594	4%	159	1%	48,568	4%			
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	633	0%	897	0%	161	0%	18	0%	1,709	0%			
Two or More Races	7,465	2%	13,777	3%	4,565	2%	363	2%	26,170	2%			
Total	422,495	100%	545,089	100%	272,117	100%	22,720	100%	1,262,421	100%			

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

Ethnicity by Population for Tri-County Regional Office, 2007											
	Ada	ams	Arapah	oe	Doug	las	Elbe	ert		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Ethnicity											
Hispanic or Latino	148,907	35%	93,230	17%	19,202	7%	1,247	5%	262,586	21%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Western Slope Office, 2007												
	Del	lta	Eag	Eagle		Garfield		Gunnison		dale	Mesa	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Race												
White	29,248	96%	49,709	97%	51,746	96%	14,388	96%	818	98%	133,360	96%
Black or African American	209	1%	312	1%	460	1%	109	1%	0	0%	1,254	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	284	1%	368	1%	450	1%	160	1%	14	2%	1,480	1%
Asian	154	1%	563	1%	288	1%	107	1%	3	0%	982	1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	14	0%	39	0%	35	0%	6	0%	0	0%	166	0%
Two or More Races	425	1%	368	1%	652	1%	203	1%	3	0%	1,840	1%
Total	30,334	100%	51,359	100%	53,631	100%	14,973	100%	838	100%	139,082	100%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Western Slope Office, 2007												
Delta Eagle Garfield Gunnison Hinsdale Mesa												
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic or Latino	3,934	13%	14,514	28%	12,874	24%	955	6%	12	1%	16,193	12%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007

Population by Race for Western Slope Office, 2007 (continued)												
	Mont	Montrose		Ouray		Pitkin		San Miguel		nmit	Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Race												
White	37,929	96%	4,265	97%	14,588	97%	7,286	97%	25,598	96%	368,935	96%
Black or African American	294	1%	5	0%	109	1%	24	0%	288	1%	3,064	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	562	1%	40	1%	42	0%	64	1%	159	1%	3,623	1%
Asian	241	1%	13	0%	207	1%	70	1%	256	1%	2,884	1%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	25	0%	2	0%	5	0%	6	0%	21	0%	319	0%
Two or More Races	476	1%	53	1%	155	1%	83	1%	225	1%	4,483	1%
Total	39,527	100%	4,378	100%	15,106	100%	7,533	100%	26,547	100%	383,308	100%

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Western Slope Office, 2007 (continued)												
	Montrose Ouray Pitkin San Miguel Summit Total											
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic or Latino	6,691	17%	233	5%	1,235	8%	666	9%	3,798	14%	61,105	16%

Population by Race for Jefferson Office, 2007												
	Broomfield Clear Creek		Gilpin		Jefferson		Park		Total			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Race												
White	49,126	91%	8,542	95%	4,817	95%	492,833	93%	16,349	96%	571,667	93%
Black or African American	704	1%	72	1%	56	1%	7,953	2%	130	1%	8,915	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	384	1%	90	1%	41	1%	5,041	1%	158	1%	5,714	1%
Asian	2,545	5%	80	1%	63	1%	13,850	3%	108	1%	16,646	3%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	21	0%	3	0%	8	0%	704	0%	4	0%	740	0%
Two or More Races	911	2%	169	2%	106	2%	8,973	2%	255	1%	10,414	2%
Total	53,691	100%	8,956	100%	5,091	100%	529,354	100%	17,004	100%	614,096	100%

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Jefferson Office, 2007												
Broomfield Clear Creek Gilpin Jefferson Park Total												
	N	%	Ν	%	Ζ	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ethnicity												
Hispanic or Latino	5,990	11%	452	5%	284	6%	72,504	14%	937	6%	80,167	13%

Population by Race for El Paso Office, 2007										
	El Pa	El Paso		ller	Total					
	N	%	N	%	N	%				
Race										
White	501,160	85%	20,642	95%	521,802	86%				
Black or African American	40,803	7%	302	1%	41,105	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	6,455	1%	257	1%	6,712	1%				
Asian	18,405	3%	173	1%	18,578	3%				
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1,655	0%	16	0%	1,671	0%				
Two or More Races	18,794	3%	434	2%	19,228	3%				
Total	587,272	100%	21,824	100%	609,096	100%				

County Population by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin: April 1, 2000 through July 1, 2007
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for El Paso Office, 2007									
	El Paso Teller Total								
	N	%	N	%	N	%			
Ethnicity									
Hispanic or Latino	77,252	13%	1,103	5%	78,355	13%			

### Population By Race/Ethnicity Single County Regional Offices

Population by Race for Boulder County, 2007		
	Boulde	r
	N	%
Race		
White	268,602	93%
Black or African American	3,386	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2,181	1%
Asian	11,156	4%
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	197	0%
Two or More Races	4,740	2%
Total	290,262	100%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Boulder County, 2007									
Boulder									
	N	%							
Race									
Hispanic or Latino	38,124	13%							

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Denver County, 2007					
	Denve	r			
	N	%			
Race					
White	488,934	83%			
Black or African American	59,569	10%			
American Indian or Alaska Native	7,605	1%			
Asian	19,957	3%			
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	1,199	0%			
Two or More Races	11,085	2%			
Total	588,349	100%			

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Denver County, 2007		
	Denver	
	N	%
Race		
Hispanic or Latino	202,232	34%

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Larimer County, 2007				
	Larime	er		
	N	%		
Race				
White	272,058	95%		
Black or African American	3,022	1%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2,190	1%		
Asian	5,340	2%		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	260	0%		
Two or More Races	4,704	2%		
Total	287,574	100%		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
File: 7/1/2006 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2006-alldata-08.csv, Release Date: August 9, 2007

#### Ethnicity by Population for Larimer County, 2007

	Larimer		
	N %		
Race			
Hispanic or Latino	28,163	10%	

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Weld County, 2007				
	Weld			
	N	%		
Race				
White	232,483	95%		
Black or African American	2,476	1%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	2,275	1%		
Asian	3,105	1%		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	270	0%		
Two or More Races	3,141	1%		
Total	243,750	100%		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Weld County, 2007		
	Weld	
	N	%
Race		
Hispanic or Latino	66,845	27%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics
File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Population by Race for Pueblo County, 2007					
		Pueblo	0		
		N	%		
	Race				
White		144,131	93%		
Black or African American		3,726	2%		
American Indian or Alaska Native		2,829	2%		
Asian		1,267	1%		
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander		188	0%		
Two or More Races		2,397	2%		
Total		154,538	100%		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics


File: 7/1/2007 County Characteristics Resident Population Estimates File, http://www.census.gov/popest/counties/asrh/files/cc-est2007-6race-08.csv, Release Date: August 7, 2008

Ethnicity by Population for Pueblo County, 2007						
	Pueblo					
N 0						
Race						
Hispanic or Latino	60,039	39%				

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, county population estimates - characteristics

## Colorado Children's Public Health Insurance

# Colorado Health Institute March, 2009









## ISSUE BRIEF

#### COLORADO CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE

2009 Update

The large number of children lacking health insurance in Colorado (approximately 153,000) has resulted in a growing interest among policymakers and others to find ways to extend coverage to as many children as possible within current fiscal constraints. To evaluate all available policy options, it is necessary to develop accurate and reliable estimates of the size and household characteristics of Colorado's uninsured children. Currently, the Medicaid and the Child Health Plan Plus (CHP+) programs provide publicly financed health insurance to a large number of low-income children in Colorado, although a significant number of uninsured children are eligible for but not enrolled in these programs. The extent to which children are eligible but not enrolled in Medicaid and CHP+ varies by county—a fact that has implications for strategies for enrollment efforts.

Over the past several months, the Colorado Health Institute (CHI) has conducted analyses relating to children's health insurance coverage. This brief summarizes these analyses and includes a discussion of:

 The number of uninsured and privately insured children in Colorado based on reported family income;

- The number of uninsured children in Colorado (at the state and county levels) who are eligible but not enrolled in Medicaid and CHP+; and,
- A subset of uninsured immigrant children who are currently ineligible for Medicaid or CHP+.

The primary data source used for this analysis was the U.S. Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (CPS), March Supplement, averaged over a three-year period from 2005-07. The CPS is a sample survey of approximately 4,000 Colorado households conducted every March. All data are self-reported.

Please see the Methods and Limitations section at the end of this brief for a description of how the estimates were calculated and the limitations of the data.

## Estimates of colorado's insured and uninsured children

From 2005-07, the majority of Colorado's 1.3 million children were covered by private insurance. In addition to these 855,000 children, an estimated 247,000 had other types of insurance (largely Medicaid and CHP+), while more than 153,000 were uninsured (Table 1).

Table I. Colorado children ages 0-18 by insurance status, family income and documentation/citizenship status, 2005-07 average

Family income					All other	
(percent of FPL) <sup>a</sup>	Uninsured <sup>b</sup>		Priva	tely insured	insurance <sup>d</sup>	Total
		95% Confidence		95% Confidence		
	Estimate	interval (+/-)	Estimate	interval (+/-)		
0-133%	55,133	13,092	42,194	12,635	145,574	242,901
>133% - 200%	23,097	7,827	61,632	15,224	54,452	139,181
>200% - 300%	17,243	7,031	149,506	23,362	29,045	195,794
>300% - 400%	15,382		155,793		1,862	173,037
>400%	21,241	8,337	437,493	32,062	12,335	471,069
Ineligible children based on documentation or citizen-						
ship status <sup>c</sup>	21,192	7,532	7,988	5,175	3,237	32,417
Total	153,288		854,606		246,505	1,254,399

#### NOTES TO TABLE I

a. The Federal Poverty Level (FPL) in 2007 was \$20,650 for a family of four.

b. The health insurance coverage question on the CPS asks about coverage over the entire prior calendar year. Parents of those children counted as uninsured indicated their children had no source of coverage (public or private) for the entire previous calendar year. Research, however, has shown that the CPS more closely approximates uninsured status at a point in time, e.g., March, rather than uninsured status for an entire calendar year.

c. Includes estimated number of undocumented children and documented non-citizen children who have resided in the U.S. for fewer than five years.

d. Estimates in this column are included for informational purposes; they do not include confidence intervals due to the instability of the estimates. Results should be interpreted accordingly.

Source: CHI analysis of the 2006-08 Current Population Surveys (covering CYs 2005-07)

Included among the uninsured were approximately 21,000 children who lacked immigration documentation or who had not resided in the United States legally for at least five years, the amount of time required for legal immigrants to be eligible for Medicaid or CHP+ coverage. Of these children, an estimated 8,000 had private health insurance coverage.

#### Children eligible but not enrolled

Of the approximate 153,000 uninsured Colorado children ages birth-18 years, CHI found that more than 78,000 were eligible for but not enrolled in the Medicaid and CHP+ programs. On average, between 2005 and 2007, nearly 48,000 children were eligible for the Medicaid program but not enrolled and approximately 31,000 were eligible but not enrolled in the CHP+ program (Table 2). These estimates include only uninsured children who were U.S. citizens or documented non-citizens who had resided in the country for five or more years and met income guidelines.

Table 2. Uninsured Colorado children ages 0-18 years eligible but not enrolled (EBNE) in Medicaid or CHP+, 2005-07 average

		95% Confidence
	Estimate	interval (+/-)
EBNE Medicaid	47,604	11,425
EBNE CHP+	30,626	8,619
Total EBNE	78,230	

Source: CHI analysis of the 2006-08 Current Population Surveys (covering CYs 2005-07)

#### County-level estimates of EBNE children

Of the estimated 258,000 children eligible for Medicaid in CYs 2005-07, approximately 82 percent (211,000 children) were enrolled (Table 3). The percentage of Medicaid-eligible children enrolled ranged from 35 percent in Pitkin County to 87 percent in Prowers County.<sup>2</sup> Because both Pitkin and Prowers counties (and many other counties in Colorado) have small populations of children compared to large urban counties such as Denver and Arapahoe, the potential for misspecification of enrollment rates is amplified. For example, Pitkin County had the lowest Medicaid enrollment rate but also accounted for less than .01 percent of all eligible but not enrolled children statewide (figure not displayed). CHI advises that in counties with small population sizes, caution be used in interpreting the rates displayed in Tables 3-5.

CHP+ enrollment rates for eligible children ages 18 years and younger were lower than Medicaid rates with 60 percent (45,000) of eligible children enrolled statewide. Among the counties, estimated enrollment rates for CHP+ ranged from a low of 24 percent in Pitkin County to a high of 77 percent in Mesa County (Table 4).

Also see the Colorado maps showing Medicaid and CHP+ enrollment by county on pages 11 and 12.

Table 3. Number and percent of children (ages 0-18) eligible but not enrolled (EBNE) in Medicaid by county, Colorado, 2005-07

	A. Total	5 M 15 M		<b>5 -</b> 1 11 11 11	E. Percent	F. Percent
Country	children	B. Medicaid	C. Medicaid	D. Total eligible	EBNE in	enrolled in
County Adams	0-18 120,235	enrolled 26,188	EBNE 4,667	Medicaid (B+C) 30,855	Medicaid (C/D)	Medicaid (B/D) 84.9%
Alamosa	3,951	1,379	250	1,629	15.1%	84.7%
Arapahoe	149,087	23,444	6,171	29,615	20.8%	79.2%
Archuleta	2,581	535	142	677	21.0%	79.0%
Baca	1,192	247	75	322	23.3%	76.7%
Bent	1,172	418	73	496	15.7%	84.3%
Boulder	69,163	7,478	2,070	9,548	21.7%	78.3%
Broomfield	11,910	1,026	266	1,292	20.6%	79.4%
Chaffee	3,442	502	162	664	24.4%	75.6%
Cheyenne	564	88	29	117	24.7%	75.3%
Clear Creek	2,180	222	46	268	17.2%	82.8%
Conejos	2,218	722	139	861	16.1%	83.9%
Costilla	967	294	61	355	17.2%	82.8%
Crowley	1,398	281	71	352	20.2%	79.8%
Custer	742	109	35	144	24.4%	75.6%
Delta	7,260	1,530	400	1,930	20.7%	79.3%
Denver	146,795	36,136	7,706	43,842	17.6%	82.4%
Dolores	481	95	26	121	21.5%	78.5%
Douglas	79,804	2,596	560	3,156	17.7%	82.3%
Eagle	10,341	1,280	445	1,725	25.8%	74.2%
El Paso	165,420	26,674	5,324	31,998	16.6%	83.4%
Elbert	5,031	509	257	766	33.6%	66.4%
Fremont	9,778	2,206	460	2,666	17.3%	82.7%
Garfield	11,309	2,028	415	2,443	17.0%	83.0%
Gilpin	1,113	110	24	134	17.9%	82.1%
Grand	3,089	300	133	433	30.7%	69.3%
Gunnison	3,465	349	150	499	30.0%	70.0%
Hinsdale	196	Not available	9	Not available	Not available	Not available
Huerfano	2,076	487	130	617	21.1%	78.9%
Jackson	407	62	15	77	19.5%	80.5%
Jefferson	129,890	14,454	3,796	18,250	20.8%	79.2%
Kiowa	411	71	21	92	22.7%	77.3%
Kit Carson	2,029	389	104	493	21.1%	78.9%
La Plata	11,462	1,585	634	2,219	28.6%	71.4%
Lake	1,939	372	84	456	18.4%	81.6%

Table 3 (continued)

Country	A. Total children 0-18	B. Medicaid enrolled	C. Medicaid EBNE	D. Total eligible Medicaid (B+C)	E. Percent EBNE in	F. Percent enrolled in
County Larimer	68,816	9,012	2,245	II,257	Medicaid (C/D)	Medicaid (B/D) 80.1%
Las Animas	4,014	934	253	11,237	21.3%	78.7%
Lincoln	1,540	321	79	400	19.8%	80.2%
	5,191	993	266	1,259	21.1%	78.9%
Logan Mesa	32,825	7,039	1,314	8,353	15.7%	84.3%
Mineral	207	Not available	1,314	Not available	Not available	Not available
Moffat	3,405	650	125	775	16.1%	83.9%
Montezuma	6,216	1,611	344	1,955	17.6%	82.4%
Montrose	8,720	2,105	482	2,587	18.6%	81.4%
Morgan	6,879	1,708	352	2,060	17.1%	82.9%
Otero	5,362	1,645	338	1,983	17.1%	83.0%
Ouray	929	1,015	39	140	27.8%	72.2%
Park	3,078	408	144	552	26.1%	73.9%
Phillips	1,134	208	58	266	21.8%	78.2%
Pitkin	3,691	86	159	245	64.8%	35.2%
Prowers	3,666	1,300	187	1,487	12.6%	87.4%
Pueblo	38,499	12,102	2,661	14,763	18.0%	82.0%
Rio Blanco	1,546	292	57	349	16.3%	83.7%
Rio Grande	3,278	1,096	206	1,302	15.8%	84.2%
Routt	5,085	345	186	531	35.0%	65.0%
Saguache	1,562	554	99	653	15.2%	84.8%
San Juan	145	Not available	8	Not available	Not available	Not available
San Miguel	1,720	131	95	226	42.0%	58.0%
Sedgwick	695	85	35	120	29.2%	70.8%
Summit	5,845	621	252	873	28.9%	71.1%
Teller	4,356	701	205	906	22.6%	77.4%
Washington	1,248	181	64	245	26.1%	73.9%
Weld	68,813	11,677	2,257	13,934	16.2%	83.8%
Yuma	2,492	556	128	684	18.7%	81.3%
Total	1,254,401	210,695	47,603	258,298	18.4%	81.6%

Not available = Not reported by HCPF due to fewer than 30 cases.

Sources: CHI analysis of the 2007 American Community Survey and 2006-08 Current Population Survey (covering CY 2005-07).

Table 4. Number and percent of children (ages 0-18) eligible but not enrolled (EBNE) in CHP+ by county, Colorado, 2005-07

Colorado, 200	)3-07				E. Percent	F. Percent
	A. Total	B. CHP+	C. CHP+	D. Total eligible	EBNE in	enrolled in
County	children 0-18	enrolled	EBNE	CHP+ (B+C)	CHP+ (C/D)	CHP+ (B/D)
Adams	120,235	5,764	2,584	8,348	31.0%	69.0%
Alamosa	3,951	395	141	536	26.3%	73.7%
Arapahoe	149,087	4,571	3,276	7,847	41.8%	58.2%
Archuleta	2,581	209	99	308	32.2%	67.8%
Baca	1,192	72	43	115	37.4%	62.6%
Bent	1,518	62	51	113	45.0%	55.0%
Boulder	69,163	1,457	1,190	2,647	45.0%	55.0%
Broomfield	11,910	340	137	477	28.7%	71.3%
Chaffee	3,442	186	145	331	43.8%	56.2%
Cheyenne	564	29	19	48	39.6%	60.4%
Clear Creek	2,180	51	35	86	40.5%	59.5%
Conejos	2,218	239	79	318	24.9%	75.1%
Costilla	967	70	35	105	33.3%	66.7%
Crowley	1,398	74	47	121	38.8%	61.2%
Custer	742	40	32	72	44.5%	55.5%
Delta	7,260	413	278	691	40.2%	59.8%
Denver	146,795	6,007	3,897	9,904	39.3%	60.7%
Dolores	481	45	19	64	29.7%	70.3%
Douglas	79,804	785	947	1,732	54.7%	45.3%
Eagle	10,341	239	435	674	64.5%	35.5%
El Paso	165,420	3,254	5,082	8,336	61.0%	39.0%
Elbert	5,031	112	169	281	60.1%	39.9%
Fremont	9,778	536	410	946	43.4%	56.6%
Garfield	11,309	565	305	870	35.1%	64.9%
Gilpin	1,113	Not available	17	Not available	Not available	Not available
Grand	3,089	129	130	259	50.1%	49.9%
Gunnison	3,465	149	146	295	49.5%	50.5%
Hinsdale	196	Not available	8	Not available	Not available	Not available
Huerfano	2,076	119	74	193	38.3%	61.7%
Jackson	407	Not available	П	Not available	Not available	Not available
Jefferson	129,890	3,302	2,469	5,771	42.8%	57.2%
Kiowa	411	Not available	13	Not available	Not available	Not available
Kit Carson	2,029	177	67	244	27.5%	72.5%
La Plata	11,462	732	439	1,171	37.5%	62.5%
Lake	1,939	112	82	194	42.3%	57.7%
Larimer	68,816	2,691	1,478	4,169	35.5%	64.5%

Table 4 (continued)

County	A. Total children 0-18	B. CHP+ enrolled	C. CHP+ EBNE	D. Total eligible CHP+ (B+C)	E. Percent EBNE in CHP+ (C/D)	F. Percent enrolled in CHP+ (B/D)
Las Animas	4,014	196	143	339	42.2%	57.8%
Lincoln	1,540	53	52	105	49.6%	50.4%
Logan	5,191	265	173	438	39.5%	60.5%
Mesa	32,825	2,077	618	2,695	22.9%	77.1%
Mineral	207	Not available	10	Not available	Not available	Not available
Moffat	3,405	194	92	286	32.1%	67.9%
Montezuma	6,216	561	238	799	29.8%	70.2%
Montrose	8,720	878	334	1,212	27.6%	72.4%
Morgan	6,879	419	230	649	35.4%	64.6%
Otero	5,362	321	191	512	37.3%	62.7%
Ouray	929	39	39	78	49.8%	50.2%
Park	3,078	100	129	229	56.4%	43.6%
Phillips	1,134	59	38	97	39.3%	60.7%
Pitkin	3,691	50	155	205	75.8%	24.2%
Prowers	3,666	322	123	445	27.7%	72.3%
Pueblo	38,499	1,647	957	2,604	36.7%	63.3%
Rio Blanco	1,546	44	42	86	49.0%	51.0%
Rio Grande	3,278	303	116	419	27.7%	72.3%
Routt	5,085	177	137	314	43.6%	56.4%
Saguache	1,562	120	55	175	31.5%	68.5%
San Juan	145	Not available	6	Not available	Not available	Not available
San Miguel	1,720	75	65	140	46.5%	53.5%
Sedgwick	695	43	23	66	34.8%	65.2%
Summit	5,845	129	245	374	65.6%	34.4%
Teller	4,356	210	183	393	46.5%	53.5%
Washington	1,248	76	41	117	35.1%	64.9%
Weld	68,813	3,506	1,719	5,225	32.9%	67.1%
Yuma	2,492	160	82	242	33.9%	66.1%
Total	1,254,401	45,146	30,625	75,771	40.4%	59.6%

Not available = Not reported by HCPF due to fewer than 30 cases.

Sources: CHI analysis of the 2007 American Community Survey and 2006-08 Current Population Survey (covering CY 2005-07).

Examining the two programs together (Table 5), CHI found that nearly one-quarter (23%) of eligible Colorado children were not enrolled in Medicaid or CHP+ during the time period studied. Pitkin County had the lowest joint enrollment rate (30%), with approximately 136 out of 450 eligible children enrolled in Medicaid or CHP+. Prowers County had the highest enrollment rate at 84 percent.

Table 5. Number and percent of children (ages 0-18) eligible but not enrolled (EBNE) in Medicaid or CHP+ by county, Colorado, 2005-07

County	A. Total children 0-18	B. Medicaid or CHP+ enrolled	C. Medicaid or CHP+ EBNE	D.Total eligible for Medicaid or CHP+ (B+C)	E. Percent EBNE in Medicaid or CHP+ (C/D)	F. Percent enrolled in Medicaid or CHP+ (B/D)
Adams	120,235	31,952	7,251	39,203	18.5%	81.5%
Alamosa	3,951	1,774	391	2,165	18.1%	81.9%
Arapahoe	149,087	28,014	9,447	37,461	25.2%	74.8%
Archuleta	2,581	744	241	985	24.5%	75.5%
Baca	1,192	319	118	437	27.0%	73.0%
Bent	1,518	480	129	609	21.2%	78.8%
Boulder	69,163	8,935	3,260	12,195	26.7%	73.3%
Broomfield	11,910	1,366	403	1,769	22.8%	77.2%
Chaffee	3,442	688	307	995	30.8%	69.2%
Cheyenne	564	117	48	165	29.0%	71.0%
Clear Creek	2,180	274	81	355	22.8%	77.2%
Conejos	2,218	961	218	1,179	18.5%	81.5%
Costilla	967	364	96	460	20.9%	79.1%
Crowley	1,398	355	118	473	24.9%	75.1%
Custer	742	149	67	216	31.1%	68.9%
Delta	7,260	1,943	678	2,621	25.9%	74.1%
Denver	146,795	42,143	11,603	53,746	21.6%	78.4%
Dolores	481	140	45	185	24.3%	75.7%
Douglas	79,804	3,380	1,507	4,887	30.8%	69.2%
Eagle	10,341	1,519	880	2,399	36.7%	63.3%
El Paso	165,420	29,927	10,406	40,333	25.8%	74.2%
Elbert	5,031	621	426	1,047	40.7%	59.3%
Fremont	9,778	2,742	870	3,612	24.1%	75.9%
Garfield	11,309	2,593	720	3,313	21.7%	78.3%
Gilpin	1,113	Not available	41	Not available	Not available	Not available
Grand	3,089	430	263	693	38.0%	62.0%
Gunnison	3,465	498	296	794	37.3%	62.7%
Hinsdale	196	Not available	17	Not available	Not available	Not available
Huerfano	2,076	606	204	810	25.2%	74.8%
Jackson	407	Not available	26	Not available	Not available	Not available
Jefferson	129,890	17,756	6,265	24,021	26.1%	73.9%
Kiowa	411	Not available	34	Not available	Not available	Not available

Table 5 (continued)

County	A. Total children 0-18	B. Medicaid or CHP+ enrolled	C. Medicaid or CHP+ EBNE	D.Total eligible for Medicaid or CHP+ (B+C)	E. Percent EBNE in Medicaid or CHP+ (C/D)	F. Percent enrolled in Medicaid or CHP+ (B/D)
Kit Carson	2,029	566	171	737	23.2%	76.8%
La Plata	11,462	2,317	1,073	3,390	31.7%	68.3%
Lake	1,939	484	166	650	25.5%	74.5%
Larimer	68,816	11,703	3,723	15,426	24.1%	75.9%
Las Animas	4,014	1,129	396	1,525	26.0%	74.0%
Lincoln	1,540	374	131	505	26.0%	74.0%
Logan	5,191	1,258	439	1,697	25.9%	74.1%
Mesa	32,825	9,117	1,932	11,049	17.5%	82.5%
Mineral	207	Not available	20	Not available	Not available	Not available
Moffat	3,405	844	217	1,061	20.5%	79.5%
Montezuma	6,216	2,172	582	2,754	21.1%	78.9%
Montrose	8,720	2,983	816	3,799	21.5%	78.5%
Morgan	6,879	2,127	582	2,709	21.5%	78.5%
Otero	5,362	1,966	529	2,495	21.2%	78.8%
Ouray	929	141	78	219	35.7%	64.3%
Park	3,078	508	273	781	34.9%	65.1%
Phillips	1,134	267	96	363	26.5%	73.5%
Pitkin	3,691	136	314	450	69.8%	30.2%
Prowers	3,666	1,622	310	1,932	16.0%	84.0%
Pueblo	38,499	13,749	3,618	17,367	20.8%	79.2%
Rio Blanco	1,546	336	99	435	22.8%	77.2%
Rio Grande	3,278	1,399	322	1,721	18.7%	81.3%
Routt	5,085	522	323	845	38.2%	61.8%
Saguache	1,562	674	154	828	18.6%	81.4%
San Juan	145	Not available	14	Not available	Not available	Not available
San Miguel	1,720	206	160	366	43.7%	56.3%
Sedgwick	695	128	58	186	31.2%	68.8%
Summit	5,845	750	497	1,247	39.9%	60.1%
Teller	4,356	911	388	1,299	29.9%	70.1%
Washington	1,248	257	105	362	29.0%	71.0%
Weld	68,813	15,183	3,976	19,159	20.8%	79.2%
Yuma	2,492	715	210	925	22.7%	77.3%
Total	1,254,401	255,841	78,228	334,069	23.4%	76.6%

Not available = Not reported by HCPF due to fewer than 30 cases.

Sources: CHI analysis of the 2007 American Community Survey and 2006-08 Current Population Survey (covering CY 2005-07).

Table 6. Number of uninsured, documented non-citizen children (ages 0-18) in Colorado ineligible for Medicaid or CHP+ with fewer than 5 years of residency in the U.S., CY 2005-07 average

	Population estimate	95% confidence interval (+/-)
Eligible for Medicaid	5,124	3,711
Eligible for CHP+	*	*
Total	8,375	4,418

NOTE: Includes all documented non-citizen children ages 0-18 years who have resided in the U.S. for fewer than 5 years. Includes children who were uninsured but eligible for Medicaid and CHP+ based on age and income guidelines. Analysis assumes that non-citizen children legally residing in Colorado did not experience a change in documentation status during their residence in the United States.

\* Estimates are statistically unstable due to small numbers of observations.

Source: CHI analysis of the 2006-08 Current Population Survey (covering CY 2005-07)

In Tables 3-5, the statewide Medicaid and CHP+ enrollment estimate displayed in the last row of Column B was calculated using a 36-month average of monthly caseload figures from the Colorado Department of Health Care Policy and Financing (HCPF). County enrollment (displayed in all other rows of Column B) was determined by applying each county's proportion of all children enrolled for at least one day ("ever enrolled") in FY2006-07 to the statewide 36-month caseload average total. (Please refer to page 10 for additional details about this calculation method.)

#### Uninsured, ineligible non-citizen children

Until February 2009, federal law prohibited states from enrolling non-citizen children with legal documentation who met age and income guidelines for Medicaid and CHP+ but who had not resided legally in the United States for at least five years. Recent legislation passed by Congress<sup>3</sup> eliminates this fiveyear waiting period for legal immigrant children and provides states with the option of enrolling these children. Although Colorado has not changed eligibility guidelines, CHI was asked to estimate the impact of lifting this time-in-country requirement. The analysis found a relatively small number—an estimated 8,000 Colorado children that fit into this category (Table 6). Because the sample size was quite small and had a large margin of error, this number should be interpreted with caution. Estimates for this population could range from as few as 4,000 children to as many as 13,000.

#### Methods and Limitations

#### Health insurance status

Research comparing survey data from the CPS and administrative data from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services suggests that the CPS consistently undercounts the number of people enrolled in Medicaid. Undercounting the Medicaid population could have the effect of overestimating uninsured and privately insured populations.4 CHI adapted methods used by other researchers to adjust for the Medicaid and CHP+ "undercount" and found that underreporting among children resulted in an approximate 12 percent decrease in the estimated number of uninsured children. The specific steps followed to adjust for the Medicaid and CHP+ undercounts are described in a recent CHI presentation.6 CHI staff would be pleased to provide additional details upon request.

#### Citizenship and documentation status

The CPS contains variables on citizenship but not on documentation status. Based on methods used by the Urban Institute, CHI assumed that 41.5 percent of non-citizen children were undocumented.<sup>7</sup> From the remaining group of non-citizen children, CHI removed any children from the estimate who had resided in the United States fewer than five years.

#### Notes to tables 1, 2 and 6

Tables I, 2 and 6 include a column for a population estimate with an accompanying 95 percent confidence interval.8 Because of the very small number of

observations on which some of these estimates are based, CHI combined results from the three most recent CPS surveys (2006-08, covering calendar years 2005-07) to produce a more stable estimate. In spite of using a 3-year average and reducing the margin of error, some variables still have a very small number of observations on which to calculate an estimate; therefore we encourage the reader to use the estimate prudently.

#### Notes to tables 3-5

To determine the statewide estimate for the number of children who were eligible but not enrolled in Medicaid and CHP+, CHI used the results of its CPS-based analysis reported in Table 2. To determine the distribution of these children across Colorado's 64 counties, CHI used methods recommended by the State Health Access Data Assistance Center (SHADAC) at the University of Minnesota. SHADAC proposed using county population estimates derived from the American Community Survey (ACS)<sup>9</sup> and uninsured rates derived from the Current Population Survey (CPS) to derive the number of EBNE children in each county. Additional details about this calculation are available upon request.

Specific methods used to calculate the estimates contained in Tables 3-5 included:

- CHI uniformly adjusted the eligible but not enrolled county estimates downward by 12.3 percent to adjust for the Medicaid undercount.
- CHI was not able to provide confidence intervals for the county eligible but not enrolled estimates due to the fact that the estimates were derived from multiple data sources.
- The Medicaid and CHP+ enrollment estimates displayed in Column B of Tables 3-5 were calculated using official statewide caseload figures as well as county "ever enrolled" data provided by the Department of Health Care Policy and Financing. Official caseload numbers summarize children who were enrolled based on 12 months of enrollment similar to a full-time equivalent (FTE) calculation (for example, two children who were each enrolled for six months would be counted as one enrollee). Official caseload numbers, however, are only available at the state level. To estimate county-level

caseload numbers, CHI used "ever enrolled" data to calculate each county's percentage of the state's "ever enrolled" total. These data summarize the number of individual children who were enrolled in Medicaid for at least one day during the fiscal year. CHI then applied each county's percentage of "ever enrolled" children to the official statewide caseload number.<sup>10</sup> The resulting county-level caseload estimates are summarized in column B.

 Because enrollment data were unavailable for a few small counties, the sum of the counties does not equal the state enrollment totals in Tables 3-5.

#### For more information

CHI welcomes the opportunity to discuss any questions this document may raise or to provide additional information about the methods, data sources or assumptions. Please contact Jeff Bontrager, senior research analyst, at 303.831.4200 x 205 or info@coloradohealthinstitute.org.

#### **Data online**

The county and state estimates included in Tables 3-5 are available for download in Excel format on CHI's Web site. Tables, maps, and graphs of the data are also available online.

- I.Go to <a href="http://datacenter.coloradohealthinstitute.">http://datacenter.coloradohealthinstitute.</a> org/data.jsp
- Click on "Uninsured, Health Coverage and Access"
- 3. Click on "Eligible but not enrolled children"

#### **Endnotes**

<sup>1</sup> Currently, children 5 years old and younger with family incomes at or below 133% of the federal poverty level (FPL) are eligible for Medicaid, whereas children ages 6 to 18 are eligible if their family income is at or below 100 percent of FPL. Children in families with incomes that are higher than the Medicaid limits but at or below 205% of FPL are eligible for CHP+. In 2009, the FPL for a family of four is \$22,050. Additional eligibility guidelines are discussed in this paper.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Numbers for some counties were not available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Federal legislation passed by Congress in February 2009 reauthorized the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), in Colorado known as Child Health Plan Plus (CHP+).

<sup>4</sup>For more information on adjusting for the Medicaid and CHP+ undercount and other issues related to the CPS, see C. Hoffman and J. Holahan, "What is the Current Population Survey telling us about the number of uninsured?" available from the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured at <a href="http://www.kff.org/uninsured/upload/7384.pdf">http://www.kff.org/uninsured/upload/7384.pdf</a>.

<sup>5</sup> Dubay, L., J. Holohan and A. Cook (2006). "The uninsured and the affordability of health insurance coverage." *Health Affairs* Web Exclusive. 30 November, W22-30. Available at <a href="http://content.healthaffairs.org/cgi/content/full/26/1/w22">http://content.healthaffairs.org/cgi/content/full/26/1/w22</a>.

<sup>6</sup> See CHI's presentation, "Estimates of Colorado's children who are income-eligible but not enrolled in Medicaid or CHP+" (July 15, 2008). Available at <a href="http://www.coloradohealthinstitute.org/Documents/2008">http://www.coloradohealthinstitute.org/Documents/2008</a> Presentations/EligibleChildren 7-15-08.pdf.


<sup>7</sup> Dubay, L. J. Haley and G. Kenney (2002). "Children's eligibility for Medicaid and SCHIP: A view from 2000." The Urban


Institute, New Federalism: Series B, No. B-41. Available at <a href="http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/310435.pdf">http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/310435.pdf</a>.

<sup>8</sup> A 95% confidence interval (also called a margin of error) is the range within which an estimate is expected to fall 95% of the time if random samples of the same population are taken at multiple times.

<sup>9</sup> The American Community Survey (ACS) is conducted annually by the U.S. Census Bureau to collect economic, social, demographic and housing information about U.S. communities. Additional information is available at <a href="http://www.census.gov/acs/www/index.html">http://www.census.gov/acs/www/index.html</a>.

<sup>10</sup> The statewide caseload number used was the 36-month average of official monthly Medicaid and CHP+ caseload figures covering CY 2005-07 (the same period covered by the CPS).





1576 Sherman St., Ste. 300 • Denver, CO 80203-1728 • 303.831.4200 • 303.831.4247 fax • www.coloradohealthinstitute.org



The Colorado Health Institute (CHI) is an independent, nonprofit health policy and research organization based in Denver. It was established in 2002 by Caring for Colorado Foundation, The Colorado Trust and Rose Community Foundation. CHI's mission is to advance the overall health of the people of Colorado by serving as an independent and impartial source of reliable and relevant data for informed decisionmaking.



## **Professional Shortage Areas**



A Health Professional Shortage Area is a federal designation status of a community that has insufficient primary care, oral health, and/or mental health capacity to meet the needs of the population. A *geographic* designation is created by determining the ratio of providers in direct outpatient care to the population of a health service area that is not living in an institutional setting. A *low income population* designation is created by determining the ratio of providers in direct outpatient care serving patients who are on Medicaid or who are low income and uninsured to the population of a health service area that has incomes below 200% of federal poverty. The ratios to qualify are as follows:

#### Primary Care Provider Ratios

Geographic Area ≥ 3500:1 Geographic Area with High Needs ≥ 3000:1 Population Group ≥ 3000:1

#### Mental Health Provider Ratios

#### Geographic Area

- ≥ 1:30,000 psychiatrists, or
- ≥ 1:9,000 core mental health professionals (Appendix 1E), or
- ≥ 1:20,000 psychiatrists and ≥ 1:6,000 core mental health professionals

#### Geographic Area with High Needs

- ≥ 1:20,000 psychiatrists, or
- ≥ 1:6,000 core mental health professionals, or
- ≥ 1:15,000 psychiatrists and ≥ 1:4,500 core mental health professionals

#### **Population Group**

- ≥ 1:20,000 psychiatrists, or
- ≥ 1:6,000 core mental health professionals, or
- ≥ 1:15,000 psychiatrists and ≥ 1:4,500 core mental health professionals

#### Dental Health Provider Ratios

Geographic Area ≥ 1:5000 Geographic Area with High Needs ≥ 1:4000 Population Group ≥ 1:4000


Not all areas of the state with a shortage currently have a designation. Because a designation must be applied for, and renewed every three years, there are always parts of the state pending review for new or updated designation status.

There are currently 32 federal programs, three state programs, and a growing number of private programs that use shortage designation to either determine eligibility for awards or determine rank of awards by relative need, for granting purposes. Further, providers in active HPSAs may be eligible for increased payments from Medicare and Medicaid. Form more information on the designation process visit: http://www.cdphe.state.co.us/pp/primarycare/index.html







## Mental Health Professional Shortage Areas (MHPSA)




## Medically Underserved Areas (MUA) and Populations (MUP)



## Dental Health Professional Shortage Areas (DHPSA)



### Primary Care Health Professional Shortage Areas (PCHPSA)




## **HCP Specialty Clinics**


HCP sponsored ninety-nine pediatric specialty clinics from October 1, 2007 to September 31<sup>st</sup>, 2008 throughout underserved areas of Colorado. Nearly all pediatric specialists in Colorado are located in the greater Front Range area. HCP specialty clinics bring these much sought after pediatric specialists to rural and underserved locations in Colorado.




#### **Specialty Clinic Location Overview**




There were sixty-four HCP pediatric neurology clinics held between 10/1/07 and 9/30/08 averaging just under ten patients per day (9.84 completed appointments). The eighteen pediatric rehabilitation clinics averaged under 20 patients per day (19.28) as did the eight pediatric orthopedics clinics (18.5). General pediatrics and pediatric cardiology are provided at one location each and averaged 6.5 and 8.8 patients per day, respectively.





Pediatric neurology clinics had more patient visits between 10-1-07 and 9-30-08 than all other specialty clinics combined (630 and 565, respectively).

HCP specialty clinics provide specialty care to underserved populations and see all children regardless of their insurance status. Medicaid covered 60% of children attending our clinics followed by private insurance that covered 23% of children seen. Those without any insurance represented approximately 5% of children seen.





All HCP specialty clinic data were collected from "HCP Individual Clinic Data Collection Tool(s)" submitted to the state HCP office after each specialty clinic from 10/1/07 to 9/31/08.

<u>Visit tables</u>: "New" indicates a visit by a patient who has not been seen at this clinic previously. "Follow-up" indicates the visit was for a patient who had attended this clinic at least one other time during the same calendar year. "Return" indicates the visit was for a patient who was seen at this clinic in a previous year.


Insurance tables: "Uninsured" indicates the patient had no insurance coverage at the time of the visit. "Unknown" indicates the patient's insurance status was unknown at the time of the visit or the site did not document insurance status in the Data Collection Tool. "Private" indicates the patient had private insurance at the time of the visit. "Medicaid" indicates the patient had Medicaid coverage at the time of the visit. "CHP+" indicates the child had Child Health Plan + coverage at the time of the visit. "Med & Private" indicates the child had both Medicaid and private insurance at the time of the visit.


## **Larimer Regional Office**







## **Northeast Regional Office**







## **Pueblo Regional Office**







## **South Central Regional Office**







## **Southeast Regional Office**







## **Southwest Regional Office**





## **Western Slope Regional Office**









#### Diagnostic and Evaluation (D&E) Clinics



The D&E Clinic Program ascribes to the philosophy that services must be community-based, family-centered and culturally competent. These three concepts are the core values of the D&E Clinic Program. There are eight clinics found throughout the state and serve a broader community base as each is encouraged to regionalize their efforts in seeing children from the area outside their immediate community. These communities include: Otero County, Pueblo County, El Paso County, Fremont County, Weld County, Denver County, San Juan Basin and Mesa County.

The data provided reports the number of children seen and assessed in the eight clinics in the fiscal year, October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008. This data identifies the primary diagnosis for those children seen in this time and the need for services to be made available to children with these diagnoses. One of the primary diagnoses is autism or autism spectrum disorder as well as expressive language delays. We know that children identified early can have services provided that could potentially mitigate the need for more expansive and costly services for children as they grow. Communities could use this data to identify a potential unmet need; i.e. speech and language and therapies specific to the needs of children with autism. This could be an example as to how to use this data.





### Diagnostic & Evaluation Clinic Annual 2008 Report Summary of All Clinics March, 2009

Total # of Clients Seen For Evaluation:

<u>304</u>

Gender Breakout Age Breakout

194	Male	77	< 3 years
101	Female	101	3-5 years
6	Not Entered	125	6-21 years
			Not Entered

Racial Breakout		Ethnic Breakout	
166	Caucasian	73	Hispanic
21	African American		Non Hispanic
2	Asian/Pacific Islander		Not Entered
11	American Indian		
22	Multiracial		
10	Other		
72	Not Entered		

#### Referral Sources

0	Child Find	52	Parent / Familly
10	Part C	0	Child Care Provider
57	PCP	121	Social Services
25	School Personnel	6	Mental Health
7	Public Health	24	Other
		1	Not Specified

#### Top 3 Diagnoses:

1.	Abuse neglect; prenatal exposure to alcohol & drugs
2.	Autism Spectrum Disorder/ PDD
3.	Communication – Expressive / Receptive language delays

#### Total # of Family Surveys Completed:

Total II of	rotal // or r arring curveys completed:						
260	Yes						
11	No						
4	Don't Know						
20	Not Entered						

#### Total # of Children with a PCP:

301	Yes					
3	No					
0	Not Entered					

#### Diagnostic & Evaluation Clinic Annual 2008 Report Summary of All Clinics March, 2009

#### # of Total Children Referred for Concerns in the Following Areas:

57	Autism Spectrum Disorder / PDD
39	Cognitive, Developmental Delays /Learning Difficulties
46	Communication – Expressive/Receptive Difficulties
26	Physical
40	Social Emotional / Mental Health Dx. /ADHD
90	Abuse/neglect, Prenatal exposure to alcohol
6	None noted

#### # of Children Utilizing Each Type of Insurance:

255	Medicaid
3	CHP+
3	Private HMO
20	Private Other
18	None (5 private pay & 1 paid by Tri-County PH)
5	Not Entered

#### # of Children seen in the various offices:

11	Arkansas Valley (Rocky Ford)
112	Children's Developmental Clinic (Colorado Springs)
14	Children's D&E Clinic (Durango)
8	Mesa School District (Grand Junction)
2	Northern Colorado Medical Center (Greeley)
28	Pueblo Autism Clinic (Dr. Elizabeth Ehrhardt)
123	Sewall D&E Clinic (Denver)
4	Upper Arkansas Clinic (Fremont County)

#### **Newborn Hearing Screening**



Newborn hearing screening data is sent from birthing hospitals to the Office of Vital Statistics at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment via the electronic birth certificate (EBC). A daily extract of the EBC data is sent to the Newborn Hearing Screening CHIRP electronic record for newborns who either miss or fail an initial hearing screen. A monthly report of these missed/failed screens is then generated from the Newborn Hearing Screening CHIRP and sent to a designated Hospital Hearing Screening Coordinator who provides information on rescreen results.

Colorado's goals are to screen 98% of all newborns, keep the "refer rate" (or number of children who fail the initial screen) around 4%, and follow-up on at least 85% of infants who fail their initial screen.

In an attempt to increase the state's percentages for hearing screening follow-up, regional Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) teams are being established throughout Colorado. Each EHDI team will be comprised of the local Audiology Regional Coordinator, the county Healthcare Program for Children with Special Needs Team Leader(s), the regional Colorado Hearing Resource Coordinator (CO-Hear), a local parent of a child with hearing loss involved with the Hands & Voices support group, local birth hospital coordinator(s), and other key stakeholders in the community. The teams will provide training and support for each birthing hospital and identify and address potential gaps and solutions specific to each community.






Colorado Newborn Hearing Screening Report – 2007 Birth by County							
01/01/2007 to 12/31/2007							
	Children Children Children who Fa Born Screened Screening			vho Failed	Children wl Follow Up		
County	N	N	%	N	%	N	%
ADAMS	7,746	7,539	97.3%	340	4.5%	248	72.9%
ALAMOSA	256	253	98.8%	13	5.1%	6	46.2%
ARAPAHOE	8,140	8,003	98.3%	262	3.3%	213	81.3%
ARCHULETA	132	128	97.0%	6	4.7%	5	83.3%
BACA	26	26	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
BENT	62	61	98.4%	7	11.5%	5	71.4%
BOULDER	3,440	3,317	96.4%	152	4.6%	124	81.6%
BROOMFIELD	716	656	91.6%	24	3.7%	16	66.7%
CHAFFEE	129	123	95.3%	3	2.4%	3	100.0%
CHEYENNE	17	15	88.2%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
CLEAR CREEK	90	85	94.4%	2	2.4%	2	100.0%
CONEJOS	146	143	97.9%	5	3.5%	2	40.0%
COSTILLA	31	31	100.0%	2	6.5%	2	100.0%
CROWLEY	34	33	97.1%	3	9.1%	2	66.7%
CUSTER	19	19	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
DELTA	363	344	94.8%	40	11.6%	38	95.0%
DENVER	9,896	9,729	98.3%	454	4.7%	354	78.0%
DOLORES	19	17	89.5%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
DOUGLAS	4,013	3,941	98.2%	71	1.8%	64	90.1%
EAGLE	856	839	98.0%	33	3.9%	20	60.6%
EL PASO	8,927	8,551	95.8%	565	6.6%	436	77.2%
ELBERT	171	165	96.5%	4	2.4%	1	25.0%
FREMONT	437	422	96.6%	65	15.4%	58	89.2%
GARFIELD	981	956	97.5%	26	2.7%	17	65.4%
GILPIN	50	48	96.0%	1	2.1%	1	100.0%
GRAND	161	156	96.9%	7	4.5%	4	57.1%
GUNNISON	169	160	94.7%	27	16.9%	27	100.0%
HINSDALE	14	12	85.7%	4	33.3%	3	75.0%
HUERFANO	67	63	94.0%	5	7.9%	5	100.0%
JACKSON	9	9	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
JEFFERSON	6,214	5,990	96.4%	191	3.2%	133	69.6%
KIOWA	16	16	100.0%	1	6.3%	-	0.0%
KIT CARSON	111	108	97.3%	10	9.3%	6	60.0%
LA PLATA	624	599	96.0%	26	4.3%	20	76.9%
LAKE	124	122	98.4%	11	9.0%	7	63.6%
LARIMER	3,447	3,348	97.1%	193	5.8%	163	84.5%
LAS ANIMAS	153	151	98.7%	11	7.3%	8	72.7%
LINCOLN	60	57	95.0%	2	3.5%	2	100.0%
LOGAN	220	220	100.0%	17	7.7%	16	94.1%
MESA	2,081	2,025	97.3%	53	2.6%	43	81.1%
MINERAL	3	3	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A

MOFFAT	211	203	96.2%	7	3.4%	3	42.9%
MONTEZUMA	303	288	95.0%	5	1.7%	3	60.0%
MONTROSE	547	529	96.7%	19	3.6%	18	94.7%
MORGAN	388	377	97.2%	9	2.4%	4	44.4%
OTERO	258	249	96.5%	21	8.4%	18	85.7%
OURAY	51	46	90.2%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
PARK	134	129	96.3%	5	3.9%	4	80.0%
PHILLIPS	51	50	98.0%	3	6.0%	3	100.0%
PITKIN	160	151	94.4%	11	7.3%	3	27.3%
PROWERS	192	189	98.4%	8	4.2%	4	50.0%
PUEBLO	2,142	2,079	97.1%	146	7.0%	116	79.5%
RIO BLANCO	85	82	96.5%	2	2.4%	2	100.0%
RIO GRANDE	151	144	95.4%	6	4.2%	5	83.3%
ROUTT	262	249	95.0%	12	4.8%	12	100.0%
SAGUACHE	69	58	84.1%	5	8.6%	5	100.0%
SAN JUAN	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
SAN MIGUEL	103	95	92.2%	1	1.1%	-	0.0%
SEDGWICK	22	21	95.5%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
SUMMIT	363	351	96.7%	33	9.4%	27	81.8%
TELLER	210	198	94.3%	11	5.6%	6	54.5%
UNKNOWN	5	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	N/A	N/A
WASHINGTON	40	37	92.5%	2	5.4%	2	100.0%
WELD	4,004	3,916	97.8%	139	3.5%	115	82.7%
YUMA	156	154	98.7%	21	13.6%	17	81.0%
TOTAL	70,082	68,088	97.2%	3,102	4.6%	2,421	78.0%
This data comes f	This data comes from the Newborn Hearing Screening CHIRP database.						

#### County Public Health Agencies Priorities for 2009

County Public Health Agencies identify priorities as part of their annual planning for the calendar year. The County Public Health Nurses use past community needs assessment data and current knowledge of community needs to determine their priorities. These priorities determine lead work efforts in addition to the day to day activities and other contract agreements.





## County Priorities 2009 Nursing Services

County	Priority #1	Priority #2	Priority #3	Others
Alamosa	Transition to LPHA by statute	Reduce STI rates	a) Breastfeeding promotion     b)Employee wellness	Care coordination for children with special needs
Васа	Chronic disease	Tobacco/alcohol use by teens	pediatric dental care	
Bent	Access to care	Teen pregnancy rate	Obesity	
Chaffee	Immunizations	Communicable disease	Lack current MCH data	EPR; Adult tobacco prevention
Cheyenne	Chronic disease management	Tobacco/drug & alcohol prevention	pediatric dental care	
Clear Creek	Injury prevention rate children 0-19	Low birth weight	Cardiovascular disease risk in workforce population	
Conejos	Cardiovascular disease	Diabetes	Children with special needs	
Costilla	Obesity	Tobacco free campus	Medical home for HCP children	
Crowley				
Custer	Injury prevention rate children 0-19	Establish and maintain MRC unit	Immunizations	
Dolores	Substance abuse	Injury prevention	EPR	
Eagle	Access to health care	Communicable disease	Chronic disease prevention	
Elbert	Access to heath care	Child/adolescent seat belt use	Obesity	
Fremont	Teen pregnancy	Obesity	Immunization	
Garfield	Suicide Prevention	Immunizations	Prenatal care	
Gilpin	MCH Service delivery	Community Health planning	Smoking cessation and prevention	

Grand	Access to care	Teen pregnancy rate	Prevention services	
Gunnison	Teen pregnancy	Access to care	Child well-being	
Hinsdale	Injury prevention	Access to oral health care	EPR	
Jackson	Child obesity	Access to care	Increase senior services	
Kiowa	Dental Care	Cancer	Substance use	
Kit Carson	Chronic disease prevention & control	Nurse home visitation for new parents	Children's health	Surge capacity for EPR, Environmental health incl. food safety, vector borne disease, lead and smoke
Lake	Teen pregnancy	Pediatric dental care	Obesity	
Lincoln	Expansion of women's health care	Community Assessment	Injury Prevention	
Mineral	Access to prescriptive drugs in the home	Cardiovascular health w/ focus on women	Increase HCP eligible children	
Montezuma	Teen pregnancy	Adolescent substance abuse	EPR	
Montrose	Access to care	Well-being of families	Immunizations	Chronic disease
Ouray	Dental Care	Decrease community tobacco use	Pandemic flu plan	
Park	Immunizations	Low birth weight	Injury Prevention in children	
Pitkin	Prenatal care	Access to dental care	Public Health Act 2008	
Prowers	Childhood obesity	Chronic disease	Adolescent health	
Rio Blanco	Communicable disease	Teen pregnancy rate	Childhood obesity	
Rio Grande	Immunizations	TB Control	International travel clinic	
Routt/Moffat	Access to care	Healthy aging	Maternal/ child health	

Saguache				
San Juan	Substance abuse in community	Health insurance for children	EPR	
San Miguel	Access to care	Substance abuse prevention	Chronic disease prevention	
Summit	Access to prenatal care	Awareness of health care services	EPR	
Teller				

This summary report was provided by The Office of Planning and Partnerships at CDPHE, April, 2009. Data was summarized from the Annual Plans submitted by County Public Health Agencies, January, 2009 for the calendar year of 2009.



The following section contains data from the HCP CHIRP electronic health record. All data displayed is for the time period of October 1, 2007 to September 30, 2008.

These data only reflect the population of CSHCN served by HCP regional offices and are not directly comparable to other data sources including state and national surveys. These comparisons may be made as long as you understand the limitations of doing so. National and State surveys use a randomly selected sample that is statistically representative of the CSHCN population as a whole (this could be national, statewide, or an individual county). These data were not obtained through random sampling but are from those families and children in Colorado who HCP connected with at any time during the specified time period.

The purpose of this section is to present the data local HCP offices enter throughout the specified time period in a meaningful way.

These data can be used to:

- Describe the population each HCP region works with
- Identify sub-populations who may need further outreach efforts
- Identify strengths and weaknesses related to the functions of each HCP region
- Establish baselines
- Help make informed decisions about future goals and objectives in the planning process
- Support and describe local office activities when applying for grants
- Monitor the population reached by HCP
- Assist in the quality improvement process

The first set of tables titled "Colorado" is comprised of data from all HCP regions in Colorado for the specified time period. These may be used as a comparison point for each individual HCP region or to describe HCP local office effort as a whole throughout Colorado.

Each of HCP's fourteen regional offices have a subsequent set of tables devoted to data collected by HCP staff within that region.

## Colorado Statewide HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Colorado 2007-2008		
Total		
Level I	6508	
Level II	3345	
evel III 201		
Total 10054		

HCP Clients by Race, Colorado 2007-2008		
Level I	Total	
American Indian or Alaskian Native	15	
Asian	18	
Black or African American	13	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	
White	610	
Unknown	5851	
Total	6508	
Level II		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	23	
Asian	31	
Black or African American	35	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6	
White	1858	
Unknown	1392	
Total	3345	
Level III		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	5	
Asian	10	
Black or African American	8	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		
White	125	
Unknown	53	
Total	201	
All Levels Total	10054	

HCP Clients by Insurance Types, Colorado 2007-2008	
Level I	Total
CHP+	17
Medicaid	239
No Insurance	14
Private Insurance	197
SSI - Medicaid	32
Total	499
Level II	
CHP+	141
Medicaid	1307
No Insurance	122
Private Insurance	630
SSI - Medicaid	310
Total	2510
Level III	
CHP+	10
Medicaid	80
No Insurance	6
Private Insurance	58
SSI - Medicaid	14
Total	168
Total All Levels	3177

HCP Clients by Age, Colorado 2007-2008		
Level I	Total	
0 to 4 years	6193	
5 to 9 years	126	
10 to 14 years	72	
15 to 19 years	84	
20 to 24 years	33	
Total	6508	
Level II		
0 to 4 years	1848	
5 to 9 years	684	
10 to 14 years	468	
15 to 19 years	294	
20 to 24 years	51	
Total	3345	
Level III		
0 to 4 years	71	
5 to 9 years	31	
10 to 14 years	31	
15 to 19 years	55	
20 to 24 years	13	
Total	201	
Total All Levels	10054	

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Colorado 2007-2008		
Level I	Total	
Non Hispanic	275	
Hispanic	407	
Unknown	5826	
Total	6508	
Level II		
Non Hispanic	1301	
Hispanic	953	
Unknown	1091	
Total	3345	
Level III		
Non Hispanic	95	
Hispanic	43	
Unknown	63	
Total	201	
Total All Levels	10054	

HCP Clients by Gender, Colorado 2007-2008	
Level I	
Female	2595
Male	3639
Unknown	274
Total	6508
Level II	
Female	1320
Male	1856
Unknown	169
Total	3345
Level III	
Female	70
Male	128
Unknown	3
Total	201
Total All Levels	10054

HCP Services by Level, Colorado 2007-2008		
Total		
Level I	100	
Level II	1592	
Level III	576	
Total	2268	

HCP Top 10 Services, Colorado 2007-2008		
	#	
Clinic Neurology, HCP	285	
Nursing Assessment and Consultation	165	
Nutrician and Diet Consultation	135	
Care Coordination Services	129	
Clinic Rehab, HCP	124	
Education Materials	91	
Patient, Family and Support Systems		
Education	89	
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	63	
Clinic Ortho/Rehab, HCP	56	
Clinic Genetic - HCP	52	
Total	1189	

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Colorado 2007-2008		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	7
	HCP Level I	9
	HCP Level II/III	28
	Lost to Follow-up	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	36
	Child out of Home	1
	Family has No concerns	32
	HCP Level I	16
	HCP Level II/III	43
	Lost to Follow-up	10
	Moved out of State	3
	No Response from Family	33
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	8
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	4
	HCP Level I	6
	HCP Level II/III	8
	Lost to Follow-up	8
	No Response from Family	72
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	6
	Family has No concerns	8
	HCP Level I	4
	HCP Level II/III	11
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	Moved out of State	1
	No Response from Family	12
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	93
	Child out of Home	4
	Diagnosis Low Priority	59
	Family has No concerns	30
	HCP Level I	19
	HCP Level II/III	127
	Lost to Follow-up	19
	Moved out of State	2
	No Capacity	38
	No Response from Family	91
	Terminally III/Deceased	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	767
	Successful - HCP Notified View	23
Total		1695

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Colorado 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Family Support	229	
Community Financial	161	
Community Education	123	
Community Health/Insurance	84	
Community Health/Public Systems	67	
HCP Staff	30	
Community Center Board	12	
Part C	12	
Community Providers	11	
Dentist	11	
Total	740	

	HCP Referrals By Level, Colorado 2007-2008						
	Admin Basic Needs Develop Health Psychosocial To						
Level I	6	26	30	18	13	Total 93	
Level II	6	219	107	133	124	589	
Level III	2	27	104	37	46	214	
Total	14	272	241	188	183	884	

CRCSN	Notifications - Unknown Child, Co	lorado 2007-2008
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	19
0110 011 0110	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	Family has No concerns	11
	HCP Level I	5
	HCP Level II/III	30
	Moved out of State	2
	No Response from Family	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	159
riione Cali	Child out of Home	15
	Diagnosis Low Priority	18
	Family has No concerns	549
	HCP Level I	
		68
	HCP Level II/III	48
	Lost to Follow-up	76
	Moved out of State	11
	No Capacity	1
	No Response from Family	231
	Terminally III/Deceased	2
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	20
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	10
	Family has No concerns	71
	HCP Level I	39
	HCP Level II/III	7
	Lost to Follow-up	94
	Moved out of State	3
	No Capacity	9
	No Response from Family	699
	Terminally III/Deceased	1
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	66
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	9
	Family has No concerns	3
	HCP Level I	2
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	2
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	53
	Child out of Home	15
	Diagnosis Low Priority	937
	Family has No concerns	16
	HCP Level I	4
	HCP Level II/III	3
	Lost to Follow-up	65
	Moved out of State	5
	No Capacity	435
	No Response from Family	375
	Terminally III/Deceased	2
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	4401
System Generated	Successiui - FICP Notilled	
Total		8597

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Colorado 2007-2008						
	Total	# with PCP	% with PCP			
Level I	6508	353	.5%			
Level II	3345	2011	60%			
Level III	201	128	64%			
Total	10054	2492	25%			

	Community Encounters, Colorado 2007-2008				
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration		
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	175	265		
	Community Training	12	29.25		
	Grant Writing	3	2.75		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	12	29.5		
	Increased Awareness	85	177.75		
	Increased Knowledge	79	185		
	Initiated Networking	34	30.75		
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	11	12		
	Staff Development	6	16.75		
Developmental Disability					
Agency	Collaboration Transpired	89	166.5		
	Community Training	15	65.5		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	7	14.25		
	Increased Awareness	27	43.75		
	Increased Knowledge	25	40		
	Initiated Networking	8	6.75		
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	8	9.75		
	Staff Development	1	1		
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	83	154		
	Community Training	28	88.5		
	Grant Writing	1	0.75		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	9	22.75		
	Increased Awareness	68	161.25		
	Increased Knowledge	60	241.5		
	Initiated Networking	9	16		
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	5	5		
	Staff Development	4	15.25		
Faith Based Partner	Collaboration Transpired	3	4		
	Increased Awareness	2	4.5		
	Increased Knowledge	5	12.25		
	Initiated Networking	2	3.25		
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	79	180.75		
-	Community Training	19	57		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.5		
	Increased Awareness	36	61.5		
	Increased Knowledge	20	39.25		
	Initiated Networking	24	43.5		

Community Encounters, Colorado 2007-2008				
Group	Result	Total	Duration	
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	96	176.25	
	Community Training	5	21	
	Grant Writing	4	4.25	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	7	16	
	Increased Awareness	84	145	
	Increased Knowledge	89	349	
	Initiated Networking	10	11.5	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	17	32.75	
	Staff Development	31	149	
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	271	541.5	
	Community Training	34	167.5	
	Grant Writing	6	14.5	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	28	74.25	
	Increased Awareness	103	264	
	Increased Knowledge	125	373.75	
	Initiated Networking	83	137.75	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	16	34.5	
	Staff Development	21	78.5	
Public Officials	Collaboration Transpired	3	3	
	Community Training	1	1	
	Increased Awareness	7	20.75	
	Increased Knowledge	7	11.25	
	Initiated Networking	1	2	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2.5	
Third Party Payers	Increased Knowledge	1	1.5	
Total		2106	4840.75	

# Boulder Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Boulder 2007-2008						
	Boulder Imagine Total					
Level I	158	3	161			
Level II	456	1	457			
Level III	53	12	65			
Total	667	16	683			

UCD Clients by Pace Ro	HCP Clients by Race, Boulder 2007-2008					
HCF Clients by Nace, bo	Boulder Imagine Total					
Level I	Boulder	iiiagiiic	Total			
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1		1			
Asian	7		7			
7 101011			3			
Black or African American	3					
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	_	1			
White	146	3	149			
Total	158	3	161			
Level II						
American Indian or Alaskan Native	3		3			
Asian	8		8			
Black or African American	3		3			
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1		1			
White	434	1	435			
Unknown	7		7			
Total	456	1	457			
Level III						
American Indian or Alaskan Native						
Asian	7		7			
White	45	10	55			
Unknown		2				
Total	52	12	64			
Total All Levels	667	16	683			

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Boulder 2007-2008					
	Boulder	Imagine	Total		
Level I					
CHP+	6		6		
Medicaid	65	2	67		
Private Insurance	54		54		
SSI - Medicaid		1	1		
Total	125	3	128		
Level II					
CHP+	41		41		
Medicaid	140		140		
No Insurance	3		3		
Private Insurance	191		191		
SSI - Medicaid	1		1		
Total	376		376		
Level III					
CHP+	2		2		
Medicaid	24	2	26		
Private Insurance	19	1	20		
SSI - Medicaid					
Total	45	3	48		
Total All Levels	546	6	552		

HCP Clients by Age, Boulder 2007-2008						
	Boulder	Imagine	Total			
Level I						
0 to 4 years	157		157			
5 to 9 years	1	1	2			
20 to 24 years		2	2			
Total	158	3	161			
Level II						
0 to 4 years	455		455			
5 to 9 years	1		1			
20 to 24 years		1	1			
Total	456	1	457			
Level III						
0 to 4 years	53		53			
5 to 9 years		1	1			
10 to 14 years		2	2			
15 to 19 years		8	8			
20 to 24 years		1	1			
Total	53	12	65			
Total All Levels	667	16	683			

HCP Clients by Gender, Boulder 2007-2008					
_	Boulder	Imagine	Total		
Level I					
Female	56	1	57		
Male	99	2	101		
Unknown	2				
Total	157	3	158		
Level II					
Female	182	1	183		
Male	264		264		
Unknown	10		10		
Total	456	1	457		
Level III					
Female	19	7	26		
Male	32	5	37		
Unknown	2				
Total	53	12	65		
Total All Levels	667	16	65		

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Boulder 2007-2008					
-	Boulder	Imagine	Total		
Level I					
Non Hispanic	95	1	96		
Hispanic	59	2	61		
Unknown	4		4		
Total	158	3	161		
Level II					
Non Hispanic	347	1	348		
Hispanic	96		96		
Unknown	13		13		
Total	456	1	457		
Level III					
Non Hispanic	31	10	41		
Hispanic	20		20		
Unknown	2	2	4		
Total	53	12	65		
Total All Levels	667	16	683		

HCP Referrals By Level, Boulder 2007 - 2008						
Basic Growth &   Admin Needs Develop Total						
Level II	3	2	2	7		
Level III	2			2		
Total	5	2	2	9		

HCP Top 10 Services, Boulder 2007-2008		
	#	
Education Materials	6	
Cognitive Therapy	4	
Psychological & Mental Health Services	4	
Massage	3	
Activites of Daily Living	2	
Neuromedical Treatment	2	
Nutrician and Diet Consultation	2	
Therapeutic Recreation	2	
Visual Impairment Treatment	2	
Biofeedback	1	
Total	28	

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Boulder 2007-2008		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	6
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	7
	Family has No concerns	9
	HCP Level II/III	14
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	Moved out of State	2
	No Response from Family	4
Correspondence	HCP Level II/III	4
No Communication	in Non-HCP Services	18
	Diagnosis Low Priority	5
	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level II/III	9
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	1
	Terminally III/Deceased	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	68
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		152

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Boulder 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Health/Insurance	7	
Early Intervention	2	
Total 9		

HCP Services by Level, Boulder 2007-2008			
	Boulder	Imagine	Total
Level I			
Level II	1		1
Level III		35	35
Total	1	35	36

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Boulder 2007-2008		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level II/III	4
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	10
	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	Family has No concerns	46
	HCP Level II/III	15
	Lost to Follow-up	9
	Moved out of State	1
	No Response from Family	7
Correspondence	Diagnosis Low Priority	5
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	4
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	Diagnosis Low Priority	19
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	135
Total		261

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Boulder 2007-2008						
	Boulder	# with PCP	% with PCP	Imagine	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	158	93	59%	3	2	67%
Level II	456	261	57%	1	0	0%
Level III	53	43	81%	12	2	17%
Total	667	397	60%	16	4	25%

Community Encounters by Outcome, Boulder 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	26	
Family Participation	11	
Insurance	7	
Screening	104	
Community Care	171	
Transition	22	
Regional Operations	97	
Total	438	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one outcome

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Boulder 2007-2008			
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	59	60.25
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2
	Increased Awareness	19	15.75
	Increased Knowledge	10	5.75
	Initiated Networking	7	2.25
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	1.75
	Staff Development	1	1.5
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	7	3.25
	Community Training	1	3.5
	Increased Awareness	39	111.5
	Increased Knowledge	20	71
	Initiated Networking	3	7
Faith Based Partner	Collaboration Transpired	1	0.25
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	2	2.25
-	Increased Awareness	10	8.75
	Increased Knowledge	10	8
	Initiated Networking	3	2.25
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	41	40.25
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2.5
	Increased Awareness	49	55.5
	Increased Knowledge	29	29.25
	Initiated Networking	5	1.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	1.75
	Staff Development	3	5
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	19	24.75
•	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	2.75
	Increased Awareness	10	19.75
	Increased Knowledge	15	40.5
	Initiated Networking	2	0.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	2.75
	Staff Development	2	1.75
Total		377	535.5

# Denver Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I	1099	
Level II	166	
Level III	21	
Total	1286	

HCP Clients by Race, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	7	
Asian	4	
Black or African American	4	
White	195	
Unknown	889	
Total	1099	
Level II		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1	
Black or African American	3	
White	100	
Unknown	62	
Total	166	
Level III		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1	
Asian	3	
Black or African American	6	
White	10	
Unknown	1	
Total	21	
Total All Levels	1286	

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Denver 2007-2008	
	Total
Level I	
CHP+	10
No Insurance	3
Private Insurance	5
SSI - Medicaid	2
Total	20
Level II	
CHP+	8
Medicaid	77
No Insurance	31
Private Insurance	19
SSI - Medicaid	29
Total	164
Level III	
CHP+	4
Medicaid	10
No Insurance	3
Private Insurance	6
SSI - Medicaid	6
Total	29
Total All Levels	213

HCP Clients by Age, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I		
0 to 4 years	1049	
5 to 9 years	8	
10 to 14 years	6	
15 to 19 years	23	
20 to 24 years	13	
Total	1099	
Level II		
0 to 4 years	71	
5 to 9 years	30	
10 to 14 years	35	
15 to 19 years	29	
20 to 24 years	1	
Total	166	
Level III		
0 to 4 years	3	
5 to 9 years	3	
10 to 14 years	5	
15 to 19 years	10	
20 to 24 years		
Total	21	
Total All Levels	1286	

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I		
Non Hispanic	21	
Hispanic	212	
Unknown	866	
Total	1099	
Level II		
Non Hispanic	7	
Hispanic	126	
Unknown	33	
Total	166	
Level III		
Non Hispanic	8	
Hispanic	7	
Unknown	6	
Total	21	
Total All Levels	1286	

HCP Clients by Gender, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I		
Female	456	
Male	601	
Unknown	42	
Total	1099	
Level II		
Female	70	
Male	96	
Total	166	
Level III		
Female	8	
Male	13	
Total	21	
Total All Levels	1286	

HCP	Services by Level, Denver 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	11
Level II	424
Level III	159
Total	594

HCP Top 10 Services, Denver 2007-2008		
	#	
Patient, Family and Support Systems		
Education	64	
Care Coordination Services	58	
Education Materials	42	
Family Info Binder	36	
Health Systems Navigation	28	
Funding Resources	27	
Health Insurance Assistance	27	
Nursing Assessment and Consultation	25	
Health Problem Solving	18	
DME	15	
Total	340	

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Denver 2007-2008			
Туре	Result	Total	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	4	
	Family has No concerns	55	
	HCP Level I	2	
	Lost to Follow-up	1	
	Moved out of State	1	
	No Capacity	1	
	No Response from Family	12	
Correspondence	Family has No concerns	2	
	HCP Level I	1	
	HCP Level II/III	1	
	No Response from Family	5	
Consultation	No Response from Family	1	
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	53	
	Family has No concerns	7	
	No Capacity	340	
	No Response from Family	291	
	Terminally III/Deceased	2	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	884	
Total		1663	

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Denver 2007-2008			
Total # with PCP % with PCP			
Level I	1099	10	1%
Level II	166	117	70%
Level III	21	16	76%
Total	1286	143	11%

	HCP Referrals By Level, Denver 2007-2008				
	Basic Needs	Growth & Develop Health Psychosocial Total			
Level I	2	1	1	1	5
Level II	16	5	23	9	53
Level III	3	3		1	7
Total	21	9	24	11	65

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Denver 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Education	7	
Community Health/Insurance	7	
Community Providers	7	
Durable Medical Equip	7	
Community Center Board	6	
Community Financial	4	
Service Provider Org	4	
Community Family Support	3	
Dentist	3	
Hospital	3	
Total	51	

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Denver 2007-2008			
Туре	Result	Total	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1	
	Family has No concerns	4	
	HCP Level II/III	1	
	No Response from Family	3	
Correspondence	HCP Level II/III	2	
	Lost to Follow-up	1	
	No Response from Family	2	
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	1	
	Family has No concerns	1	
	HCP Level II/III	6	
	No Capacity	24	
	No Response from Family	44	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	80	
	Successful - HCP Notified View	8	
Total		178	

Community Encounters by Outcome, Denver 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	22	
Family Participation	32	
Insurance	18	
Screening	14	
Community Care	113	
Transition	30	
Regional Operations	76	
Total	305	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one outcome

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Denver 2007-2008			
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	13	17.75
	Community Training	2	10
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.25
	Increased Awareness	3	10.25
	Increased Knowledge	5	13.25
	Initiated Networking	3	2.25
	Staff Development	2	5.25
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	3	0.75
	Community Training	1	2.5
	Increased Awareness	1	0.25
	Increased Knowledge	1	3
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	6	19
	Community Training	4	15.5
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	3
	Increased Awareness	1	0.25
	Increased Knowledge	3	10.75
	Staff Development	2	10
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	3	4.75
	Community Training	1	0.25
	Increased Awareness	2	2
	Increased Knowledge	1	0.25
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	20	46.5
	Community Training	3	5.25
	Increased Awareness	2	0.5
	Increased Knowledge	6	8.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2.5
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	48	73.5
	Community Training	3	8.5
	Grant Writing	1	4
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	2.25
	Increased Awareness	6	6
	Increased Knowledge	15	20.75
	Initiated Networking	4	3.5
	Staff Development	12	52.25
Public Officials	Increased Knowledge	1	2.5
Total	Total	183	367.75

# El Paso Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, El Paso 2007- 2008			
	El Paso Teller Total		
Level I	836	24	860
Level II	329	11	340
Level III	9		9
Total	1174	35	1209

HCP Clients by Race, El Paso 2007- 2008				
	El Paso	Teller	Total	
Level I				
Black or African American	2		2	
White	12		12	
Unknown	821	24	845	
Total	835	24	859	
Level II				
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1		1	
Asian	1		1	
Black or African American	3		3	
White	107	2	109	
Unknown	216	9	225	
Total	328	11	339	
Level III				
Asian	2		2	
White	4		4	
Unknown	5		5	
Total	11		11	
Total All Levels	1174	35	1209	

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, El Paso 2007- 2008				
	El Paso	Teller	Total	
Level I				
Medicaid	11		11	
No Insurance	1		1	
Private Insurance	2		2	
SSI - Medicaid	2		2	
Total	16		16	
Level II				
CHP+	5		5	
Medicaid	132	3	135	
No Insurance	17		17	
Private Insurance	22		22	
SSI - Medicaid	33		33	
Total	209	3	212	
Level III				
Medicaid	2		2	
Private Insurance	3		3	
Total	5		5	
Total All Levels	230	3	233	

HCP Clients by Age, El Paso 2007- 2008			
	El Paso	Teller	Total
Level I			
0 to 4 years	819	24	843
5 to 9 years	11		11
10 to 14 years	6		6
15 to 19 years			
20 to 24 years			
Total	836	24	860
Level II			
0 to 4 years	207	8	215
5 to 9 years	70	2	72
10 to 14 years	34		34
15 to 19 years	16	1	17
20 to 24 years	2		2
Total	329	11	340
Level III			
0 to 4 years	1		1
5 to 9 years	1		1
10 to 14 years	3		3
15 to 19 years	4		4
20 to 24 years			
Total	9		9
Total All Levels	1174	35	1209

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, El Paso 2007- 2008			
	El Paso	Teller	Total
Level I			
Non Hispanic	4		4
Hispanic	10		10
Unknown	822	24	846
Total	836	24	860
Level II			
Non Hispanic	32	2	34
Hispanic	83		83
Unknown	214	9	223
Total	329	11	340
Level III			
Non Hispanic	1		1
Hispanic	1		1
Unknown	7		7
Total	9		9
Total All Levels	1174	35	1209

HCP Services by Level, El Paso 2007-2008							
El Paso Teller Total							
Level I	6		6				
Level II	174	8	182				
Level III	18		18				
Total							

HCP Top 10 Services, El Paso 2007-2008	
	#
Nursing Assessment and Consultation	107
Nutrician and Diet Consultation	67
Psychological & Mental Health Services	9
Patient, Family and Support Systems Education	6
Exercise Equipment & Programs at Health Cub	2
Physical Therapy	2
Therapeutic Recreation	2
Tutoring	2
Adaptive devices	1
Assistive Devices and Technology	1
Total	199

<b>CRCSN Notifications</b>	- Unknown Child, El Paso 200	7- 2008
El Paso		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	16
	Child out of Home	2
	Family has No concerns	78
	HCP Level I	3
	HCP Level II/III	7
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	13
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	43
	HCP Level I	8
	HCP Level II/III	5
	Lost to Follow-up	38
	Moved out of State	2
	No Response from Family	212
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	Child out of Home	11
	Diagnosis Low Priority	108
	Family has No concerns	3
	HCP Level II/III	3
	Lost to Follow-up	3
	No Capacity	8
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	608
Total		1176
Teller		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
Correspondence	Family has No concerns	2
	No Response from Family	13
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	7
	No Capacity	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	17
Total		42
Region Total		1218

HCP Clients by Gender, El Paso 2007- 2008			
	El Paso	Teller	Total
Level I			
Female	349	10	359
Male	475	14	489
Unknown	12		12
Total	836	24	860
Level II			
Female	137	5	142
Male	183	6	189
Unknown	9		9
Total	329	11	340
Level III			
Female	3		3
Male	5		5
Unknown	1		1
Total	9		9
Total All Levels	1174	35	1209

HCP Referrals By Level, El Paso 2007-2008				
Basic Needs Psychosocial Total				
Level I				
Level II	3		3	
Level III		3	3	
Total			6	

HCP Top 10 Referrals, El Paso 2007-2008		
#		
Community Family Support	4	
Community Education	1	
Community Health/Insurance	1	
Total	6	

CRCSN Notification	s - Known Child, El Paso 2007	2008
El Paso		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	2
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	6
Correspondence	No Response from Family	11
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	13
	Child out of Home	2
	Diagnosis Low Priority	13
	Family has No concerns	5
	HCP Level I	6
	HCP Level II/III	39
	Lost to Follow-up	7
	No Response from Family	25
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	114
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		250

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, El Paso 2007- 2008						
	EI Paso # with PCP % with PCP Teller # with PCP % with PCP						
Level I	836	10	1%	24		0%	
Level II	329	123	37%	11	1	1%	
Level III	9		0%			0%	
Total	1174	133	11%	35	1	3%	

Community Encounters by Outcome, El Paso 2007-2008				
	Total			
Medical Home	13			
Family Participation	27			
Insurance	19			
Screening	12			
Community Care	113			
Transition	15			
Regional Operations	1			
Total	200			

Community Encounters by County, El Paso 2007-2008					
County Total Encounters					
El Paso	112				
Teller	7				
Total	119				

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Con	nmunity Encounters by Group and Result, El Paso 20	07-2008	I
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	2	3.5
•	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	3.5
	Increased Knowledge	14	18.75
	Initiated Networking	7	8.75
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	1	2.5
	Community Training	1	5
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	4	9
	Increased Awareness	1	2
	Increased Knowledge	1	2
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
Education Partners	Community Training	2	5.75
	Increased Awareness	2	3.5
	Increased Knowledge	7	13.5
Faith Based Partner	Increased Knowledge	2	3.75
	Initiated Networking	1	2
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	1	2
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
HCP Regional Team	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN  Increased Awareness  Increased Knowledge  Initiated Networking  Community Training  Increased Awareness  Increased Knowledge  Increased Knowledge  Increased Knowledge  Initiated Networking  Intreased Knowledge  Initiated Networking  Intreased Knowledge  Initiated Networking  Increased Knowledge  Initiated Networking  Increased Knowledge  Intreased Knowledge  Intreased Knowledge  Increased Knowledge	4	
	Increased Knowledge	1	1.5
aith Based Partner amily Network CP Regional Team	Staff Development	1	3
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	29	55.75
	Community Training	3	6.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	6.5
	Increased Awareness	4	5.5
	Increased Knowledge	16	38.5
	Initiated Networking	6	9.75
	Staff Development	1	5
Public Officials	Increased Awareness	1	0.75
	Increased Knowledge	2	3.5
	Initiated Networking	1	2
Total		119	229.5

## Jefferson Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Jefferson 2007-2008								
	Broomfield Clear Creek Gilpin Jefferso				Park	Total		
Level I	125	9	3	574	8	719		
Level II	34	6		238	10	288		
Level III	1			23		24		
Total	160	15	3	835	18	1031		

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Jefferson 2007-2008						
		Clear Creek				Total
Level I						
CHP+	2					2
Medicaid	6			24		30
Private						
Insurance	31			11	1	43
SSI - Medicaid	1					1
Total	40			35	1	76
Level II						
CHP+	1	1		3	1	6
Medicaid	13	1		114	4	132
No Insurance	4	1		9	2	16
Private						
Insurance	16	2		90	1	109
SSI - Medicaid	1			17		18
Total	35	5		233	8	281
Level III						
Medicaid		7				7
Private						
Insurance	1	13				14
SSI - Medicaid	-	1				1
Total	1	21				22
Total All						
Levels	76	26		268	9	379

HCP Clients by Gender, Jefferson 2007-2008								
	Broomfield	Clear Creek	Gilpin	Jefferson	Park	Total		
Level I								
Female	43	3	1	229	2	278		
Male	75	5	2	313	6	401		
Unknown	7	1		32		40		
Total	125	9	3	574	8	719		
Level II								
Female	19	1		104	1	125		
Male	15	5		134	9	163		
Unknown								
Total	34	6		238	10	288		
Level III								
Female	1			4		5		
Male				19		19		
Total	1			23		24		
Total All								
Levels	160	15	3	835	18	1031		

HC	HCP Clients by Age, Jefferson 2007-2008						
		Clear Creek			Park	Total	
Level I							
0 to 4 years	119	8	3	528	8	666	
5 to 9 years	5	1		18		24	
10 to 14 years				5		5	
15 to 19 years	1			18		19	
20 to 24 years				5		5	
Total	125	9	3	574	8	719	
Level II							
0 to 4 years	17	5		170	5	197	
5 to 9 years	8	1		36	4	49	
10 to 14 years	4			17	1	22	
15 to 19 years	4			13		17	
20 to 24 years	1			2		3	
Total	34	6		238	10	288	
Level III							
0 to 4 years	1			3		4	
5 to 9 years				3		3	
10 to 14 years				3		3	
15 to 19 years				10		10	
20 to 24 years				4		4	
Total	1			23		24	
Total All Levels	160	15	3	835	18	1031	

НСР	HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Jefferson 2007-2008						
		Clear Creek				Total	
Level I							
Non Hispanic	30			48	1	79	
Hispanic	5			43		48	
Unknown	90	9	3	483	7	592	
Total	125	9	3	574	8	719	
Level II							
Non Hispanic	23	5		136	9	173	
Hispanic	8	1		73		82	
Unknown	3			29	1	33	
Total	34	6		238	10	288	
Level III							
Non Hispanic	1			15		16	
Hispanic				6		6	
Unknown				2		2	
Total	1			23		24	
Total All Levels	160	15	3	835	18	1031	

HCP Clients by Race, Jefferson 2007-2008						
	Broomfield	Clear Creek	Gilpin	Jefferson	Park	Total
Level I						
American Indian or Alaskian Native	2					2
Asian	1			3		4
Black or African American				4		4
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander					1	1
White	26			83		109
Unknown	96	9	3	484	7	599
Total	125	9	3	574	8	719
Level II						
American Indian or Alaskian Native				4		4
Asian	5			6		11
Black or African American				9		9
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander				1	1	2
White	21	6		187	8	222
Unknown	8			31	1	40
Total	34	6		238	10	288
Level III						
American Indian or Alaskian Native				3		3
White	1			19		20
Unknown				1		1
Total	1			23		24
All Levels Total	160	15	3	835	18	1031

	HCP Services by Level, Jefferson 2007-2008							
	Broomfield	Clear Creek	Jefferson	Park	Total			
Level I			1		1			
Level II	2	4	135	3	144			
Level			109		109			
Total	2	4	245	3	254			

HCP Top 10 Services, Jefferson 2007-2008				
	#			
Nutrician and Diet Consultation	42			
Parent to Parent Support	31			
Primary Care	20			
Care Coordination Services	18			
Education Materials	13			
Speech & Language Therapy	12			
Nursing Assessment and				
Consultation	11			
Occupational Therapy	8			
Therapeutic Recreation	7			
Physical Therapy	6			
Total	168			

	HCP Referrals By Level, Jefferson 2007-2008							
	Admin	<b>Basic Needs</b>	<b>Growth &amp; Develop</b>	Health	<b>Psychosocial</b>	Total		
Level I			1			1		
Level II	2	23	26	15	49	115		
Level III		1	2		7	10		
Total	2	24	29	15	56	126		

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Jefferson 2007-2008	
	#
Community Family Support	56
Community Financial	20
Community Education	15
Community Health/Insurance	9
HCP Staff	7
Part C	6
Community Health/Public Systems	4
Child Find	2
Community Center Board	2
Nutrition	2
Total	123

<b>CRCSN Notifications</b>	s - Known Child, Jefferson 2007	<mark>-2008</mark>
Broomfield		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
2 - Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	HCP Level I	4
	HCP Level II/III	4
Correspondence	Family has No concerns	1
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	Family has No concerns	3
	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	2
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	14
Total		34
Jefferson		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	4
	Lost to Follow-up	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	4
	Family has No concerns	3
	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	2
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	2
Correspondence	No Response from Family	12
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	6
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	Family has No concerns	4
	HCP Level I	2
	HCP Level II/III	23
	Lost to Follow-up	3
	No Response from Family	9
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	74
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		156
Park		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
No Communication	No Capacity	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2
Total		4
Region Total		194

<b>CRCSN Notifications</b>	- Unknown Child, Jefferson 200	7-2008
Broomfield		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	14
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	Moved out of State	1
	No Response from Family	1
Correspondence	HCP Level I	1
	No Response from Family	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	5
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	27
Total	Successiul - FICE Notilled	56
		30
Clear Creek	Paguit	T-/-'
Type	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	6
Total		11
Gilpin		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		5
Jefferson		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level I	3
0.10 0.11 0.110	HCP Level II/III	8
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	4
i iioiio caii	Child out of Home	3
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	62
	HCP Level I	+
		1
	HCP Level II/III	
	No Response from Family	16
	Terminally III/Deceased	1
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Family has No concerns	2
	HCP Level II/III	1
	Lost to Follow-up	8
	No Response from Family	81
Consultation	No Response from Family	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	45
	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	3
	No Capacity	5
		59
System Generated	No Response from Family Successful - HCP Notified	332
Total	- Troi Houned	640
Park		340
	Decult	Tatal
Type	Result	Total
Correspondence	No Capacity	1
IND L'AMMUNICATION	NI- O't	
No Communication	No Capacity	2
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated		1 6
	No Response from Family	1

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Jefferson 2007-2008								
	Broomfield	# with PCP	% with PCP	Clear Creek	# with PCP	% with PCP	Gilpin	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	125	15	12%	9		0%	3		0%
Level II	34	31	91%	6	6	100%			0%
Level III	1		0%			0%			0%
Total	160	46	29%	15	6	40%	3	0	0%
	Jefferson	# with PCP	% with PCP	Park	# with PCP	% with PCP			
Level I	574	16	3%	8		0%			
Level II	238	219	92%	10	8	80%			
Level III	23	22	96%			0%			
Total	835	257	31%	18	8	44%			

Community Encounters, Jefferson 2007-2008				
Total Encounters				
	Encounters			
Jefferson County Dept Health and Environment	32			
Total	32			

Community Encounters by Outcome, Jefferson 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	9	
Family Participation	22	
Insurance	3	
Screening	3	
Community Care	13	
Transition	3	
Regional Operations	5	
Total	58	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Jefferson 2007-2008					
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration		
Community Health Provider	Increased Knowledge	1	0.75		
Developmental Disability Agency	Increased Knowledge	1	0.75		
Education Partners	Initiated Networking	1	1.5		
Family Network	Increased Awareness	1	1.75		
	Increased Knowledge	2	1		
	Initiated Networking	6	17		
HCP Regional Team	Increased Awareness	1	0.5		
	Staff Development	4	28.5		
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	6	12		
	Increased Awareness	3	1.75		
	Increased Knowledge	3	13		
	Initiated Networking	3	1.5		
Total		32	80		

# Larimer Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Larimer 2007- 200				
	Total			
Level I	410			
Level II	43			
Level III	6			
Total	459			

HCP Clients by Race, Larimer 2007-2008				
	Total			
Level I				
Asian	1			
White	10			
Unknown	399			
Total	410			
Level II				
White	17			
Unknown	26			
Total	43			
Level III				
White	3			
Unknown	3			
Total	6			
Total All Levels	459			

HCP Clients by Age,	Larimer 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	
0 to 4 years	383
5 to 9 years	11
10 to 14 years	7
15 to 19 years	6
20 to 24 years	3
Total	410
Level II	
0 to 4 years	22
5 to 9 years	15
10 to 14 years	3
15 to 19 years	3
20 to 24 years	
Total	43
Level III	
0 to 4 years	
5 to 9 years	1
10 to 14 years	3
15 to 19 years	1
20 to 24 years	1
Total	6
Total All Levels	459

HCP Clients by Gender, Larimer 2007-2008				
	Total			
Level I				
Female	162			
Male	208			
Unknown	40			
Total	410			
Level II				
Female	16			
Male	18			
Unknown	9			
Total	43			
Level III				
Female	2			
Male	4			
Unknown				
Total	6			
Total All Levels	459			

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Larime	r 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	
Non Hispanic	4
Hispanic	2
Unknown	404
Total	410
Level II	
Non Hispanic	13
Hispanic	9
Unknown	21
Total	43
Level III	
Non Hispanic	2
Hispanic	4
Unknown	6
Total	
Total All Levels	459

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Larimer 2007-2008				
There end the by mean	Total			
Level I				
CHP+	1			
Medicaid	8			
No Insurance	1			
Private Insurance	1			
Total	11			
Level II				
Medicaid	23			
Private Insurance	2			
SSI - Medicaid	7			
Total	32			
Level III				
Medicaid	3			
Private Insurance	1			
SSI - Medicaid	3			
Total	7			
Total All Levels	50			

HCP Referrals By Level, Larimer 2007-2008						
	Admin	<b>Basic Needs</b>	<b>Growth &amp; Develop</b>	Health	Total	
Level I	3	1	1	2	7	
Level II			1		1	
Level III						
Total	3	1	2	2	8	

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Larimer 2007-2008					
Туре	Result	Total			
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	3			
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2			
	Family has No concerns	3			
	HCP Level I	1			
	HCP Level II/III	2			
	No Response from Family	4			
Correspondence	Family has No concerns	1			
	No Response from Family	3			
Consultation	Family has No concerns	1			
	HCP Level II/III	1			
No Communication Diagnosis Low Priority		1			
	Family has No concerns	5			
	HCP Level I	1			
	HCP Level II/III	6			
	No Response from Family	2			
System Generated	System Generated Successful - HCP Notified				
	Successful - HCP Notified View	2			
Total		66			

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Larimer 2007- 2008			
	Total	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	410	9	2%
Level II	43	27	63%
Level III	6	4	67%
Total	459	40	9%

HCP Services by Level, Larimer 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I	3	
Level II	13	
Level III	29	
Total	45	

HCP Top 10 Services, Larimer 2007-2008		
	#	
Psychological & Mental Health Services	5	
Education Materials	4	
Psy-Social Systems Navigation	4	
Care Coordination Services	3	
Respite Care	3	
Basic Needs Systems Navigation	2	
Developmental Evaluation	2	
Food	2	
School Services	2	
SSI Assistance	2	
Total	29	

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Larimer 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Health/Public Systems	3	
Community Health/Insurance	2	
Community Family Support	1	
Early Intervention	1	
Neurologist	1	
Total	8	

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Larimer 2007-2008			
Туре	Result	Total	
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	3	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	6	
	Child out of Home	1	
	Family has No concerns	59	
	HCP Level I	9	
	HCP Level II/III	6	
	Lost to Follow-up	2	
	Moved out of State	2	
	No Response from Family	30	
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1	
	Family has No concerns	4	
	Moved out of State	1	
	No Response from Family	62	
Consultation	Family has No concerns	1	
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	2	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	15	
	Family has No concerns	2	
	HCP Level I	1	
	Lost to Follow-up	6	
	No Response from Family	11	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	218	
Total		442	

Community Encounters by Outcome, Larimer 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	98	
Family Participation	110	
Insurance	17	
Screening	11	
Community Care	105	
Transition	40	
Regional Operations	3	
Total	384	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Com	munity Encounters by Group and Result, Larimer 2007-2008	3	
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	34	45
	Community Training	1	1.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2.5
	Increased Awareness	4	7.25
	Increased Knowledge	2	3.75
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	22	49.25
	Community Training	2	7
	Increased Awareness	1	0.75
	Increased Knowledge	2	2.75
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	12	16.75
	Community Training	1	0.75
	Increased Awareness	2	2.25
	Increased Knowledge	4	17
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	52	121.75
	Community Training	14	48.5
	Increased Awareness	1	5
	Increased Knowledge	1	5
	Initiated Networking	9	20
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	5	5
-	Increased Awareness	2	15.75
	Increased Knowledge	4	31.75
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	30	52
-	Community Training	2	15.5
	Grant Writing	1	0.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	3	2.75
	Increased Awareness	2	1.5
	Increased Knowledge	2	4.25
	Initiated Networking	1	40
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2
	Staff Development	1	5
Public Officials	Increased Awareness	1	1.5
Total		221	535.5

## Northeast Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Northeast 2007- 200		
	Total	
Level I	72	
Level II	192	
Level III	4	
Total	268	

HCP Clients by Race, Northeast 2007-2008		
_	Total	
Level I		
White	4	
Unknown	68	
Total	72	
Level II		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1	
Asian	1	
Black or African American	3	
White	95	
Unknown	92	
Total	192	
Level III		
White	3	
Unknown	1	
Total	4	
Total All Levels	268	

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Northeast 2007-2008		
_	Total	
Level I		
Medicaid	3	
Private Insurance	5	
SSI - Medicaid	1	
Total	9	
Level II		
Medicaid	23	
Private Insurance	2	
SSI - Medicaid	7	
Total	32	
Level III		
Medicaid	3	
Private Insurance	1	
SSI - Medicaid	3	
Total	7	
Total All Levels	48	

HCP Clients by Age, Northeast 2007-2008	
	Total
Level I	
0 to 4 years	64
5 to 9 years	2
10 to 14 years	5
15 to 19 years	
20 to 24 years	1
Total	72
Level II	
0 to 4 years	64
5 to 9 years	54
10 to 14 years	52
15 to 19 years	20
20 to 24 years	2
Total	192
Level III	
0 to 4 years	1
5 to 9 years	
10 to 14 years	1
15 to 19 years	
20 to 24 years	2
Total	4
Total All Levels	268

HCP Clients by Ethnicity,	Northeast 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	
Non Hispanic	4
Hispanic	3
Unknown	65
Total	72
Level II	
Non Hispanic	76
Hispanic	35
Unknown	81
Total	192
Level III	
Non Hispanic	3
Hispanic	1
Unknown	4
Total	
Total All Levels	268

НС	HCP Services by Level, Northeast 2007-2008				
	Total				
Level I	6				
Level II	113				
Level III	25				
Total	144				

HCP Top 10 Services, Northeast 2007-2008				
	#			
Clinic Ortho/Rehab, HCP	42			
Clinic Neurology, HCP	25			
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	9			
Care Coordination Services	8			
DME	6			
Home and Environmental Modifications	6			
Adaptive devices	5			
Respite Care	5			
Medications	4			
Clinic Rehab, HCP	3			
Total	113			

	HCP Referrals By Level, Northeast 2007-2008							
	Basic Needs Growth & Develop Health Psychosocial Total							
Level I								
Level II		9	27	2	38			
Level III	1		1	1	3			
Total	1	9	28	3	41			

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Northeast 2007-2008					
Туре	Total				
Phone Call	3				
	No Response from Family	1			
No Communication Lost to Follow-up		1			
System Generated	5				
Total		10			

<b>HCP Clients by Gender, Northeas</b>	t 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	
Female	26
Male	44
Unknown	2
Total	72
Level II	
Female	86
Male	101
Unknown	5
Total	192
Level III	
Female	2
Male	2
Unknown	4
Total	
Total All Levels	268

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Northeast 2007-2				
	#			
Pharmaceutical	9			
Physical Therapist	5			
Radiology	5			
Orthotist/Prothesis	4			
Community Health/Public Systems	3			
HCP Staff	2			
Neurology : Pediatric	2			
Psychiatry : Child	2			
Community Family Support	1			
Community Providers	1			
Total	34			

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Northeast 2007- 2008							
Total # with PCP % with PCP							
Level I	72	7	10%				
Level II	192	164	85%				
Level III	4	3	75%				
Total	268	174	65%				

Community Encounters by Outcome, Northeast 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	43	
Family Participation	79	
Insurance	32	
Screening	53	
Community Care	128	
Transition	30	
Regional Operations	97	
Total	462	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Northeast 2007-2008				
Туре	Result	Total		
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1		
	HCP Level II/III	1		
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1		
	Child out of Home	2		
	Family has No concerns	14		
	HCP Level I	4		
	HCP Level II/III	3		
	Lost to Follow-up	7		
	Moved out of State	2		
	No Response from Family	1		
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	4		
	Moved out of State	2		
	No Response from Family	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	46		
Total		89		

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Northeast 2007-2008					
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration		
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	1	5		
	Community Training	1	12		
	Grant Writing	1	3.5		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	6	49		
	Increased Awareness	5	25.75		
	Increased Knowledge	6	16.5		
	Initiated Networking	1	1		
Developmental Disability Agency	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2		
	Staff Development	1	5		
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	21	69.25		
	Community Training	3	7.75		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	9	28.25		
	Increased Awareness	2	5		
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	2	3		
•	Increased Awareness	2	6.5		
	Increased Knowledge	1	1		
HCP Regional Team	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	6	82		
	Increased Knowledge	8	23		
	Initiated Networking	1	1.5		
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	8		
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	11	24.75		
	Community Training	7	14.25		
	Grant Writing	6	19.75		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	9	21.75		
	Increased Awareness	4	8.75		
	Increased Knowledge	11	31		
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	3	7.5		
	Staff Development	1	1		
Public Officials	Collaboration Transpired	1	4		
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	1		
Total	<u>,</u>	134	488.75		

### Northwest Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Northwest 2007-									
			2008						
	Grand Jackson Moffat Rio Blanco Routt Total								
Level I	17	3	22	13	19	74			
Level II	21	1	16		37	75			
Level III	_evel III 1 1								
Total	38	4	38	13	57	150			

HCP Clients by Race, Northwest 2007- 2008						
	Grand	Jackson	Moffat	Rio Blanco	Routt	Total
Level I						
White	4			1	2	7
Unknown	13	3	22	12	17	67
Total	17	3	22	13	19	74
Level II						
Asian	1					1
Black or African American					1	1
White	11		9		18	38
Unknown	9	1	7		18	35
Total	21	1	16		37	75
Level III						
White					1	1
Total					1	1
Total All Levels	38	4	38	13	57	150

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Northwest 2007- 2008								
	Grand	Jackson	Moffat	Rio Blanco	Routt	Total		
Level I								
CHP+	1					1		
Medicaid	1		2	1	1	5		
Private								
Insurance			2		4	6		
SSI - Medicaid				1		1		
Total	2		4	2	5	13		
Level II								
CHP+	2		1		4	7		
Medicaid	4	1	8		9	22		
No Insurance					1	1		
Private								
Insurance	6		2		7	15		
SSI - Medicaid	1		2			3		
Total	13	1	13		21	48		
Level III								
No Insurance					1			
Total					1	1		
Total All								
Levels	15	1	17	2	27	62		

HCP C	lients I	by Age, N	lorthwe	est 2007- 20	08	
-				Rio Blanco		Total
Level I						
0 to 4 years	14	3	21	12	19	69
5 to 9 years	2			1		3
10 to 14 years	1					1
15 to 19 years			1			1
20 to 24 years						
Total	17	3	22	13	19	74
Level II						
0 to 4 years	14	1	8		13	36
5 to 9 years	3		5		9	17
10 to 14 years	2		2		8	12
15 to 19 years	1		1		7	9
20 to 24 years	1					1
Total	21	1	16		37	75
Level III						
0 to 4 years						
5 to 9 years						
10 to 14 years						
15 to 19 years					1	1
20 to 24 years						
Total					1	1
Total All Levels	38	4	38	13	57	150

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Northwest 2007- 2008						
	Grand	Jackson	Moffat	Rio Blanco	Routt	Total
Level I						
Non Hispanic	2		1		1	4
Hispanic						
Unknown	15	3	21	13	18	70
Total	17	3	22	13	19	74
Level II						
Non Hispanic	13		9		16	38
Hispanic	3		4		5	12
Unknown	5	1	3		16	25
Total	21	1	16		37	75
Level III						
Non Hispanic					1	1
Total					1	1
Total All Levels	38	4	38		57	150

HCP Services by Level, Northwest 2007-2008							
	Grand	Moffat	Routt	Total			
Level I	2	2		4			
Level II	5	15	20	40			
Level III			17	17			
Total	7	17	37	61			

HCP Top 10 Services, Northwest 2007-2008					
	#				
Health Systems Navigation	9				
Funding Resources	5				
Nursing Assessment and					
Consultation	4				
Finances	3				
Health Problem Solving	3				
Respite Care	3				
Basic Needs Systems Navigation	2				
Chiropractic Treatment	2				
Education Materials	2				
Health Insurance Assistance	2				
Total	35				

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Northwest 2007-2008					
	#				
Community Health/Insurance	16				
Community Education	14				
Community Family Support	13				
Community Health/Public Systems	11				
Community Financial	7				
Home Health Care	4				
Family Health Clinic	3				
Optometry	3				
Physical Therapist	3				
Community Center Board	2				
Total	76				

	HCP Referrals By Level, Northwest 2007-2008									
	Admin	<b>Basic Needs</b>	Growth & Develop	Health	<b>Psychosocial</b>	Total				
Level I	2	1	5	5		13				
Level II		26	24	9	8	67				
Level III		2	3	4		9				
Total	2	29	32	18	8	89				

CRCSN Notif	CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Northwest 2007- 2008							
Grand								
Туре	Result	Total						
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1						
Consultation	Moved out of State	1						
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2						
Total		4						
Jackson								
Туре	Result	Total						
Phone Call	Moved out of State	1						
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1						
Total		2						
Region Total		6						

HCP Clients by Gender, Northwest 2007- 2008								
	Grand	Jackson	Moffat	Rio Blanco	Routt	Total		
Level I								
Female	5	3	7	4	3	22		
Male	12		14	9	16	51		
Unknown			1					
Total	17	3	22	13	19	74		
Level II								
Female	9	1	7		15	32		
Male	12		9		22	43		
Total	21	1	16		37	75		
Level III								
Female					1	1		
Total					1	1		
Total All Levels	38	4	38		57	150		

	Unknown Child, Northwest 200	<u> 200</u>
Grand		
Туре	Result	Tota
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	Family has No concerns	2
	HCP Level I	1
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	12
Total		22
Jackson		
Туре	Result	Tota
Correspondence	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total	- Custossiai III III III III III III III III III	2
Moffat		
Туре	Result	Tot
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
i ilolie Cali	Child out of Home	1
	Family has No concerns	5
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
Consultation		1
	Family has No concerns	1
Na Cammuniaatian	HCP Level I	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up Successful - HCP Notified	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	13
Total		26
Rio Blanco		
Туре	Result	Tot
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	Family has No concerns	2
No Communication	No Capacity	3
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	9
Total		17
Routt		
Туре	Result	Tot
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	10
	HCP Level I	1
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
·	Family has No concerns	1
	Lost to Follow-up	1
		1
No Communication	INO Response from Family	
No Communication	No Response from Family	
No Communication System Generated Total	Successful - HCP Notified	15

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Northwest 2007- 2008									
	Grand	# with PCP	% with PCP	Jackson	# with PCP	% with PCP	Moffat	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	17		0%	3		0%	22	10	45%
Level II	21	12	57%	1		0%	16		0%
Level III			0%			0%			0%
Total	38	12	32%	4	0	0%	38	10	26%
	<u> </u>								•
							! !		
	Rio Blan	co # with PCP	% with PCP	Routt	# with PCP	% with PCP			

	Rio Blanco	# with PCP	% with PCP	Routt	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	13	1	8%	19	2	11%
Level II			0%	37	13	35%
Level III			0%	1	1	100%
Total	13	1	8%	57	16	28%

Community Encounters, Northwest 2007-2008		
County	Total Encounters	
Grand County Nrsg Srv	12	
Jackson County Nrsg Srv	4	
Moffat County NWCOVNA	29	
Rio Blanco County Nursing Service, Rangely	9	
Routt County NWCOVNA	153	
Total	207	

Community Encounters by Outcome, Northwest 2007-2008	
	Total
Medical Home	48
Family Participation	60
Insurance	21
Screening	71
Community Care	170
Transition	13
Regional Operations	58
Total	441

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Northwest 2007-2008  Result  Total  Total  Total Total Duration				
Group	Result	Encounters	Total Duration	
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	19	26.25	
	Grant Writing	2	1.25	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.75	
	Increased Awareness	33	48.25	
	Increased Knowledge	15	19.25	
	Initiated Networking	4	2.75	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	7	8	
	Staff Development	2	5.5	
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	2	1.75	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Community Training	2	3	
	Increased Awareness	4	5.25	
	Increased Knowledge	6	5	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	0.25	
	Staff Development	1	1	
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	4	3	
	Community Training	1	4	
	Grant Writing	1	0.75	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	5	
	Increased Awareness	6	5.25	
	Increased Knowledge	5	16.5	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	1	
Faith Based Partner	Increased Awareness	1	0.5	
	Initiated Networking	1	1.25	
Family Network	Community Training	1	1.5	
-	Increased Awareness	3	3.5	
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	3	3.5	
	Increased Awareness	8	16.75	
	Increased Knowledge	5	16.25	
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	2	
	Staff Development	7	33	
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	23	28.5	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	7	19.75	
	Increased Awareness	17	16.75	
	Increased Knowledge	7	26	
	Initiated Networking	1	0.5	
Public Officials	Increased Awareness	2	7	
Third Party Payers	Increased Knowledge	1	1.5	
Total		207	342	

## Pueblo Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Pueblo 2007- 200		
	Total	
Level I	325	
Level II	281	
Level III	3	
Total	609	

HCP Clients by Race, Pueblo 2007- 2008		
	Total	
Level I		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1	
Asian		
Black or African American		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		
White	22	
Unknown	302	
Total	325	
Level II		
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1	
Asian	1	
Black or African American		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	
White	90	
Unknown	188	
Total	281	
Level III		
American Indian or Alaskian Native		
Asian		
Black or African American		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		
White	1	
Unknown	2	
Total	3	
Total All Levels	609	

HCP Clients by Gender, Pueblo 2007- 2008		
	Total	
Level I		
Female	141	
Male	174	
Unknown	10	
Total	325	
Level II		
Female	109	
Male	157	
Unknown	15	
Total	281	
Level III		
Female	1	
Male	2	
Unknown	3	
Total	6	
Total All Levels	609	

HCP Clients by Age, Pueblo 2007- 2008	
	Total
Level I	
0 to 4 years	272
5 to 9 years	24
10 to 14 years	16
15 to 19 years	11
20 to 24 years	2
Total	325
Level II	
0 to 4 years	110
5 to 9 years	72
10 to 14 years	53
15 to 19 years	39
20 to 24 years	7
Total	281
Level III	
0 to 4 years	
5 to 9 years	1
10 to 14 years	1
15 to 19 years	1
20 to 24 years	
Total	3
Total All Levels	609

<b>HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Pueblo</b>	2007- 2008
	Total
Level I	
Non Hispanic	13
Hispanic	15
Unknown	297
Total	325
Level II	
Non Hispanic	57
Hispanic	57
Unknown	167
Total	281
Level III	
Non Hispanic	1
Hispanic	
Unknown	2
Total	3
Total All Levels	609

HCP Referrals By Level, Pueblo 2007-2008				
	<b>Basic Needs</b>	<b>Growth &amp; Develop</b>	Health	Total
Level I		2	1	3
Level II	2		7	9
Level III		2	1	3
Total	2	4	9	15

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Pueblo 2007- 2008		
	Total	
Level I		
Medicaid	9	
Private Insurance	1	
SSI - Medicaid	8	
Total	18	
Level II		
CHP+	4	
Medicaid	69	
Private Insurance	10	
SSI - Medicaid	35	
Total	118	
Level III		
Medicaid	2	
Private Insurance	1	
Total	3	
Total All Levels	139	

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Pueblo 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Education	4	
Community Health/Insurance	4	
Endocrinology : Pediatric	1	
Neurology : Pediatric	1	
Nutrition	1	
Other Org/Unknown	1	
Parent Facilitator	1	
Physical Therapist	1	
Social Worker	1	
Total	15	

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Pueblo 2007- 2008			
Туре	Result	Total	
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	2	
	HCP Level I	2	
	HCP Level II/III	2	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	3	
	HCP Level I	2	
	HCP Level II/III	2	
	Lost to Follow-up	1	
	No Response from Family	2	
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1	
	HCP Level I	1	
	No Response from Family	2	
Consultation	HCP Level II/III	1	
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	2	
	Family has No concerns	1	
	HCP Level I	3	
	HCP Level II/III	2	
	Lost to Follow-up	1	
	Moved out of State	1	
	No Response from Family	2	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	29	
	Successful - HCP Notified View	2	
Total		64	

<b>HCP Services</b>	by Level, Pueblo 2007-2008
	Total
Level I	11
Level II	251
Level III	30
Total	292

HCP Top 10 Services, Pueblo 2007-20	80
	#
Clinic Neurology, HCP	94
Clinic Rehab, HCP	80
Clinic Genetic - HCP	49
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	30
Clinic Ortho/Rehab, HCP	12
Care Coordination Services	3
Occupational Therapy	3
Socialization Skills Training	3
Dental Services	2
Nursing-Anticipatory Guidance	2
Total	278

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coor	dination with PCP by Level, Pueblo 2007-	2008
	Total	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	325	43	13%
Level II	281	176	63%
Level III	3	2	67%
Total	609	221	36%

Community Encounters by Outcome, Pueb	lo 2007-2008
	Total
Medical Home	30
Family Participation	23
Insurance	15
Screening	27
Community Care	52
Transition	9
Regional Operations	15
Total	171

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

<b>CRCSN Notifications</b>	- Unknown Child, Pueblo 2007	- 2008
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Family has No concerns	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	18
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	29
	HCP Level I	2
	HCP Level II/III	2
	Lost to Follow-up	11
	Moved out of State	1
	No Response from Family	32
Correspondence	Family has No concerns	5
	Lost to Follow-up	10
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	15
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	10
	No Capacity	4
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	161
Total		308

Сог	nmunity Encounters by Group and Result, Pueblo 20	007-2008	
Group	Result	Total Encounters	<b>Total Duration</b>
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	6	3
	Community Training	3	1.75
	Increased Awareness	3	2.5
	Increased Knowledge	2	0.75
	Initiated Networking	1	1
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	6	8
	Community Training	4	13.5
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	1.5
	Increased Awareness	1	1
	Increased Knowledge	1	2
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	3	4.75
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	5	10
	Increased Awareness	1	0.5
	Increased Knowledge	1	1
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	4	4
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	1	1.25
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	1
	Increased Awareness	2	5.5
	Increased Knowledge	1	2
	Staff Development	1	0.75
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	3	3.5
	Community Training	2	4.75
	Increased Awareness	5	8.5
	Increased Knowledge	3	7.25
Public Officials	Increased Knowledge	1	0.75
Total		64	93.25

#### South Central Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Southcentral 2007- 2008													
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos	Costilla	Custer	Fremont	Huerfano	Lake	Las Animas	Mineral	Rio Grande	Saguache	Total	
Level I	18	11	13	2	1	67	8	12	20	1	17	2	172	
Level II	104	18	46	8	1	38	1	11	4	1	62	10	304	
Level III		1		1		4					1		7	
Total	122	30	59	11	2	109	9	23	24	2	80	12	483	

			HCF	Clients	by Ra	ce, South	central 20	07- 20	008				
				Costill			Huerfan		Las		Rio		
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos	а	Custer	Fremont	0	Lake	Animas	Mineral	Grande	Saguache	Total
Level I													
White	1					1					3		5
Unknown	17	11	13	2	1	66	8	12	20	1	14	2	167
Total	18	11	13	2	1	67	8	12	20	1	17	2	172
Level II													
American Indian or Alaskian Native	1										1		2
Black or African American	1					1							2
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1												1
White	27	10	18	2	1	17		7		1	32	2	117
Unknown	74	8	28	6		20	1	4	4		29	8	182
Total	104	18	46	8	1	38	1	11	4	1	62	10	304
Level III													
White											1		1
Unknown		1		1		4							6
Total		1		1		4					1		7
Total All Levels	122	30	59	11	2	109	9	23	24	2	80	12	483

				HCP	Clients	by Age, S	Southcent	ral 20	07- 2008				
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos						Las Animas	Mineral	Rio Grande	Saguache	Total
Level I													
0 to 4 years	15	11	10	1	1	66	8	12	20	1	14	1	160
5 to 9 years			1	1							1	1	4
10 to 14 years													
15 to 19 years	3		2			1					2		8
20 to 24 years													
Total	18	11	13	2	1	67	8	12	20	1	17	2	172
Level II													
0 to 4 years	23	4	12	2		19			3	1	33	3	100
5 to 9 years	33	9	15	1		7		2	1		16	2	86
10 to 14 years	17	5	13	2		7		4			5	2	55
15 to 19 years	24		5	3	1	4	1	5			7	3	53
20 to 24 years	7		1			1					1		10
Total	104	18	46	8	1	38	1	11	4	1	62	10	304
Level III													
0 to 4 years				1		1							2
5 to 9 years		1									1		2
10 to 14 years						1							1
15 to 19 years						2					•		2
20 to 24 years													
Total		1		1		4					1		7
Total All Levels	122	30	59	11	2	109	9	23	24	2	80	12	483

,			HCP (	Clients b	y Insur	ance Type	e. Southce	ntral	2007- 2008				
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos	Costilla	Custer	Fremont	Huerfano	Lake	Las Animas	Mineral	Rio Grande	Saguache	Total
Level I													
CHP+						1							1
Medicaid						1					2		3
SSI - Medicaid											1		1
Total						2					3		5
Level II													
CHP+	13				1					1	3		18
Medicaid	32	5	16	5		13		2			22	5	100
Private Insurance	1	1					1				1		4
SSI - Medicaid	16	1	10	3		8		1			4	3	46
Total	62	7	26	8	1	21	1	3		1	30	8	168
Level III													
Medicaid		1											
Total		1											1
Total All Levels	62	8	26	8	1	23	1	3		1	33	8	174

				HCP C	lients k	y Gende	r, Southce	entral	2007- 2008				
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos	Costilla	Custer	Fremont	Huerfano	Lake	Las Animas	Mineral	Rio Grande	Saguache	Total
Level I													
Female	4	1	2	1		19	2	2	8	1	6		46
Male	11	9	8		1	46	6	10	12		8		111
Unknown	3	1	3	1		2					3	2	15
Total	18	11	13	2	1	67	8	12	20	1	17	2	172
Level II													
Female	29	8	18	2	1	15	1	5			18	3	100
Male	58	4	20	6		20		6	1	1	28	2	146
Unknown	17	6	8			3			3		16	5	58
Total	104	18	46	8	1	38	1	11	4	1	62	10	304
Level III													
Female				1		1							2
Male		1				3							4
Unknown											1		1
Total		1		1		4					1		7
Total All Levels	122	30	59	11	2	109	9	23	24	2	80	12	483

				HCP CI	ients b	y Ethnicit	y, Southc	entra	I 2007- 2008				
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Conejos	Costilla	Custer	Fremont	Huerfano	Lake	Las Animas	Mineral	Rio Grande	Saguache	Total
Level I													
Non Hispanic	1							1					2
Hispanic						1					3		4
Unknown	17	11	13	2	1	66	8	11	20	1	14	2	166
Total	18	11	13	2	1	67	8	12	20	1	17	2	172
Level II													
Non Hispanic	15	10	12	1	1	12		6		1	11	2	71
Hispanic	47	1	19	4		1		4	1		27	4	108
Unknown	42	7	15	3		25	1	1	3		24	4	125
Total	104	18	46	8	1	38	1	11	4	1	62	10	304
Level III													
Non Hispanic		1				1							2
Unknown				1		3					1		5
Total		1		1		4					1		7
Total All Levels	122	30	59	11	2	109	9	23	24	2	80	12	483

HCP Top 10 Services, South Central 2007-2008						
	#					
Assistive Devices and Technology	2					
Adaptive devices	1					
Clinic Genetic - HCP	1					
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	1					
Durable medical Equipment	1					
Education Materials	1					
Exercise Equipment & Programs at Health Cub	1					
School Services	1					
Speech & Language Therapy	1					
Transportation to and from Services in CCP	1					
Total	11					

HCP Top 10 Referrals, South Central 2007-2008							
	#						
Chiropractic Medicine	1						
Community Family Support	2						
Community Financial	4						
Urology : Pediatric	1						
Total	8						

HCP Referrals By Level , South Central 2007-2008								
Admin Basic Needs Health Psychosocial T								
Level I	1				1			
Level II								
Level III		4	2	1	7			
Total	1	4	2	1	8			

HCP Services by Level, South Central 2007-2008							
	Alamosa	Chaffee	Fremont				
				Total			
Level I							
Level II	1		1	2			
Level III		5	4	9			
Total	1	5	5	11			

<b>CRCSN Notifications -</b>	Known Child, South Central 2	007- 2008
Alamosa		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		6
Chaffee		
Туре	Result	Total
No Communication	HCP Level II/III	2
	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		6
Conejos		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		3
Fremont		
Туре	Result	Total
No Communication	Family has No concerns	3
	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Lost to Follow-up Successful - HCP Notified	4
Total		8
Las Animas		
Туре	Result	Total
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
Total		1
Rio Grande		
Туре	Result	Total
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1
No Communication	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level II/III	3
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	6
Total		12
Sagauche		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		3
Region Total		39

CRCS	SN Notifications - Unknown Child, Southcentral 2007- 2008	
Alamosa		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	3
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	11
Total		19
Chaffee T	Daardt	Total
Type Phone Call	Result Family has No concerns	Total
Correspondence	No Response from Family	2
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total	Odecessial - From Notified	6
Conejos		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level I	1
Phone Call	Lost to Follow-up	2
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	3
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	7
Total		14
Costilla		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		2
Custer		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Moved out of State	1
Total		1
Fremont	Result	Total
Type Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
Filone Can	Child out of Home	3
	Family has No concerns	9
No Communication	Child out of Home	2
	Lost to Follow-up	13
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	39
Total		69
Huerfano		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	3
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	5
Total		11
Lake		
Type	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Family has No concerns	4
System Congreted	No Response from Family Successful - HCP Notified	1
System Generated Total	Successial - UCL Notilled	6 12
Las Animas		12
Type	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	6
	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	13
Total		26
Mineral		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1
	In	1
System Generated Total	Successful - HCP2Notified	2

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Southcentral 2007- 2008					
Rio Grande					
Туре	Result	Total			
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	1			
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1			
	HCP Level I	1			
	HCP Level II/III	1			
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	5			
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	1			
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	11			
Total		21			
Region Total		183			

		HCP Clients	Receiving Car	e Coordinatio	on with PCP I	y Level, South	central 2007- 2	2008	
	Alamosa	# with PCP	% with PCP	Chaffee	# with PCP	% with PCP	Conejos	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	18	3	17%	11	0	0%	13	1	8%
Level II	104	84	81%	18	11	61%	46	36	78%
Level III			0%	1	1	100%			0%
Total	122	87	71%	30	12	40%	59	37	63%
	Costilla	# with PCP	% with PCP	Custer	# with PCP	% with PCP	Fremont	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	2		0%	1		0%	67		0%
Level II	8	7	88%	1		0%	38	25	66%
Level III	1	1	100%			0%	4		0%
Total	11	8	73%	2	0	0%	109	25	23%
				<u>-</u>	-				
	Huerfano	# with PCP	% with PCP	Lake	# with PCP	% with PCP	Las Animas	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	8		0%	12		0%	20		0%
Level II	1		0%	11	9	82%	4	1	25%
Level III			0%			0%			0%
Total	9	0	0%	23	9	39%	24	1	4%
						Ti			
	Mineral	# with PCP	% with PCP	Rio Grande	# with PCP	% with PCP	Saguache	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	1		0%	17	5	29%	2		0%
Level II	1	1	100%	62	47	76%	10	6	60%
Level III			0%	1	1	100%			0%
Total	2	1	50%	80	53	66%	12	6	50%

Community Encounters, Southcentral 2007-2008					
County	Total Encounters				
Alamosa County Nursing Service	6				
Chaffee County Public Health	2				
Costilla County Nursing Service	2				
Fremont County Nursing Service	5				
Huerfano Counties District Hlth Dept	6				
Lake County Public Health Nursing Service	1				
Las Animas Dist Health Dept	2				
Mineral County Nrsg Service	2				
Rio Grande County Public Health	2				
Saguache County Public Health	6				
South Central Regional Office	119				
Total	153				

Community Encounters by Outcome, Southcentral 2007-2008						
	Total					
Medical Home	28					
Family Participation	29					
Insurance	7					
Screening	28					
Community Care	66					
Transition	24					
Regional Operations	73					
Total	255					

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Commu	nity Encounters by Group and Result, Southcentral 2007-20	008	
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	10	42.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.75
	Increased Knowledge	4	6
	Initiated Networking	2	2.25
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	13	19.75
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	16	24.5
	Increased Awareness	1	5
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	4	17
	Increased Awareness	6	12.75
	Increased Knowledge	1	3
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	17	35.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2
	Increased Awareness	2	1.5
	Increased Knowledge	12	26.75
	Initiated Networking	1	5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	7	13.25
	Staff Development	11	54.5
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	24	47.5
	Community Training	4	10.5
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	2.25
	Increased Awareness	1	2
	Increased Knowledge	2	4
	Initiated Networking	3	3.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2
	Staff Development	1	5
Public Officials	Collaboration Transpired	3	3
	Increased Awareness	1	0.5
	Increased Knowledge	1	2.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2.5
Total		153	358

#### Southeast Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Southeast 2007-2008									
	Baca Bent Cheyenne Crowley Kiowa Kit Carson Lincoln Otero Prowers Tot									
Level I	5							8	3	16
Level II	14	28	6	23	4	13	4	89	69	250
Level III				1						1
Total	19	28	6	24	4	13	4	97	72	267

		НС	CP Clients by	Race, Sout	heast 20	07-2008				
	Baca		Cheyenne	Crowley	Kiowa	Kit Carson	Lincoln	Otero	Prowers	Total
Level I										
White	4							6	1	11
Unknown	1							2	2	5
Total	5							8	3	16
Level II										+
Black or African American									1	1
White	12	25	3	20	1	1	1	63	53	179
Unknown	2	3	3	3	3	12	3	26	16	71
Total	14	28	6	23	4	13	4	89	69	250
Level III										<del>                                     </del>
White				1						1
Unknown										
Total				1						1
Total All Levels	19	28	6	24	4	13	4	97	72	267

			HCP Cli	ents by Age,	Southeas	t 2007-2008				
	Baca	Bent	Cheyenne	Crowley	Kiowa	Kit Carson	Lincoln	Otero	Prowers	Total
Level I										
0 to 4 years	3							6	2	11
5 to 9 years	1							2		3
10 to 14 years									1	1
15 to 19 years	1									1
20 to 24 years										
Total	5							8	3	16
Level II										
0 to 4 years	7	14	5	13	4	12	4	50	38	147
5 to 9 years	3	8	1	8				18	14	52
10 to 14 years	3	4		2				14	15	38
15 to 19 years	1	2				1		6	1	11
20 to 24 years								1	1	2
Total	14	28	6	23	4	13	4	89	69	250
Level III										
0 to 4 years										
5 to 9 years										
10 to 14 years										
15 to 19 years				1						1
20 to 24 years										
Total				1						1
Total All Levels	19	28	6	24	4	13	4	97	72	267

	HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Southeast 2007-2008									
	Baca	Bent	Cheyenne	Crowley	Kiowa	Kit Carson	Lincoln	Otero	Prowers	Total
Level I										
Non Hispanic	3							3		6
Hispanic	1							3	1	5
Unknown	1							2	2	5
Total	5							8	3	16
Level II										
Non Hispanic	10	16	3	13	1	1		35	21	100
Hispanic	1	10		6		1		28	34	80
Unknown	3	2	3	4	3	11	4	26	14	70
Total	14	28	6	23	4	13	4	89	69	250
Level III										
Non Hispanic				1						1
Total				1						1
Total All Levels	19	28	6	24	4	13	4	97	72	267

	HCP Clients by Gender, Southeast 2007-2008									
	Baca	Bent	Cheyenne	Crowley	Kiowa	Kit Carson	Lincoln	Otero	Prowers	Total
Female	1									1
Male	4							8	3	15
Total	5							8	3	16
Level II										
Female	6	13		10	1	7	1	26	30	94
Male	8	15	6	13	3	6	3	63	39	156
Total	14	28	6	23	4	13	4	89	69	250
Level III										
Female				1						1
Total				1						1
Total All Levels	19	28	6	24	4	13	4	97	72	267

			HCP Clients by	/ Insurance	Type, Sou	theast 2007-20	08			
	Baca	Bent	Cheyenne	Crowley	Kiowa	Kit Carson	Lincoln	Otero	Prowers	Total
Level I			_							
CHP+										
Medicaid	3							2		5
SSI - Medicaid								1		1
Total										
	3							3		6
Level II										
CHP+								6	6	12
Medicaid	7	16	2	14				27	36	102
No Insurance	1								2	3
Private Insurance		4		2				11	3	20
SSI - Medicaid	5	5	1	2				9	6	28
Total	13	25	3	18				53	53	165
Level III										
Medicaid				1						1
Total				1						1
Total All Levels	16	25	3	19				56	53	172

HCP Services by Level, Southeast 2007-2008						
	Crowley Total					
Level I						
Level II	1	1				
Level III	12	12				
Total	13	13				

HCP Top 10 Services, Southeast 2007-2008	3
	#
Psychological & Mental Health	3
Neuromedical Treatment	2
Patient, Family and Support Systems Education	2
Assistive Devices and Technology	1
Care Coordination Services	1
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	1
Driver Evaluation and Training	1
Education Materials	1
Psychological & Mental Health Services	1
Total	13

HCP Referrals By Level, Southeast 2007-2008						
	Admin	Basic Needs	Growth & Develop	Health	Psychosocial	Total
Level I						
Level II		1	9	2	8	20
Level III						
Total		1	9	2	8	20

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Southeast 2007-2008	
	#
Audio Services	2
Audiologist	2
Child Development	2
Co-Hear Coordinator	1
Community Providers	2
D & E Coordinators	1
Day Care	1
Ophthalmologist	2
Otolaryngology	1
Part C	1
Total	15

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Southeast 2007-2008				
Bent				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	HCP Level II/III	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2		
Total		3		
Cheyenne				
Туре	Result	Total		
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	2		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2		
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1		
Total		5		
Lincoln				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1		
Total		2		
Otero				
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1		
No Communication	HCP Level II/III	2		
	Lost to Follow-up	1		
	No Response from Family	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	5		
Total		10		
Prowers				
Туре	Result	Total		
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1		
	HCP Level II/III	1		
Phone Call	HCP Level II/III	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	4		
Total		7		
Region Total		27		

	CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Southeast 2007-2008	
Baca	,	
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		5
Bent		
Туре	Result	Total
Correspondence	HCP Level I	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2
Total		3
Cheyenne		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2
Total		3
Crowley		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	HCP Level I	1
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		6
Kiowa		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1
Phone Call	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
Total		6
Kit Carson		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	4
	Lost to Follow-up	1
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	9
Total		17

	CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Southeast 2007-2008	
Lincoln		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2
Total		4
Otero		
Гуре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	2
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	5
	Child out of Home	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	3
	HCP Level I	2
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	HCP Level I	1
	Lost to Follow-up	2
Consultation	Child out of Home	1
	HCP Level I	1
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1
	Moved out of State	1
	No Response from Family	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	25
Total		49
Prowers		
Гуре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Family has No concerns	1
	Moved out of State	2
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	3
	Lost to Follow-up	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	12
Total	Cubbookii 1101 Hound	24
Region Total		117

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Southeast 2007-2008								
	Baca	# with PCP	% with PCP	Bent	# with PCP	% with PCP	Cheyenne	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	5	4	80%			0%			0%
Level II	14	9	64%	28	17	61%	6		0%
Level III			0%			0%			0%
Total	19	13	68%	28	17	61%	6	0	0%
	Crowley	# with PCP	% with PCP	Kiowa	# with PCP	% with PCP	Kit Carson	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I			0%			0%			0%
Level II	23	6	26%	4		0%	13	1	8%
Level III	1	1	100%			0%			0%
Total	24	7	29%	4	0	0%	13	1	8%
	Lincoln	# with PCP	% with PCP	Otero	# with PCP	% with PCP	Prowers	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I			0%	8	2	25%	3	1	33%
Level II	4		0%	89	39	44%	69	48	70%
Level III			0%			0%			0%
Total	4	0	0%	97	41	42%	72	49	68%

Community Encounters, Southeast 2007-2008				
County	Total Encounters			
Bent County Nursing Service	7			
Otero County Health	26			
Total	33			

Community Encounters by Outcome, Southeast 2007-2008				
	Total			
Medical Home	20			
Family Participation	21			
Insurance	12			
Screening	17			
Community Care	31			
Transition	13			
Regional Operations	24			
Total	138			

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Comm	unity Encounters by Group and Result, Southeast 2007-200	08	
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	1	1.25
	Increased Knowledge	1	1.75
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
Developmental Disability Agency	Increased Knowledge	1	1
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	1.5
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	4	6
	Community Training	1	5
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	2
	Increased Awareness	1	2.5
	Increased Knowledge	2	2.75
Family Network	Increased Awareness	1	3
HCP Regional Team	Increased Knowledge	4	7.75
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	8	14.25
	Community Training	1	1.5
	Increased Awareness	2	2.5
	Increased Knowledge	3	7
Total		33	60.5

### Southwest Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Southwest 2007- 2008						
	<b>Dolores</b>	Montezuma	Archuleta	La Plata	Total	
Level I	4	7	10	65	86	
Level II	3	48	16	69	136	
Level III		3		2	5	
Total	7	58	26	136	227	

HCP Clients by Race, Southwest 2007-2008							
_	Dolores	Montezuma	Archuleta	La Plata	Total		
Level I							
American Indian or Alaskian Native							
Asian			1	4	5		
White			2	12	14		
Unknown	4	7	7	49	67		
Total							
Level II							
American Indian or Alaskian Native		4		4	8		
Asian				1	1		
Black or African American				1	1		
White		19	4	28	51		
Unknown	3	25	12	35	75		
Total							
Level III							
White		1			1		
Unknown		2		2	4		
Total	7	58	26	136	227		

НСР	Clients k	y Insurance	Type, So	uthwest 2	2007- 2008
		Montezuma			
Level I					
Medicaid			1	5	6
No Insurance			1		1
Private Insurance			1	3	4
Total			3	8	11
Level II					
CHP+	2	6		4	12
Medicaid		26	8	28	62
No Insurance		2		1	3
Private Insurance		3	2	16	21
SSI - Medicaid		2		2	4
Total	2	39	10	51	102
Level III					
Medicaid		3			3
Total		3			3
Total All Levels	2	42	13	59	116

HCD C	ionto by	Ago Couth	woot 2007	2000	
HCP CI	Delice by	Age, South	west 2007-	2000	T - 4 - 1
	Dolores	Montezuma	Archuleta	La Piata	lotai
Level I					
0 to 4 years	4	6	7	45	62
5 to 9 years		1		6	7
10 to 14 years			2	7	9
15 to 19 years				4	4
20 to 24 years			1	3	4
Total	4	7	10	65	86
Level II					
0 to 4 years		14	4	19	37
5 to 9 years	1	12	6	22	41
10 to 14 years	2	15	2	11	30
15 to 19 years		6	3	13	22
20 to 24 years		1	1	4	6
Total	3	48	16	69	136
Level III					
0 to 4 years					
5 to 9 years				1	1
10 to 14 years		2		1	3
15 to 19 years		1			1
20 to 24 years					
Total		1		2	5
Total All Levels	7	58	26	136	227

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Southwest 2007- 2008								
	Dolores	Montezuma	Archuleta	La Plata	Total			
Level I								
Non Hispanic			1	3	4			
Unknown	4	7	9	62	82			
Total	4	7	10	65	86			
Level II								
Non Hispanic	1	25	4	32	62			
Hispanic		4	5	2	11			
Unknown	2	19	7	35	63			
Total	3	48	16	69	136			
Level III								
Hispanic		1			1			
Unknown		2		2	4			
Total		3		2	5			
Total All Levels	7	58	26	136	227			

	HCP Referrals By Level, Southwest 2007-2008						
	Admin	<b>Basic Needs</b>	<b>Growth &amp; Develop</b>	Health	<b>Psychosocial</b>	Total	
Level I				1		1	
Level II				1		1	
Level III		1	1			2	
Total		1	1	2		4	

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Southwest 2007-2008					
#					
Community Education	2				
Community Health/Insurance	1				
Community Health/Public Systems	1				
Total	4				

HCP Services by Level, Southwest 2007-2008							
	Dolores Montezuma La Plata Total						
Level I							
Level II	2			2			
Level III		6	2	8			
Total	2	6	2	10			

HCP Top 10 Services, Southwest 2007-2008			
	#		
Psychological & Mental Health Services	3		
Clinic Neurology, HCP	2		
Massage	1		
School Problem Solving and Support	1		
Therapeutic Recreation	1		
Tutoring	1		
Visual Impairment Treatment	1		
Total	10		

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Southwest 2007- 2008				
Montezuma				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	1		
No Communication	No Capacity	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	2		
Total		4		
Archuleta		_		
		·		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1		
Total		2		
La Plata				
One-on-One	HCP Level I	1		
Phone Call	HCP Level I	1		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	2		
No Communication	HCP Level I	2		
	No Capacity	3		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	9		
Total		18		
Region Total		24		

TICE CITE		ender, Sout			
	Dolores	Montezuma	Archuleta	La Plata	Tota
Level I					
Female	2	2	6	19	29
Male	2	4	3	26	35
Unknown		1	1	20	22
Total	4	7	10	65	86
Level II					
Female		15	3	17	35
Male		20	5	30	55
Unknown	3	13	8	22	46
Total	3	48	16	69	136
Level III					
Female		1		1	2
Male		2		1	3
Unknown					
Total		3		2	5
Total All Levels	7	58	26	136	227

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Southwest 2007- 2008				
Dolores				
Туре	Result	Total		
No Communication	No Capacity	2		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1		
Total		3		
Montezuma				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	2		
Correspondence	No Capacity	4		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	6		
Total		12		
Archuleta				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	4		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	5		
Total		9		
La Plata				
Туре	Result	Total		
Correspondence	HCP Level I	20		
No Communication	No Capacity	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	20		
Total		41		
Region Total		65		

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Southwest 2007- 2008						
	Dolores	# with PCP	% with PCP	Montezuma	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	4		0%	7		0%
Level II	3	2	67%	48	21	44%
Level III			0%	3		0%
Total	7	2	29%	58	21	36%
_						
	Archuleta	# with PCP	% with PCP	La Plata	# with PCP	% with PCP
Level I	10	2	20%	65	6	9%
Level II	16	6	38%	69	40	58%
Level III			0%	2		0%
Total	26	8	31%	136	46	34%

Community Encounters, Southwest 2007-2008				
County	Total Encounters			
Dolores County Nursing Service	1			
Montezuma County Health Dept	2			
San Juan Basin/La Plata Health Department	45			
Total	48			

Community Encounters by Outcome, Southwest 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	14	
Family Participation	17	
Insurance	4	
Screening	12	
Community Care	27	
Transition	5	
Regional Operations	7	
Total	86	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Community Encounters by Group and Result, Southwest 2007-2008				
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration	
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	10	5.25	
	Grant Writing	1	1.5	
	Increased Knowledge	6	4	
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	1	1	
	Community Training	1	1.5	
	Initiated Networking	1	0.5	
<b>Education Partners</b>	Collaboration Transpired	5	3.5	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.5	
	Increased Awareness	1	0.75	
Faith Based Partner	Collaboration Transpired	1	0.25	
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	3	3.5	
	Community Training	1	0.25	
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	0.5	
	Increased Awareness	3	4	
	Increased Knowledge	4	2	
	Initiated Networking	2	0.75	
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	2	1.75	
	Increased Awareness	1	0.5	
	Increased Knowledge	3	2.5	
Total		48	34.5	

#### Tri-County Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Tri-County 2007-2008					
Elbert TriCounty Total					
Level I	19	1718	1737		
Level II		260	260		
Level III		27	27		
Total	19	2005	2024		

HCP Clients by Insurance Type,	Tri-Cou	inty 2007-20	008
	Elbert	Tri-County	Total
Level I			
CHP+		5	5
Medicaid		53	53
No Insurance		2	2
Private Insurance		63	63
SSI - Medicaid		11	11
Total		134	134
Level II	Elbert	Tri-County	Total
CHP+		2	2
Medicaid		50	50
No Insurance		1	1
Private Insurance		36	36
SSI - Medicaid		28	28
Total		117	117
Lavel III	Filesont	Tri County	Total
Level III CHP+	Elbert	Tri-County	Total
		3 6	<u>3</u>
Medicaid		~	
No Insurance		1	1 7
Private Insurance		7	7
SSI - Medicaid	ļ	2	2
Total		19	19
Total All Levels		270	270

HCP Clients by Race, Tri-County 2007-2008							
	Elbert Tri-County Total						
Level I							
Asian		1	1				
Black or African American		2	2				
White		17	17				
Unknown	19	1698	1717				
Total	19	1718	1737				
Level II							
Asian		5	5				
Black or African American		4	4				
White		58	58				
Unknown		193	193				
Total		260	260				
Level III							
Black or African American		1	1				
White		10	10				
Unknown		16	16				
Total		27	27				
Total All Levels	19	2005	2024				

HCP Clients by Age, T	ri-Cou	ntv 2007-20	008
The cheme by rige, i	Elbert	Tri-County	Total
Level I			
0 to 4 years	19	1685	1704
5 to 9 years		16	
10 to 14 years		8	
15 to 19 years		6	
20 to 24 years		2	
Total			
Level II			
0 to 4 years		169	169
5 to 9 years		44	44
10 to 14 years		23	23
15 to 19 years		20	20
20 to 24 years		4	4
Total		260	260
Level III			
0 to 4 years		2	2
5 to 9 years		8	8
10 to 14 years		6	6
15 to 19 years		10	10
20 to 24 years		1	1
Total		27	27
Total All Levels	19	2005	2024

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, Tri-County 2007- 2008				
	Elbert	Tri-County	Total	
Level I				
Non Hispanic		25	25	
Hispanic		18	18	
Unknown	19	1676	1695	
Total	19	1719	1738	
Level II				
Non Hispanic		66	66	
Hispanic		72	72	
Unknown		121	121	
Total		259	259	
Level III				
Non Hispanic		7	7	
Hispanic		3	3	
Unknown		17	17	
Total		27	27	
Total All Levels	19	2005	2024	

	HCP Services by Level, Tri-County 2007-2008			
	Elbert	<b>Tri-County</b>	Total	
Level I		53	53	
Level II		128	128	
Level III		70	70	
Total		251	251	

HCP Top 10 Services, Tri-County 2007-2008			
	#		
Care Coordination Services	34		
Nursing-Anticipatory Guidance	27		
Nursing Assessment and Consultation	16		
Education Materials	15		
Nutrician and Diet Consultation	15		
Health Insurance Assistance	12		
Patient, Family and Support Systems Education	11		
Physical Therapy	9		
Parent to Parent Support	7		
Speech & Language Therapy	7		
Total	153		

	HCP Referrals By Level, Tri-County 2007-2008						
	Admin Basic Needs Growth & Develop Health Psychosocial To						
Level I		18	18	6	9	51	
Level II	1	27	19	27	21	95	
Level III		5	88	29	31	153	
Total	1	50	125	62	61	299	

HCP Clients by Gender, Tri-County 2007-2008				
		Tri-County	Total	
Level I				
Female	7	702	709	
Male	12	961	973	
Unknown		56	56	
Total	19	1719	1738	
Level II				
Female		100	100	
Male		150	150	
Unknown		9	9	
Total		259	259	
Level III				
Female		6	6	
Male		21	21	
Total		27	27	
Total All Levels	19	2005	2024	

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Tri-County 2007-2008					
	#				
Community Family Support	121				
Community Education	71				
Community Health/Public Systems	31				
Community Health/Insurance	27				
Community Financial	20				
Nutrition	5				
Part C	5				
Dentist	3				
Early Intervention	3				
Community Center Board	2				
Total	288				

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Tri-County 2007-2008				
Tri-County Tri-County				
Туре	Result	Total		
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	2		
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	9		
	Child out of Home	1		
	Family has No concerns	8		
	HCP Level I	3		
	HCP Level II/III	6		
	Lost to Follow-up	7		
	No Response from Family	15		
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	3		
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1		
	HCP Level I	1		
	No Response from Family	18		
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	50		
	Diagnosis Low Priority	6		
	Family has No concerns	7		
	HCP Level I	2		
	HCP Level II/III	8		
	Lost to Follow-up	1		
	No Response from Family	12		
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	47		
	Child out of Home	1		
	Diagnosis Low Priority	33		
	Family has No concerns	4		
	HCP Level II/III	14		
	Lost to Follow-up	2		
	Moved out of State	1		
	No Capacity	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	210		
_	Successful - HCP Notified View	4		
Total		477		

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Tri-County 2007-2008			
Elbert	,		
Туре	Result	Total	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1	
	Family has No concerns	2	
Correspondence	No Response from Family	8	
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	1	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	10	
Total		24	
Tri-County			
Type	Result	Total	
One-on-One	HCP Level II/III	3	
	No Response from Family	1	
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	22	
	Child out of Home	2	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	3	
	Family has No concerns	103	
	HCP Level I	32	
	HCP Level II/III	10	
	Lost to Follow-up	35	
	Moved out of State	1	
	No Response from Family	125	
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	3	
•	Diagnosis Low Priority	2	
	Family has No concerns	1	
	Lost to Follow-up	6	
	No Response from Family	133	
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	57	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	9	
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	38	
	Diagnosis Low Priority	637	
	Family has No concerns	2	
	Lost to Follow-up	7	
	No Response from Family	1	
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1229	
Total		2462	
Region Total		2486	

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Tri-County 2007-2008						
	Elbert # with PCP % with PCP TriCounty # with PCP % with PCP						
Level I	19		0%	1718	99	6%	
Level II			0%	260	154	59%	
Level III			0%	27	19	70%	
Total	19	0	0%	2005	272	14%	

Community Encounters, Tri-County 2007-2008		
County	Total Encounters	
TriCounty Health Dept	413	
Total 413		

Community Encounters by Outcome, Tri-County 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	106	
Family Participation	231	
Insurance	66	
Screening	103	
Community Care	255	
Transition	48	
Regional Operations	74	
Total	883	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

	/ Encounters by Group and Result, Tri-County 2007-2		
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	18	57.5
	Community Training	6	15.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	3	9.75
	Increased Awareness	16	72.25
	Increased Knowledge	14	94.75
	Initiated Networking	2	3.5
	Staff Development	1	4.5
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	33	72
	Community Training	2	18
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	3.75
	Increased Awareness	12	28
	Increased Knowledge	6	16.5
	Initiated Networking	3	3.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	1.75
Education Partners	Collaboration Transpired	11	23.75
	Community Training	15	44
	Increased Awareness	11	24.5
	Increased Knowledge	16	105
	Initiated Networking	3	6.25
	Staff Development	2	5.25
Faith Based Partner	Collaboration Transpired	1	3.5
	Increased Awareness	1	4
	Increased Knowledge	3	8.5
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	9	24
Turning Hotheric	Community Training	1	5
	Increased Awareness	5	11.5
	Increased Knowledge	1	20
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	5	39.75
nor regional ream	Community Training	1	15
	Increased Awareness	6	35.25
	Increased Knowledge	14	186
	Initiated Networking	2	3.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	3	6.25
	Staff Development	3	22.25
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	58	186.75
Other Community Farthers	·	11	105.75
	Community Training	3	23
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN Increased Awareness	38	185.25
	Increased Knowledge	39	165.5
	Initiated Networking	14	34.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	10	24.5
	Staff Development	4	9.5
Public Officials	Community Training	1	1
	Increased Awareness	2	11
	Increased Knowledge	1 413	1.25 <b>1743.25</b>

## Weld Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Weld 2007- 2008			
	Total		
Level I	314		
Level II	134		
Level III	8		
Total	456		

HCP Clients by Race, Weld 2007- 2008			
	Total		
Level I			
American Indian or Alaskian Native			
Asian			
Black or African American			
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			
White	35		
Unknown	279		
Total	314		
Level II			
American Indian or Alaskian Native			
Asian			
Black or African American			
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			
White	85		
Unknown	49		
Total	134		
Level III			
American Indian or Alaskian Native			
Asian			
Black or African American	1		
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			
White	3		
Unknown	4		
Total	8		
Total All Levels	456		

HCP Clients by Age	, Weld 2007- 2008
	Total
Level I	
0 to 4 years	302
5 to 9 years	9
10 to 14 years	2
15 to 19 years	1
20 to 24 years	
Total	314
Level II	
0 to 4 years	50
5 to 9 years	34
10 to 14 years	31
15 to 19 years	15
20 to 24 years	4
Total	134
Level III	
0 to 4 years	1
5 to 9 years	3
10 to 14 years	1
15 to 19 years	2
20 to 24 years	1
Total	8
Total All Levels	456

HCP Clients by Ethnicity, We	eld 2007- 2008
	Total
Level I	
Non Hispanic	5
Hispanic	17
Unknown	292
Total	314
Level II	Total
Non Hispanic	17
Hispanic	83
Unknown	34
Total	134
Level III	Total
Non Hispanic	1
Hispanic	1
Unknown	6
Total	8
Total All Levels	456

HCP Clients by Insurance Type, Weld 2007- 2008		
	Total	
Level I		
CHP+	2	
Medicaid	19	
No Insurance	4	
Private Insurance	7	
SSI - Medicaid	1	
Total	33	
Level II		
CHP+	13	
Medicaid	66	
No Insurance	10	
Private Insurance	15	
SSI - Medicaid	28	
Total	132	
Level III		
CHP+	1	
Medicaid	4	
No Insurance		
Private Insurance	2	
SSI - Medicaid		
Total	7	
Total All Levels	172	

HCP Clients by Gender, Weld 2007- 200		
-	Total	
Level I		
Female	131	
Male	181	
Unknown	2	
Total	314	
Level II		
Female	48	
Male	84	
Unknown	2	
Total	134	
Level III		
Female	4	
Male	4	
Unknown		
Total	8	
Total All Levels	456	

HCP Services by Level, Weld 2007-2008		
	Total	
Level I	1	
Level II	27	
Level III	11	
Total	39	

HCP Top 10 Services, Weld 2007-2008	3
	#
Transportation to and from Services in CCP	4
Home and Environmental Modifications	3
Care Coordination Services	2
Clinic Genetic - HCP	2
Education Materials	2
Food	2
Funding Resources	2
Home Care	2
SSI Assistance	2
Adaptive devices	1
Total	22

CRCSN Notifications - Known Child, Weld 2007- 2008				
Weld	·			
Туре	Result	Total		
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1		
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	2		
	Family has No concerns	2		
	HCP Level II/III	1		
	Lost to Follow-up	5		
	No Response from Family	19		
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	3		
	Family has No concerns	2		
	HCP Level II/III	12		
	No Response from Family	2		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	43		
Total		92		

HCP Referrals By Level, Weld 2007-2008						
	Admin	<b>Basic Needs</b>	<b>Growth &amp; Develop</b>	Health	<b>Psychosocial</b>	Total
Level I		4	2	2	3	11
Level II		119	14	20	27	180
Level III		2			1	3
Total		125	16	22	31	194

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Weld 2007-2008				
	#			
Community Financial	102			
Community Family Support	25			
HCP Staff	19			
Community Health/Public Systems	10			
Community Health/Insurance	9			
Community Education	7			
Dentist	4			
Pediatrician	4			
Neurology: Pediatric	2			
Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation	2			
Total	184			

CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Weld 2007- 2008				
Weld		Total		
Туре	Result			
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	23		
	Family has No concerns	3		
	HCP Level I	4		
	No Response from Family	2		
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1		
	Family has No concerns	12		
	HCP Level I	1		
	Lost to Follow-up	17		
	No Response from Family	164		
	Terminally III/Deceased	1		
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	230		
Total		458		

HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination with PCP by Level, Weld 2007- 2008											
Total # with PCP % with PCP											
Level I	314	19	6%								
Level II	134	112	84%								
Level III	8	3	38%								
Total	456	134	29%								

Community Encounters by Outcome, Weld 2	007-2008
-	Total
Medical Home	6
Family Participation	4
Insurance	8
Screening	7
Community Care	83
Transition	1
Regional Operations	7
Total	116

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

	ommunity Encounters by Group and Result, Weld 20	Total	
Craun	Result	Encounters	Total Duration
Group	1100000	Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	1	11
	Increased Awareness	3	15
	Increased Knowledge	3	8.25
	Initiated Networking	6	6.5
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	0.25
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	3	7.5
	Community Training	1	10
	Increased Knowledge	2	4
	Initiated Networking	2	1
Education Partners	Community Training	2	8
	Increased Awareness	1	1.5
	Increased Knowledge	2	4
	Initiated Networking	1	0.5
Family Network	Increased Awareness	1	1
	Initiated Networking	1	1.25
HCP Regional Team	Increased Knowledge	2	2.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	2
	Staff Development	1	2
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	1	0.75
	Community Training	4	8.75
	Increased Awareness	3	1.25
	Increased Knowledge	9	27.25
	Initiated Networking	46	40.25
Public Officials	Increased Knowledge	1	0.75
Total		98	155.5

#### Western Slope Regional Office HCP CHIRP Data

	HCP Clients Receiving Care Coordination by Level, Western Slope 2007- 2008											
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle	Garfield	Gunnison	Hinsdale	Mesa	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total
Level I	11	27	64	69	12		211	46	1	7	31	479
Level II	5	83	28	57	3	1	179	38	9	1		404
Level III			1	3			8	3	1		2	18
Total	16	110	93	129	15	1	398	87	11	8	33	901

	HCP Clients by Age, Western Slope 2007- 2008												
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle		Gunnison	Hinsdale	<u> </u>	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total	
Level I													
0 to 4 years	11	27	63	66	12		204	43	1	7	30	464	
5 to 9 years							1	1			1	3	
10 to 14 years			1	2			4					7	
15 to 19 years							2	2				4	
20 to 24 years				1								1	
Total	11	27	64	69	12		211	46	1	7	31	479	
Level II													
0 to 4 years	2	27	14	26	1	1	71	16	2	1		161	
5 to 9 years	2	26	6	11	1		55	12	2			115	
10 to 14 years	1	17	3	13			35	9	2			80	
15 to 19 years		11	3	4	1		17	1	3			40	
20 to 24 years		2	2	3			1					8	
Total	5	83	28	57	3	1	179	38	9	1		404	

	НС	P Clie	ents by	v Race, V	Vestern Sl	ope 2007-	2008					
								Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Tota
Level I										_		
White		2	1	2			15	2			2	24
Unknown	11	25	63	67	12		196	44	1	7	29	455
Total	11	27	64	69	12		211	46	1	7	31	479
Level II												
American Indian or Alaskian Native							2					2
Asian		1					1					2
Black or African American		2				1	1	1				5
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander									1			1
White	1	56	9	35	3		138	10	8	1		261
Unknown	4	24	19	22			37	27				133
Total	5	83	28	57	3	1	179	38	9	1		404
Level III												
American Indian or Alaskian Native				1								
White			1	1			5	1	1		1	
Unknown				1			3	2			1	
Total			1	3			8	3	1		2	18
Total All Levels	16	110	93	129	15	1	398	87	11	8	33	901

			HCP	Clients by	Insurance 1	ype, Weste	ern Slo	pe 2007- 20	08			
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle	Garfield	Gunnison	Hinsdale	Mesa	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total
Level I												
CHP+		1										1
Medicaid		2		3			6					11
No Insurance			2									2
Private Insurance				1			5					6
Total		3	2	4			11					20
Level II												
CHP+	1	6	1	5			10	7				30
Medicaid	1	46	10	22	2	1	107	18	3			210
No Insurance	1	6	6	7			8	2	1			31
Private Insurance	2	13	2	13			47	11	3	1		92
SSI - Medicaid	1	15		1			21	4				42
Total	6	86	19	48	2	1	193	42	7	1		405
Level III												
Medicaid				2			6	3				11
No Insurance							1					1
Private Insurance							1		1		1	3
SSI - Medicaid							1					1
Total				2			9	3	1		1	16
Total All Levels	6	89	21	54	2	1	213	45	8	1	1	441

			Н	ICP Client	s by Ethnicit	v, Western	Slope	2007- 2008				
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle		Gunnison	Hinsdale	Mesa	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total
Level I												
Non Hispanic		2		1			4	1				8
Hispanic		1	2	3			6	2			1	15
Unknown	11	24	62	65	12		201	43	1	7	30	456
Total	11	27	64	69	12		211	46	1	7	31	479
Level II												
Non Hispanic	2	58	3	25	3		130	9	9	1		240
Hispanic	1	16	21	24			25	7				94
Unknown	2	9	4	8		1	24	22				70
Total	5	83	28	57	3	1	179	38	9	1		404
Level III												
Non Hispanic				2			5	1	1		1	10
Hispanic				1			1					2
Unknown			1				2	2			1	6
Total			1	3			8	3	1		2	18
Total All Levels	16	110	93	129	15	1	398	87	11	8	33	901

				HCP Clien	ts by Gende	r, Western	Slope 2	2007- 2008				
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle	Garfield	Gunnison	Hinsdale	Mesa	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total
Level I												
Female	2	9	27	26	4		82	15		3	14	182
Male	9	18	37	43	8		91	31	1	4	17	259
Unknown							38					38
Total	11	27	64	69	12		211	46	1	7	31	479
Level II												
Female	3	33	16	24	1		78	14	5	1		175
Male	2	50	12	32	2	1	99	23	4			225
Unknown				1			2	1				4
Total	5	83	28	57	3	1	179	38	9	1		404
Level III												
Female			1				3	1			1	6
Male				3			5	2	1		1	12
Unknown												
Total			1	3			8	3	1		2	18
Total All Levels	16	110	93	129	15	1	398	87	11	8	33	901

	HCP Services by Level, Western Slope 2007-2008											
	Pitkin	Delta	Eagle	Garfield	Gunnison	Hinsdale	Mesa	Montrose	Ouray	San Miguel	Summit	Total
Level I				1			2					3
Level II	4	61	16	54	1	1	96	29	2			264
Level III			1	6			16	4	1		2	30
Total	4	61	17	61	1	1	114	33	3		2	297

HCP Top 10 Services, Western Slope 2007-2008	3
	#
Clinic Neurology, HCP	164
Clinic Cardiology, HCP	43
Clinic Rehab, HCP	41
Clinic Orthopedic, HCP	22
Therapeutic Recreation	5
Activites of Daily Living	1
Adaptive devices	1
Assistive Devices and Technology	1
Camp Programs	1
Care Coordination Services	1
Total	280

	HCP Referrals By Level, Western Slope 2007-2008											
	Admin	Basic Needs	Growth & Develop	Health	Psychosocial	Total						
Level I												
Level II												
Level III		5				5						
Total		5				5						

HCP Top 10 Referrals, Western Slope 2007-2008		
	#	
Community Financial	2	
Community Health/Public Systems	2	
Community Health/Insurance	1	
Total	5	

<b>CRCSN Notifications</b>	- Known Child, Western Slope 200	<mark>7- 2008</mark>
Pitkin		
Туре	Result	Total
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	No Capacity	2
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		4
Delta		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		2
Eagle		
Туре	Result	Total
Consultation	HCP Level II/III	1
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	3
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		7
Garfield		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level I	1
Correspondence	No Response from Family	1
Consultation	HCP Level I	1
No Communication	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	6
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		13

CRCSN Notifications	- Known Child, Western Slope 200	<mark>07- 2008</mark>
Mesa		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	HCP Level I	3
	HCP Level II/III	4
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level I	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
	No Response from Family	1
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
_	No Response from Family	4
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
	HCP Level I	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	HCP Level I	4
	HCP Level II/III	5
	No Response from Family	4
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	34
Total		73
Montrose		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
No Communication	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	No Capacity	5
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	7
	Successful - HCP Notified View	1
Total		15
Summit		
Туре	Result	Total
No Communication	No Capacity	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		2
Region Total		116

	CRCSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Western Slope 2007- 200	_
Pitkin		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	2
	No Response from Family	1
	Terminally III/Deceased	1
Correspondence	No Response from Family	1
No Communication	No Capacity	5
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	10
Total		20
Delta		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	6
	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
	Family has No concerns	5
	Lost to Follow-up	3
	No Response from Family	2
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	2
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	2
	Moved out of State	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	24
Total		47

CPC	CSN Notifications - Unknown Child, Western Slope 2007- 2008	3
Eagle	2007 - 2000	, 
Type	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	10141
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	1
		3
Correspondence	No Capacity	
0	No Response from Family	3
Consultation	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services	3
<del> </del>	Diagnosis Low Priority	6
	No Capacity	14
	No Response from Family	5
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	37
Total		76
Garfield		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Family has No concerns	1
	HCP Level II/III	1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services	11
	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
	Family has No concerns	10
	HCP Level I	10
	HCP Level II/III	1
	Lost to Follow-up	
		1
<del>-</del>	Moved out of State	1
Correspondence	Lost to Follow-up	1
	No Capacity	1
	No Response from Family	2
No Communication	Lost to Follow-up	5
_	No Capacity	6
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	45
Total		89
Gunnison		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Already in Non-HCP Services	7
	Family has No concerns	1
No Communication	No Capacity	2
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	11
Total	Successiui - Fior Notified	21
		21
Mesa	D 14	
Туре	Result	T-1-1
One-on-One		Total
	Already in Non-HCP Services	1
	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III	1 1
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III Already in Non-HCP Services	1 1 9
Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns	1 1 9 8
	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns HCP Level I	1 1 9
Phone Call  Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns HCP Level I Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8
	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up	1 1 9 8 2
	Already in Non-HCP Services HCP Level II/III Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns HCP Level I Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8 2 1
	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up	1 1 9 8 2 1 1
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority Lost to Follow-up No Response from Family Already in Non-HCP Services	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services  Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21
Correspondence	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21 1 1
Correspondence  No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6 71
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total Montrose	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified	1 1 9 8 2 1 1 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6 71 135
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total Montrose Type	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 1 21 1 1 6 71 135
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total Montrose Type Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified  Result  Family has No concerns	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6 71 135
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total Montrose Type Phone Call	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services  Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified  Result  Family has No concerns  Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 1 21 1 1 6 71 135
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total Montrose Type Phone Call No Communication	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services  Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified  Result  Family has No concerns  Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6 71 135
Correspondence  No Communication  System Generated Total	Already in Non-HCP Services  HCP Level II/III  Already in Non-HCP Services  Family has No concerns  HCP Level I  Diagnosis Low Priority  Lost to Follow-up  No Response from Family  Already in Non-HCP Services  Child out of Home  Diagnosis Low Priority  Family has No concerns  Moved out of State  No Capacity  Successful - HCP Notified  Result  Family has No concerns  Diagnosis Low Priority	1 1 9 8 2 11 1 8 3 1 21 1 1 6 71 135

CRCSN	Notifications - Unknown Child, Western Slope 2007- 2008	
Ouray	, i	
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	HCP Level II/III	1
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	1
Total		2
San Miguel		
Туре	Result	Total
Phone Call	Diagnosis Low Priority	2
No Communication	No Capacity	4
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	4
Total		10
Summit		
Туре	Result	Total
One-on-One	Diagnosis Low Priority	1
Phone Call	Family has No concerns	2
No Communication	No Capacity	18
System Generated	Successful - HCP Notified	22
Total		43
Region Total		486

		HCP Clie	nts Receiving	Care Coordin	ation by Lev	el, Western S	lope 2007- 20	08	
	Pitkin	# with PCP	% with PCP	Delta	# with PCP	% with PCP	Eagle	# with PCP	% with PCI
Level I	11		0%	27	1	4%	64		0%
Level II	5		0%	83	35	42%	28	8	29%
Level III			0%			0%	1		0%
Total	16	0	0%	110	36	33%	93	8	9%
				-		•	-		•
	Garfield	# with PCP	% with PCP	Gunnison	# with PCP	% with PCP	Hinsdale	# with PCP	% with PCF
Level I	69	2	3%	12		0%			0%
Level II	57	30	53%	3	1	33%	1		0%
Level III	3	2	67%			0%			0%
Total	129	34	26%	15	1	7%	1	0	0%
		HCP Clie	nts Receiving	Care Coordin	ation by Lev	el, Western S	lope 2007- 20	08	
	Mesa	# with PCP	% with PCP	Montrose	# with PCP	% with PCP	Ouray	# with PCP	% with PCF
Level I	211	2	1%	46		0%	1		0%
Level II	179	65	36%	38	12	32%	9	4	44%
Level III	8	3	38%	3		0%	1		0%
Total	398	70	18%	87	12	14%	11	4	36%
	San Miguel	# with PCP	% with PCP	Summit	# with PCP	% with PCP	]		
Level I	7		0%	31		0%			
Level II	1		0%			0%			
Level III			0%	2	2	100%			
Total	8	0	0%	33	2	6%			

Community Encounters, Western Slope 2007-2008			
County Total Encounte			
Mesa County Health Department	94		
Summit County Public Health	2		
Total	96		

Community Encounters by Outcome, Western Slope 2007-2008		
	Total	
Medical Home	5	
Family Participation	15	
Insurance	1	
Screening	3	
Community Care	31	
Transition	1	
Regional Operations	49	
Total	105	

<sup>\*</sup>Community Encounters can be for more than one Outcome

Commu	nity Encounters by Group and Result, Western Slope 2007-2	2008	
Group	Result	Total Encounters	Total Duration
Community Health Provider	Collaboration Transpired	1	0.75
	Increased Awareness	1	0.75
Developmental Disability Agency	Collaboration Transpired	5	4
	Community Training	1	5
	Increased Awareness	7	6.5
	Increased Knowledge	4	3
	Initiated Networking	1	0.75
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	2	1.5
Education Partners	Increased Awareness	2	3.75
Family Network	Collaboration Transpired	3	2.5
	Increased Awareness	2	3.75
	Initiated Networking	2	1.5
HCP Regional Team	Collaboration Transpired	4	4.25
	Community Training	1	0.75
	Grant Writing	4	4.25
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	1	1.5
	Increased Awareness	12	13.75
	Increased Knowledge	8	23.5
	Initiated Networking	2	1.5
Other Community Partners	Collaboration Transpired	14	27.25
	Community Training	1	0.75
	Improved Community Identification of CYSHCN	2	1.5
	Increased Awareness	9	8
	Increased Knowledge	3	2.75
	Initiated Networking	3	3.25
	Procedures/Policies established/developed/revised	1	0.75
Total		96	127.5